Topology and Entanglement in Many-Body Systems

# Spectral gaps, stability and O(n) spin chains

Bruno Nachtergaele (UC Davis) joint work with

Robert Sims (Arizona) and Amanda Young (MCQST-TUM) Jakob Björnberg (Gothenburg), Peter Mühlbacher (Warwick), and Daniel Ueltschi (Warwick)









# Outline

- Locality, quasi-locality, etc.
- Ground states of infinite systems
- Gapped ground states
- What do you mean by 'stability'?
- Stability theorem for the bulk gap
- Stability of dimerized O(n) spin chains
- Phase diagram of O(n) spin chains

### **Quantum lattice systems**

Here, 'lattice' is some nice discrete metric space  $(\Gamma, d)$ , such as  $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$  with the usual  $\ell^1$  distance, or a Delone subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ .

For each  $x \in \Gamma$ , observables are finite-dimensional matrix algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\{x\}}$ ; for finite  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{x \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\{x\}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}} = \bigcup_{\text{finite } \Lambda \subset \Gamma} \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

 $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}$  is said to be supported in  $\Lambda$ , any  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$  is a local observable, and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$  are the quasi-local observables. A system is defined by its Heisenberg dynamics  $\tau_t^{\Phi}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , in terms of an interaction  $\Phi$ :  $\Phi(X) = \Phi(X)^* \in \mathcal{A}_X$ , for all finite  $X \subset \Gamma$ , through the derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A}_{loc} \to \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ . Formally:

$$\delta(A) = \sum_{X} [\Phi(X), A]; \quad \frac{d}{dt} \tau_t^{\Phi}(A) = i\delta(\tau_t^{\Phi}(A)), \ \tau_0^{\Phi} = \mathbb{1}; \quad \tau_t^{\Phi} = e^{it\delta}.$$

This requires sufficient decay of  $\|\Phi(X)\|$  for large  $X \subset \Gamma$  to ensure  $\delta$  is well-defined and that its closure generates a group of automorphisms  $\tau_t^{\Phi}$ . An invariant domain for  $\delta$  will be strictly larger than  $\mathcal{A}_{loc}$  but smaller than  $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ .

## Locality, Quasi-Locality, Almost-Locality

By construction, for all  $A \in A_{\Gamma}$  and any sequence  $\Lambda_n \uparrow \Gamma$ , there exist  $A_{\Lambda_n} \ni A_n \to A$ . A concrete sequence of local approximations of any  $A \in A_{\Gamma}$  can be obtained by using the conditional expectations  $\Pi_{\Lambda}$ :

 $\Pi_{\Lambda} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}} \otimes \rho \restriction_{\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma \setminus \Lambda}}, \text{ where } \rho \text{ is the tracial state.}$ 

Given  $(\Lambda_n)$  (for example  $\Lambda = b_x(n)$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , balls centered at  $x \in \Gamma$ ),  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$ , one has f, decreasing to 0, for which

$$\|A-\Pi_{\Lambda_n}(A)\|\leq \|A\|f(n), n\geq 1.$$

For a fixed sequence  $(\Lambda_n)$  and f, positive and decreasing to 0, we can define

$$\mathcal{A}_f = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} \mid \exists C > 0, \|A - \Pi_{\Lambda_n}(A)\| \leq C \|A\|f(n), \mathsf{all} \ n \geq 1\}.$$

Useful relation connection between locality and Lieb-Robinson bounds:

$$\|A - \Pi_{\Lambda}(A)\| \leq \sup_{B \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma \setminus \Lambda}, \|B\| = 1} \|[A, B]\| \leq 2\|A - \Pi_{\Lambda}(A)\|.$$

If  $(\Gamma, d)$  is a Delone subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ , one can assume that  $\Phi$  is supported on balls  $b_x(n) \subset \Gamma$  and express decay by a conditions of the form

$$\|\Phi(b_x(n))\| \le \|\Phi\|_f f(n)$$
, for all  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $n \ge 0$ .

For suitable f and g, there is h for which  $\delta(A) \in \mathcal{A}_h$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_g$ .

Examples: if f and g are characteristic functions, h can be taken to be a characteristic function; if  $f(n) = g(n) = e^{-an^{\theta}}$ , one can take  $h(n) = e^{-a'n^{\theta}}$ , with a' < a.

Upshot: for infinite systems with sufficiently short-range interactions, we can define

$$h_{x} = \sum_{n} \Phi(b_{x}(n))$$

and

$$\delta(A) = \sum_{x} [h_x, A], \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_g \subset \operatorname{dom} \delta.$$

(N-Sims-Young, JMP 2019, Moon-Ogata JFA 2019, Kapustin-Sopenko JMP 2020, Bachmann-Lange arXiv:2105.14168, Henheik-Teufel arXiv:2012.15238/9.)

#### **Ground states**

A state  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a ground state for the dynamics  $\tau_t$  with generator  $\delta$  if

 $\omega(A^*\delta(A)) \ge 0$ , for all  $A \in \operatorname{dom} \delta$ .

It is sufficient to check this condition for A in a core for  $\delta$ , such as  $A_{loc}$ . The GNS representation

The GNS representation of a state on  $\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$  is given by a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , a representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and a cyclic vector  $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$  such that, for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\omega(A) = \langle \Omega, \pi(A)\Omega \rangle, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}.$$

For ground states one finds that  $\tau_t$  is implemented by a strongly continuous group of unitaries on  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\pi(\tau_t(A)) = U_t^* \pi(A) U_t = e^{itH_\omega} \pi(A) e^{-itH_\omega}$$
$$H_\omega \ge 0, \quad H_\omega \Omega = 0$$

If there is only one ground state for  $\tau_t$ , we necessarily have that it is a pure state (hence,  $\pi$  is irreducible) and that ker  $H_{\omega} = \mathbb{C}\Omega$ .

#### Gapped ground states

Consider the case of a pure ground state with  $\ker H_\omega = \mathbb{C}\Omega.$  Then, for any  $\gamma > 0$ 

 $\operatorname{spec} H_\omega \cap (0,\gamma) = \emptyset$  iff  $\omega(A^*\delta(A)) \ge \gamma \omega(A^*A), A \in \mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{loc}}$  with  $\omega(A) = 0$ 

If this condition holds for some  $\gamma > 0$ , the ground state is gapped. Then

$$\operatorname{gap}(H_{\omega}) = \sup\{\gamma > 0 \mid \operatorname{spec} H_{\omega} \cap (0, \gamma) = \emptyset\}.$$

For infinite systems with  $\Gamma$  without boundary, e.g.,  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ : gap $(H_{\omega})$  is the bulk gap. If  $\Gamma$  is a half-space of  $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ , it may be referred to as the edge gap etc.

# **Stability of Spectral Gaps**



# Stability of the bulk gap

Suppose  $\{h_x\}_{x\in\Gamma}$  defines generator  $\delta$  with (for simplicity) a unique ground state  $\omega$  and a gap  $\gamma_0 > 0$ :

$$\omega(A^*\delta(A)) \geq \gamma_0(\omega(A^*A) - |\omega(A)|^2), A \in \operatorname{dom} \delta \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{gap}(H_\omega) \geq \gamma_0.$$

Define perturbations of the form

$$h_x(s) = h_x + s\Phi_x, s \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi_x = \sum_n \Phi(b_x(n)), \text{ with } \|\Phi(b_x(n))\| \leq g(n).$$

The gap of the model is stable under such perturbations if for all  $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$ , there exists  $s_0(\gamma) > 0$  such that the gap for the perturbed model,  $\gamma_s$ , satisfies

$$\gamma_s \geq \gamma$$
, for all  $|s| < s_0(\gamma)$ .

# Stability theorem for frustration free finite range interactions

We consider perturbations of finite-range (R) frustration-free models with Hamiltonians of the form

$$H_{\Lambda}(s) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} h_x + s \sum_{x \in \Lambda, n \geq 0} \Phi(b_x(n))$$

with uniformly bounded  $h_x \in \mathcal{A}_{b_x(R)}$ ,  $\sup_x \|h_x\| < \infty$ .  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ , Delone. C1: There are  $C > 0, q \ge 0$  such that  $gap(H_{b_x(n)}(0)) \ge Cn^{-q}$  (non-zero edge modes do not vanish faster than a power law).

C2: 
$$gap(H^{GNS}(0)) = \gamma_0 > 0.$$

C3: 
$$\|\Phi(b_x(n))\| \leq \|\Phi\|e^{-an^{\theta}}$$
, for some  $a > 0, \theta > 0$ .

C4: LTQO. Denote by  $P_{\Lambda}$  the projection onto ker  $H_{\Lambda}(0)$ . There exists a positive decreasing function  $G_0$  for which, for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{b_x(k)}$ ,

$$\|P_{b_x(m)}AP_{b_x(m)} - \omega_0(A)P_{b_x(m)}\| \le \|A\|(k+1)^{\nu}G_0(m-k).$$

and

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} n^{q+3\nu/2} \sqrt{G_0(n)} < \infty.$$

# Not assuming a uniform gap in finite volume!



Figure: Penrose tiling. Ammann-Beenker tiling. Edges state or not? (T. Loring, J. Math. Phys. **60**, 081903 (2019))

#### Theorem

(Stability of the bulk gap, N-Sims-Young, arXiv:arXiv:2102.07209) If conditions C1-C4 are satisfied, then, for all  $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$ , there is a constant  $\beta > 0$ , such that the ground state of H(s) with

$$|s| \le rac{\gamma_0 - \gamma}{\beta \gamma_0}$$

is unique, and  $gap H^{GNS}(s) > \gamma$ .

Proved using the strategy of Bravyi-Hastings-Michalakis 2010, applied to the GNS Hamiltonian.  $\beta$  is explicit.

# O(n) spin chains

$$H = -\sum_{x} Q_{x,x+1}$$

with Q the rank-1 projection determined by

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} |\alpha, \alpha\rangle.$$

- translation-invariant nn 1D model of n-dimensional spins
- manifestly O(n)-invariant and not frustration-free
- case n = 2 is Heisenberg anti-ferromagnet, Bethe-ansatz solvable, unique gapless ground state
- for  $n \ge 3$ , H has (at least) two 2-periodic gapped ground states:

$$\omega_{\pm}(Q_{x,x+1}) = -e_n \pm (-1)^x \delta_n, \quad \delta_n > 0, n \ge 3$$

recently proved by Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, and Warzel (AHP 2020).

# A little bit of stability

Perturbing H with nn swap operator  $T|\alpha,\beta\rangle = |\beta,\alpha\rangle$ , we obtain the family of Hamiltonians:

$$H(u,v) = \sum_{x} uT_{x,x+1} + vQ_{x,x+1}, \quad u,v \in \mathbb{R}$$

This is the O(n) extension of the spin-1 bilinear-biquadratic chain, with the most general O(n)-symmetric nn interaction.

We now proved that these dimerized ground states and the spectral gap above them persists for |u| small and *n* large.

#### Theorem (Björnberg-Mühlbacher-N-Ueltschi, CMP 2021)

There exist constants  $n_0, u_0 > 0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ , for the O(n) chain with v = -1 and  $|u| < u_0$ , there are two pure 2-periodic gapped ground states.

Previous stability results do not apply since these models are not frustration-free.

#### **Graphical respresentation**

On finite chains of even length,  $[-\ell + 1, \ell] \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , The ground state of H(u, -1), with |u| not too large, is unique. Call it  $\Omega$ . Then

$$|\Omega\rangle\langle\Omega| = \lim_{eta
ightarrow\infty} rac{e^{-2eta H}}{{\sf Tr} e^{-2eta H}},$$

and

$$\langle \Omega | A | \Omega 
angle = \lim_{eta 
ightarrow \infty} rac{{
m Tr} e^{-eta H} A e^{-eta H}}{{
m Tr} e^{-2eta H}}.$$

By writing (for integer  $\beta$ )

$$e^{-\beta H} = \lim_{N \to \infty} (\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{N}H)^{\beta N}$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{u}{N} \sum_{x=-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} T_{x,x+1} - \frac{v}{N} \sum_{x=-\ell+1}^{\ell-1} Q_{x,x+1} \right)^{\beta N}$$

and expanding the product we get a weighted sum of terms that are a products of  $\beta N$  factors  $1, T_{x,x+1}$  and  $Q_{x,x+1}$ .



Graphically we represent the operators by crosses and double bars:

$$T = ee, \quad Q = ee, \quad 1 = ee ee$$

Basis labels are constant along lines. After  $\lim_{N\to\infty}$ , one gets a space-time picture of loops:

$$\mathsf{Tr} e^{-2eta \mathsf{H}(u,-1)} = \int_{\Omega_{\ell,eta}} d
ho_u(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega) - |\omega_{ec}|}$$

with

$$d
ho_u(\omega) = e^{(1+u)2eta(2\ell-1)}u^{|\omega_{arepsilon}|}dx^{\otimes |\omega|}.$$

### Correlations

The basic correlation functions are integrals of indicator functions of 'events' for loop configurations.

 $x \xleftarrow{+} y$ : the set of configurations  $\omega$  where the top of (x, 0) is connected to the bottom of (y, 0);

 $x \stackrel{-}{\longleftrightarrow} y$ : the set of configurations  $\omega$  where the top of (x, 0) is connected to the top of (y, 0)Define  $L^{\alpha, \alpha'} = |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha'| - |\alpha'\rangle \langle \alpha|$ .

# Proposition

For the spin chain of length  $2\ell$  with interaction  $h_{x,x+1} = -uT_{x,x+1} - Q_{x,x+1}$ , we have for all  $1 \le \alpha < \alpha' \le n$ ,

$$\frac{\frac{Tr \ L_x^{\alpha,\alpha'} L_y^{\alpha,\alpha'} e^{-2\beta H}}{Tre^{-2\beta H}}}{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\ell,\beta}} d\rho_u(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega) - |\omega_{\mathbb{H}}|} (\mathbbm{1}[x \xleftarrow{}{} y] - \mathbbm{1}[x \xleftarrow{}{}{} y])}{\int_{\Omega_{\ell,\beta}} d\rho_u(\omega) n^{\mathcal{L}(\omega) - |\omega_{\mathbb{H}}|}}$$

# short loops, long loops, winding loops



- the winding loop are those that are not contractible (blue and orange)
- the long loops are those that are winding or visit 3 or more sites (red, blue, orange)
- short loops are those that are not long (green, brown, purple)

For large  $\beta$ , winding loops become negligible.

If there were only short loops, the measure would clearly be dominated by a perfectly dimerized state.

The challenge is to show that dimerization survives in spite of the non-vanishing contributions of long loops.

## Contours

In the case u = 0, long loops can serve as contours separating one dimerized phase from the other:



The short loops outside and inside the contour are out of phase. A Peierls argument using such contours was used to prove dimerization for  $n \ge 17$ (N-Ueltschi, 2017). Later, special properties of the

random loop measure were used to prove dimerization for all  $n \ge 3$ (Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, Warzel, 2020).

# **Clusters**

For  $u \neq 0$ , configurations contain crosses ( $\bowtie$ ), which may be crossings of different loops or self-crossings. Similarly, the top and bottom part of a double bar ( $\bowtie$ ), may belong to the same loop or to different loops. Since these distinction are non-local, we define clusters of long loops that share a  $\bowtie$  or a  $\bowtie$ .

As in the case u = 0, the short loops describe the reference dimerized states. A convergent cluster expansion of the partition function is the tool that allows us to prove that short loops dominate (for large *n* and small |u|).

Theorem (Björnberg-Mühlbacher-N-Ueltschi, CMP 2021)

There exist constants  $n_0, u_0, c_1, c_2, C > 0$  (independent of  $\ell$ ) such that for  $n > n_0$  and  $|u| < u_0$ , we have

$$\langle \Omega | L_{\mathsf{x}}^{\alpha, \alpha'} e^{-tH} L_{\mathsf{y}}^{\alpha, \alpha'} e^{tH} | \Omega \rangle \leq C e^{-c_1 |\mathsf{x}-\mathsf{y}| - c_2 |t|}$$



Figure: Ground state phase diagram for the S = 1 chain (n = 3) with nearest-neighbor interactions  $\cos \phi S_x \cdot S_{x+1} + \sin \phi (S_x \cdot S_{x+1})^2$ .

- ► tan φ = 1/3, AKLT point (Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki, 1987,1988), FF, MPS, gapped
- ► tan φ = 1, solvable, gapless, SU(3) invariant, (Sutherland, 1975)
- $\phi \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$ , ferromagnetic, FF, gapless
- ▶ φ = −π/2, solvable, SU(3) invariant, Temperley-Lieb algebra, dimerized, gapped (Klümper; Affleck,1990)
- $\phi = -\pi/4$  gapless, Bethe-ansatz, (Takhtajan; Babujian, 1982)



Figure: Ground state phase diagram for the chain with nearest-neighbor interactions uT + vQ for  $n \ge 3$ , studied by Tu & Zhang, 2008.

- v = −2nu/(n − 2), n ≥ 3, Bethe ansatz point (Reshetikhin, 1983)
- v = -2u: frustration free point, equivalent to ⊥ projection onto symmetric vectors ⊖ one. Unique g.s. if n odd; two 2-periodic g.s. for even n; spectral gap in all cases and stable phase (N-Sims-Young, 2021).
- ▶ u = 0, v = -1. Equivalent to the  $SU(n) P^{(0)}$  models aka Temperley-Lieb chain; Affleck, 1990, Nepomechie-Pimenta 2016). Dimerized for all  $n \ge 3$  (Aizenman, Duminil-Copin, Warzel, 2020). New result: a proof of stability for large n (Björnberg-Mühlbacher-N-Ueltschi, 2021).

# **Concluding Comments**

- gap for O(n) chains is more stable than that little bit we proved
- need a good general method for non-frustration-free models, for proving gaps and their stability
- more general formulation of LTQO?