On the Convergence of Inversive Distance Circle Packings to the Riemann Mapping

> Xu Xu, Wuhan University played by Yanwen Luo, Rutgers University,

Applied and Computational Differential Geometry and Geometric PDEs,

Banff International Research Station,

August 1st, 2023.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Goal: Compute conformal parametrizations of surfaces.

Goal: Compute conformal parametrizations of surfaces.



Thurston's idea: Circle packings as discrete conformal maps approximating the Riemann mapping.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Construct discrete conformal maps using circle packings.



Figure: From a region Ω to the nerve T of a circle packing.

★ロト ★週 ト ★ ヨト ★ ヨト 二 ヨ

Existence and uniqueness of discrete conformal maps are given by Theorem (Koebe-Andre'ev-Thurston, 1936, 1971,1978) Every oriented simplicial triangulation of the 2-disk determined a circle packing of the 2-disk, unique up to Mobius transformations.



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

Thurston conjectured in 1985 the convergence of discrete conformal maps, confirmed by Rodin-Sullivan in 1987.



Figure: Approximating the Riemann mapping.¹

¹Picture by Stephenson, Introduction to circle packing, 2002.

Existence and **uniqueness** of discrete conformal maps are given by Theorem (Koebe-Andre'ev-Thurston, 1936, 1971,1978) Every oriented simplicial triangulation of the 2-disk determined a circle packing of the 2-disk, unique up to Mobius transformations.

Thurston conjectured in 1985 the **convergence** of discrete conformal maps, confirmed by

Theorem (Rodin-Sullivan, 1987)

The discrete conformal map of circle packings converges to the Riemann mapping on a simply connected domain.

Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps.
- Step 3 Show the limit homeomorphism is 1-quasiconformal, hence a conformal map.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Step 1: Construct simplicial homeomorphisms from the KAT theorem.



Figure: Discrete conformal maps are piecewise linear.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



- A sequence of simplicial homeomorphisms $f_n : \Omega_n \to D_n \subset \mathbb{D}^2$,
- Clearly, $\Omega_n \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega_n \to \Omega$,
- ▶ It can be shown that $D_n \to \mathbb{D}^2$ using a length-area relation.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの



For any chain of circles separating a boundary circle C and center,

$$diam(C)^2 \leq (2\sum_{i=1}^n r_i)^2 \leq 4n\sum r_i^2 = 4n \cdot Area.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



For any chain of circles separating a boundary circle C and center,

$$diam(C)^2 \le (2\sum_{i=1}^n r_i)^2 \le 4n\sum r_i^2 = 4n \cdot Area.$$

For many disjoint chains with n_j circles,

$$diam(C)^2 \sum_j \frac{1}{n_j} \le 4 \cdot TotalArea \le 4$$

Step 2: f_n are K-quasiconformal maps from the ring lemma.



Lemma (Ring lemma)

There exists a lower bound on the ratio of two adjacent radii. This implies that the angles of triangles can not be too small.

Step 3: the limit f is an 1-quasiconformal map.



Theorem (Rodin-Sullivan, 1987)

A circle packing of a simply connected domain in the plane with (infinite) hexagonal pattern is the regular hexagonal packing.

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk from **the KAT theorem**.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps from **the ring lemma**.
- Step 3 Show the limit homeomorphism is 1-quasiconformal, hence a conformal map from **the rigidity of the infinite hexagonal packing**.

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk from **the KAT theorem**.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps from **the ring lemma**.
- Step 3 Show the limit homeomorphism is 1-quasiconformal, hence a conformal map from the rigidity of the infinite hexagonal packing.

We will adapt this framework to prove the convergence of other discrete conformal maps.

Generalization of circle packings: inversive distance circle packings.







(a) $0 < \Theta < 1$,

(b) $\Theta = 1$,

(c) $\Theta > 1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Generalization of circle packings: inversive distance circle packings.



 $(a) \ 0 < \Theta < 1, \qquad \qquad (b) \ \Theta = 1, \qquad \qquad (c) \ \Theta > 1.$

Given a triangulation T of \mathbb{D}^2 with a weight $\Theta : E(T) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, define a discrete metric $I : E(T) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on (\mathbb{D}^2, T) as

$$I_{ij}=\sqrt{r_i^2+2\Theta r_ir_j+r_j^2}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Generalization of circle packings: inversive distance circle packings.



(a) $0 < \Theta < 1$, (b) $\Theta = 1$, (c) $\Theta > 1$.

Given a triangulation T of \mathbb{D}^2 with a weight $\Theta : E(T) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, define a discrete metric $I : E(T) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on (\mathbb{D}^2, T) as

$$I_{ij}=\sqrt{r_i^2+2\Theta r_ir_j+r_j^2}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Inversive distance circle packings are more flexible.



Figure: The inversive distance Θ between two circles.²

$$\Theta = \frac{l_{ij}^2 - r_i^2 - r_j^2}{2r_i r_j}$$



Figure: Discrete conformal maps using inversive distance circle packings.

Can we repeat this process for inversive distance circle packings?





Figure: Discrete conformal maps using inversive distance circle packings.

No KAT theorem for inversive distance circle packings!

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Two issues: triangle inequalities and convexity.

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk from the KAT theorem.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps from **the ring lemma**.
- Step 3 Show the limit homeomorphism is 1-quasiconformal, hence a conformal map from the rigidity of the infinite hexagonal packing.

- Step 1 Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using circle packings to the 2-disk from the KAT theorem.
- Step 2 Show that these simplicial homeomorphisms are *K*-quasiconformal maps from **the ring lemma**.
- Step 3 Show the limit homeomorphism is 1-quasiconformal, hence a conformal map from the rigidity of the infinite hexagonal packing.

Recipe from the work of Luo-Sun-Wu in 2022,

- Construct simplicial homeomorphisms using special triangulations.
- Show the rigidity of the infinite hexagonal weighted Delaunay inversive distance circle packings.

Proposition

Suppose (P, T, I) is a flat polyhedral disk with an equilateral triangulation T such that exactly three boundary vertices p, q, rhave curvature $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, and the metric I is an inversive distance circle packing metric induced by a constant label u and a constant weight $\Theta \rightarrow (1, +\infty)$. Then for sufficiently large n, there is an inversive distance circle packing $\tilde{u} : V_{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the n-th standard subdivision $(P, T_{(n)}, I_{(n)})$ such that

•
$$K_i(\tilde{u}) = 0$$
 for all $v_i \in V_{(n)} - \{p, q, r\}$,

•
$$K_i(\tilde{u}) = \frac{2\pi}{3}$$
 for all $v_i \in \{p, q, r\}$,



Figure: The *n*-th standard subdivision of one triangle.³

³Picture by Luo-Sun-Wu, 2022.



Figure: Discrete conformal maps using inversive distance circle packings.

No KAT theorem for inversive distance circle packings! But it exists after sufficient subdivisions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem (Chen-L.-Xu-Zhang, 2022, arXiv:2211.07464)

Let Ω be a Jordan domain in the complex plane with three distinct boundary points p, q, r specified. Let f be the Riemann mapping from the equilateral triangle $\triangle ABC$ to $\overline{\Omega}$ such that f(A) = p, f(B) = q, f(C) = r. Then there exists a sequence of weighted triangulated polygonal disks $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{T}_n, \eta_n, (p_n, q_n, r_n))$ with inversive distance circle packing metrics l_n , where \mathcal{T}_n is a triangulation of $\Omega_n, \eta_n : E_n \to (1, +\infty)$ is a weight defined on $E_n = E(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and p_n, q_n, r_n are three distinct boundary vertices of \mathcal{T}_n , such that

- (a) $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$ with $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$, and $\lim_n p_n = p$, $\lim_n q_n = q$, $\lim_n r_n = r$.
- (b) discrete conformal maps f_n from $\triangle ABC$ to $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{T}_n, \eta_n, I_n)$ with $f_n(A) = p_n$, $f_n(B) = q_n$, $f_n(C) = r_n$ exist.
- (c) discrete conformal maps f_n converge uniformly to the Riemann mapping f.

Other discrete conformal maps and their convergence



(a) Circle Patterns, *Bücking*, 2018.





(c) Square tiling, Georgakopoulos – Panagiotis, 2020.

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

Other discrete conformal maps and their convergence



(a) Circle Patterns, *Bücking*, 2018.





(c) Square tiling, Georgakopoulos – Panagiotis, 2020.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Other convergence scheme:

convergence of discrete conformal factors to the smooth factor on general surfaces.

Other discrete conformal maps and their convergence



(a) Circle Patterns, *Bücking*, 2018.



(b) Tutte embedding, Dym – Slutsky – Lipman, 2019.



(c) Square tiling, Georgakopoulos – Panagiotis, 2020.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Other convergence scheme:

convergence of discrete conformal factors to the smooth factor on general surfaces.

Thank you!