> From Geometry to Arithmetic to Geometry Geometry via Arithmetic workshop, BIRS, 12–16 July 2021

> Jason Michael Starr Report on joint work with Zhiyu Tian (BICMR) arXiv:1704.02932, arXiv:1811.02466 and arXiv:1907.07041

> > 12 July 2021

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

#### János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \le n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

The proof uses the Chebotarev Density Theorem, and the second

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

## János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \le n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

The proof uses the Chebotarev Density Theorem,

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

#### János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \le n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

The proof uses the Chebotarev Density Theorem, and the second

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

#### János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \leq n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

The proof uses the Chebotarev Density Theorem, and the second

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

## János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \leq n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

# Statement and Results.

## Conjecture. James Ax.

Hypersurfaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_c$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n_K$  with  $\deg(X_1) + \cdots + \deg(X_c) \le n$  contain a common geometrically irreducible *K*-variety.

#### János Kollár, char 0

True; even holds for all specializations of Fano manifolds.

## Amit Hogadi and Chenyang Xu, char 0

True for all specializations of rationally connected varieties.

The proof uses MMP in char. 0.

Michael Fried and Moishe Jarden, char p > 0

True if  $\deg(X_1)^2 + \cdots + \deg(X_c)^2 \leq n$  or if  $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ .

The proof uses the Chebotarev Density Theorem.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field).

**Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

## **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. *K*-subvariety.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field). **Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

## **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. *K*-subvariety.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field). **Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

# **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. *K*-subvariety.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field). **Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

# **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. *K*-subvariety.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field). **Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

# **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

# Geometric Proofs in Positive Characteristic.

**Prime Regular DVR**: Regular extension of a DVR with finite residue field (unramified, separable fraction field). **Separably rationally connected**:  $\exists f : \mathbb{P}^1_K \to X$ ,  $f^*T_{X/K}$  ample.

# **S**, $K \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

 $X_R$  proper, flat over a prime regular DVR R, if geom. generic fiber is sep. rat. connected then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "RC Fibration Theorem" and Bertini's Connectedness Theorem.

## **S**, char p > 0

If geometric generic fiber is "rationally simply connected" then base change by  $R/\mathfrak{m} \to K$  has a geom. irred. K-subvariety.

Proof uses "R1C Fibration Theorem", Esnault's work and joint work with Chenyang Xu.  $( \Box ) ( \Box$ 



## (Parameterized) Quasi-maps:

 $\mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) = \mathbb{P}\mathsf{Hom}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e))) \supset \\\mathsf{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e)), (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))).$ 

**Unparameterized Quasi-maps**: GIT quotient  $OM_*(\mathbb{P}^n, \alpha) := OM_*(\mathbb{P}^n, \alpha) / / Aut(\mathbb{P}^1)$ 

 $\mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e) := \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) / / \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$ 

**Stable maps**:  $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ , stack of degree e maps f from

genus-0, at-worst-nodal curves with *f*-ample canonical bundle.

Quasi-map Contraction: Everywhere regular morphism

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n,e) \to \mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n,e).$ 

**Projective target**  $X = \text{Zero}(h_1, \ldots, h_c) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ :  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ , resp.  $QM_0(X, e)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$  is the locus where pullbacks of  $h_1, \ldots, h_c$  vanish identically.



## (Parameterized) Quasi-maps:

 $\mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) = \mathbb{P}\mathsf{Hom}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e))) \supset \\\mathsf{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e)), (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))).$ 

# Unparameterized Quasi-maps: GIT quotient

 $\mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e) := \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) / / \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$ 

**Stable maps**:  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ , stack of degree *e* maps *f* from genus-0, at-worst-nodal curves with *f*-ample canonical bundle. **Quasi-map Contraction**: Everywhere regular morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) \to \mathrm{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ .

**Projective target**  $X = \text{Zero}(h_1, \ldots, h_c) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ :  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ , resp.  $QM_0(X, e)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$  is the locus where pullbacks of  $h_1, \ldots, h_c$  vanish identically.



## (Parameterized) Quasi-maps:

 $\mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) = \mathbb{P}\mathsf{Hom}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e))) \supset$ Hom $((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e)), (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))).$ Unparameterized Quasi-maps: GIT quotient  $\mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e) := \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) / / \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$ **Stable maps**:  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ , stack of degree *e* maps *f* from genus-0, at-worst-nodal curves with *f*-ample canonical bundle. **Projective target**  $X = \text{Zero}(h_1, \ldots, h_c) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ :  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ , resp.



## (Parameterized) Quasi-maps:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) = \mathbb{P}\mathsf{Hom}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e))) \supset \\ \mathsf{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e)), (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))). \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Unparameterized Quasi-maps: GIT quotient} \\ \mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e) := \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) / / \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1). \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Stable maps: } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e), \text{ stack of degree } e \text{ maps } f \text{ from } \\ \texttt{genus-0, at-worst-nodal curves with } f\text{-ample canonical bundle.} \end{array}$   $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Quasi-map Contraction: Everywhere regular morphism} \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) \rightarrow \mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e). \end{array}$ 

**Projective target**  $X = \text{Zero}(h_1, \ldots, h_c) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ :  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ , resp.  $QM_0(X, e)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$  is the locus where pullbacks of  $h_1, \ldots, h_c$  vanish identically.



## (Parameterized) Quasi-maps:

 $\mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) = \mathbb{P}\mathsf{Hom}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)), H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e))) \supset$ Hom $((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(e)), (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))).$ Unparameterized Quasi-maps: GIT quotient  $\mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e) := \mathsf{QM}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) / / \mathsf{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$ **Stable maps**:  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ , stack of degree *e* maps *f* from genus-0, at-worst-nodal curves with *f*-ample canonical bundle. Quasi-map Contraction: Everywhere regular morphism  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) \to \mathsf{QM}_0(\mathbb{P}^n, e).$ **Projective target**  $X = \text{Zero}(h_1, \ldots, h_c) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ :  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ , resp.  $QM_0(X, e), \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$  is the locus where pullbacks of  $h_1, \ldots, h_c$ vanish identically.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

# First Irreducibility Theorems.

## A. Kuznetsov, B. Kim – R. Pandharipande

Spaces of rational curves with fixed class are integral, smooth and have the "expected dimension" for X = G/P.

#### J. Harris – M. Roth – S, I. Coskun – S

General  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d \leq (n+4)/2$ , spaces are integral, LCI and have the "expected" dimension.

#### R. Beheshti – M. Kumar

Same for  $d \le (2n + 2)/3$ .

#### E. Riedl – D. Yang

Same for  $d \leq n - 2$ , the optimal result.

#### Corollary

# First Irreducibility Theorems.

## A. Kuznetsov, B. Kim – R. Pandharipande

Spaces of rational curves with fixed class are integral, smooth and have the "expected dimension" for X = G/P.

## J. Harris – M. Roth – S, I. Coskun – S

General  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d \leq (n+4)/2$ , spaces are integral, LCI and have the "expected" dimension.

## R. Beheshti – M. Kumar

Same for  $d \le (2n+2)/3$ .

#### E. Riedl – D. Yang

Same for  $d \leq n - 2$ , the optimal result.

#### Corollary

# First Irreducibility Theorems.

## A. Kuznetsov, B. Kim – R. Pandharipande

Spaces of rational curves with fixed class are integral, smooth and have the "expected dimension" for X = G/P.

## J. Harris – M. Roth – S, I. Coskun – S

General  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d \leq (n+4)/2$ , spaces are integral, LCI and have the "expected" dimension.

## R. Beheshti – M. Kumar

Same for  $d \le (2n+2)/3$ .

#### E. Riedl – D. Yang

Same for  $d \leq n-2$ , the optimal result.

#### Corollary

# First Irreducibility Theorems.

## A. Kuznetsov, B. Kim – R. Pandharipande

Spaces of rational curves with fixed class are integral, smooth and have the "expected dimension" for X = G/P.

## J. Harris – M. Roth – S, I. Coskun – S

General  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d \leq (n+4)/2$ , spaces are integral, LCI and have the "expected" dimension.

## R. Beheshti – M. Kumar

Same for  $d \le (2n+2)/3$ .

## E. Riedl – D. Yang

Same for  $d \leq n-2$ , the optimal result.

#### Corollary

# First Irreducibility Theorems.

## A. Kuznetsov, B. Kim – R. Pandharipande

Spaces of rational curves with fixed class are integral, smooth and have the "expected dimension" for X = G/P.

## J. Harris – M. Roth – S, I. Coskun – S

General  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d \leq (n+4)/2$ , spaces are integral, LCI and have the "expected" dimension.

## R. Beheshti – M. Kumar

Same for  $d \le (2n+2)/3$ .

## E. Riedl – D. Yang

Same for  $d \leq n-2$ , the optimal result.

## Corollary

# New Irreducibility Theorems.

**Tim Browning – Pankaj Vishe**, **Tim Browning – Will Sawin**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $(2d - 1)2^{d-1} < n$  have  $Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  integral, LCI with "expected" dimension.

D. Testa proved irreducibility for del Pezzo surfaces of degree > 1. Using their formulation of the Geometric Manin Conjecture, there are results for Fano threefolds by Brian Lehmann – Sho Tanimoto. Combined with the Movable Bend and Break, there are newer results by Beheshti – Lehmann – Riedl – Tanimoto, Shimizu – Tanimoto, et al.

Browning-Vishe and Browning-Sawin follow a strategy of Jordan Ellenberg – Akshay Venkatesh via point-counting estimates of associated Hom spaces over finite fields, which are then proved by the Circle Method.

# New Irreducibility Theorems.

**Tim Browning – Pankaj Vishe**, **Tim Browning – Will Sawin**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $(2d - 1)2^{d-1} < n$  have  $Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  integral, LCI with "expected" dimension.

D. Testa proved irreducibility for del Pezzo surfaces of degree > 1. Using their formulation of the Geometric Manin Conjecture, there are results for Fano threefolds by Brian Lehmann – Sho Tanimoto. Combined with the Movable Bend and Break, there are newer results by Beheshti – Lehmann – Riedl – Tanimoto, Shimizu – Tanimoto, et al.

Browning-Vishe and Browning-Sawin follow a strategy of Jordan Ellenberg – Akshay Venkatesh via point-counting estimates of associated Hom spaces over finite fields, which are then proved by the Circle Method.

# New Irreducibility Theorems.

**Tim Browning – Pankaj Vishe**, **Tim Browning – Will Sawin**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $(2d - 1)2^{d-1} < n$  have  $Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X)$  integral, LCI with "expected" dimension.

D. Testa proved irreducibility for del Pezzo surfaces of degree > 1. Using their formulation of the Geometric Manin Conjecture, there are results for Fano threefolds by Brian Lehmann – Sho Tanimoto. Combined with the Movable Bend and Break, there are newer results by Beheshti – Lehmann – Riedl – Tanimoto, Shimizu – Tanimoto, et al.

Browning-Vishe and Browning-Sawin follow a strategy of Jordan Ellenberg – Akshay Venkatesh via point-counting estimates of associated Hom spaces over finite fields, which are then proved by the Circle Method.

# Geometric Method.

## **S** – **Zhiyu Tian**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$  have  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$  integral, ample complete intersection in  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$  with "expected" dimension.

Above inequality is roughly  $4^d/\sqrt{\pi d} < n$  compared to  $d2^d < n$  in Browning–Vishe–Sawin. Prithvirai Chowdhurv extended this to complete intersections.

#### **S**, char 0 or char > d

Linear *m*-plane sections of X dominate moduli if  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$ .

# Geometric Method.

## **S** – **Zhiyu Tian**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$  have  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$  integral, ample complete intersection in  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$  with "expected" dimension.

Above inequality is roughly  $4^d/\sqrt{\pi d} < n$  compared to  $d2^d < n$  in Browning–Vishe–Sawin.

Prithviraj Chowdhury extended this to complete intersections.

#### **S**, char 0 or char > d

Linear *m*-plane sections of X dominate moduli if  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$ .

# Geometric Method.

## **S** – **Zhiyu Tian**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$  have  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$  integral, ample complete intersection in  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$  with "expected" dimension.

Above inequality is roughly  $4^d/\sqrt{\pi d} < n$  compared to  $d2^d < n$  in Browning–Vishe–Sawin.

Prithviraj Chowdhury extended this to complete intersections.

## **S**, char 0 or char > d

Linear *m*-plane sections of X dominate moduli if  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$ .

# Geometric Method.

## **S** – **Zhiyu Tian**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$  have  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$  integral, ample complete intersection in  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$  with "expected" dimension.

Above inequality is roughly  $4^d/\sqrt{\pi d} < n$  compared to  $d2^d < n$  in Browning–Vishe–Sawin.

Prithviraj Chowdhury extended this to complete intersections.

## **S**, char 0 or char > d

Linear *m*-plane sections of X dominate moduli if  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$ .

# Geometric Method.

## **S** – **Zhiyu Tian**, char 0 or char > d

Smooth  $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$  have  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$  integral, ample complete intersection in  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$  with "expected" dimension.

Above inequality is roughly  $4^d/\sqrt{\pi d} < n$  compared to  $d2^d < n$  in Browning–Vishe–Sawin.

Prithviraj Chowdhury extended this to complete intersections.

## **S**, char 0 or char > d

Linear *m*-plane sections of X dominate moduli if  $d + \binom{2d+1}{d+1} < n$ .

# Conjecture and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem.

The spaces  $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, 0), (X, x_0), e)$  admit "glueing operations" by "glueing on a line" and deforming. Cohen-Jones-Segal study a stable limit (in homotopy theory) and its variation under "evaluation" to  $x_0 \in X$ .

#### Cohen – Jones – Segal Conjecture

For X Fano, if the stable limit with its evaluation to X is a quasifibration, then the stable limit is homotopic to the double loop space of X.

# Conjecture and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem.

The spaces  $\operatorname{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, 0), (X, x_0), e)$  admit "glueing operations" by "glueing on a line" and deforming. Cohen-Jones-Segal study a stable limit (in homotopy theory) and its variation under "evaluation" to  $x_0 \in X$ .

## Cohen – Jones – Segal Conjecture

For X Fano, if the stable limit with its evaluation to X is a quasifibration, then the stable limit is homotopic to the double loop space of X.

Original formulation due to Segal following his theorem for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Many cases proved for X "quasi-homogeneous". Cohen – Jones – Segal give a Floer theory heuristic, and use it to reprove the conjecture for X = G/P. The homotopy type of X satisfies the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem: for  $X \subset P$  an ample complete intersection, have isomorphism of homotopy groups until dim $\mathbb{C}(X)$ ; (A) is the provement of X = OSC

# Conjecture and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem.

The spaces  $\operatorname{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, 0), (X, x_0), e)$  admit "glueing operations" by "glueing on a line" and deforming. Cohen-Jones-Segal study a stable limit (in homotopy theory) and its variation under "evaluation" to  $x_0 \in X$ .

## Cohen – Jones – Segal Conjecture

For X Fano, if the stable limit with its evaluation to X is a quasifibration, then the stable limit is homotopic to the double loop space of X.

# Conjecture and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem.

The spaces  $\text{Hom}((\mathbb{P}^1, 0), (X, x_0), e)$  admit "glueing operations" by "glueing on a line" and deforming. Cohen-Jones-Segal study a stable limit (in homotopy theory) and its variation under "evaluation" to  $x_0 \in X$ .

## Cohen – Jones – Segal Conjecture

For X Fano, if the stable limit with its evaluation to X is a quasifibration, then the stable limit is homotopic to the double loop space of X.

Original formulation due to Segal following his theorem for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Many cases proved for X "quasi-homogeneous". Cohen – Jones – Segal give a Floer theory heuristic, and use it to reprove the conjecture for X = G/P.

# Conjecture and Lefschetz Hyperplane Theorem.

The spaces Hom(( $\mathbb{P}^1, 0$ ), ( $X, x_0$ ), e) admit "glueing operations" by "glueing on a line" and deforming. Cohen-Jones-Segal study a stable limit (in homotopy theory) and its variation under "evaluation" to  $x_0 \in X$ .

## Cohen – Jones – Segal Conjecture

For X Fano, if the stable limit with its evaluation to X is a quasifibration, then the stable limit is homotopic to the double loop space of X.

Original formulation due to Segal following his theorem for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Many cases proved for X "quasi-homogeneous". Cohen – Jones – Segal give a Floer theory heuristic, and use it to reprove the conjecture for X = G/P. The homotopy type of X satisfies the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem: for  $X \subset P$  an ample complete intersection, have isomorphism of homotopy groups until dim $_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ .

# Singularities.

The closed complement  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e) \setminus Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  is contained in the singular locus of  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ . So smooth "Purity Theorems" do not apply.

Grothendieck's "SGA2 Conjectures", proved by Hamm - Lê and sharpened by Goresky-MacPherson, do apply in homotopical degree < c - 1 if the singular locus has codimension  $\geq c$ .

#### **Browning–Sawin**

For  $n > 3(d-1)2^{d-1}$  the singular locus of Hom $(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  has codimension at least  $\left(\frac{n}{2^{d-2}} - 6d + 6\right) \lfloor \frac{e+d}{d-1} \rfloor$ .

## S – Zhiyu Tian

# Singularities.

The closed complement  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e) \setminus Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  is contained in the singular locus of  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ . So smooth "Purity Theorems" do not apply. Grothendieck's "SGA2 Conjectures", proved by Hamm - Lê and sharpened by Goresky-MacPherson, do apply in homotopical degree < c - 1 if the singular locus has codimension  $\ge c$ .

## Browning-Sawin

For  $n > 3(d-1)2^{d-1}$  the singular locus of Hom $(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  has codimension at least  $\left(\frac{n}{2^{d-2}} - 6d + 6\right) \lfloor \frac{e+d}{d-1} \rfloor$ .

## S – Zhiyu Tian

# Singularities.

The closed complement  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e) \setminus Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  is contained in the singular locus of  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ . So smooth "Purity Theorems" do not apply. Grothendieck's "SGA2 Conjectures", proved by Hamm - Lê and sharpened by Goresky-MacPherson, do apply in homotopical degree < c - 1 if the singular locus has codimension  $\ge c$ .

## **Browning–Sawin**

For  $n > 3(d-1)2^{d-1}$  the singular locus of Hom $(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  has codimension at least  $\left(\frac{n}{2^{d-2}} - 6d + 6\right) \lfloor \frac{e+d}{d-1} \rfloor$ .

## S – Zhiyu Tian

# Singularities.

The closed complement  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e) \setminus Hom(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  is contained in the singular locus of  $QM_{\mathbb{P}^1}(X, e)$ . So smooth "Purity Theorems" do not apply. Grothendieck's "SGA2 Conjectures", proved by Hamm - Lê and sharpened by Goresky-MacPherson, do apply in homotopical degree < c - 1 if the singular locus has codimension  $\ge c$ .

## **Browning–Sawin**

For  $n > 3(d-1)2^{d-1}$  the singular locus of Hom $(\mathbb{P}^1, X, e)$  has codimension at least  $\left(\frac{n}{2^{d-2}} - 6d + 6\right) \lfloor \frac{e+d}{d-1} \rfloor$ .

## S – Zhiyu Tian

# Chris Skinner's Theorem.

## **Chris Skinner**

Weak approximation holds over global (number) fields for smooth  $X_d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $n > 2(d-1)2^{d-1}$ .

The proof uses the Circle Method. The method seems to work for function fields if char > d.

 $C_k$  a smooth k-curve, after base change to  $k(C_{\overline{k}})$ , weak ・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

# Chris Skinner's Theorem.

## **Chris Skinner**

Weak approximation holds over global (number) fields for smooth  $X_d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $n > 2(d-1)2^{d-1}$ .

# The proof uses the Circle Method. The method seems to work for function fields if char > d.

 $C_k$  a smooth k-curve, after base change to  $k(C_{\overline{k}})$ , weak ・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

# Chris Skinner's Theorem.

## **Chris Skinner**

Weak approximation holds over global (number) fields for smooth  $X_d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $n > 2(d-1)2^{d-1}$ .

The proof uses the Circle Method. The method seems to work for function fields if char > d.

For  $X_d$  defined over a global function field  $k(C_k)$ , with  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $C_k$  a smooth k-curve, after base change to  $\overline{k}(C_{\overline{k}})$ , weak approximation holds under a much weaker hypothesis, roughly  $n > d^2$ . This follows from Hassett's theorem deducing weak approximation from "rational simple connectedness" and joint work with Zhiyu Tian extending rational simple connectedness of 2-Fano hypersurfaces to positive characteristic.

We also have a result over  $\mathbb{F}_q(C)$  when *n* is greater than a doubly-exponential function in *d* using a variant of the Morin-Predonzan unirationality theorem.

# Chris Skinner's Theorem.

## **Chris Skinner**

Weak approximation holds over global (number) fields for smooth  $X_d$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $n > 2(d-1)2^{d-1}$ .

The proof uses the Circle Method. The method seems to work for function fields if char > d.

For  $X_d$  defined over a global function field  $k(C_k)$ , with  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $C_k$  a smooth k-curve, after base change to  $\overline{k}(C_{\overline{k}})$ , weak approximation holds under a much weaker hypothesis, roughly  $n > d^2$ . This follows from Hassett's theorem deducing weak approximation from "rational simple connectedness" and joint work with Zhiyu Tian extending rational simple connectedness of 2-Fano hypersurfaces to positive characteristic. We also have a result over  $\mathbb{F}_q(C)$  when n is greater than a doubly-exponential function in d using a variant of the

Morin-Predonzan unirationality theorem.

Ax's "PAC implies QAC" Conjecture.	Irreducibility of Spaces of Rational Curves.	The Cohen-Jones-Segal Conjecture.	Weak Appro
			••

# Thank You.

THANK YOU.

