

# Pseudoholomorphic curves on lcs manifolds

IBS-Center for Geometry and Physics & POSTECH

*yongoh1@postech.ac.kr*

2021 BIRS Workshop; Online, November 10, 2021,  
partially based on a joint work with Yasha Savelyev  
arXiv:2107.03551

# Outline

- 1 Lcs manifolds and motivating examples
- 2 Contact instantons and lcs instantons
- 3 Geometry and analysis of lcs-instantons

# Motivating problems

There are two natural motivating questions that prompted us to search for the theory of pseudoholomorphic curves on **lcs manifolds**.

- 1 Study of topology of the group  $\text{Cont}(M, \xi)$  of contactomorphisms by considering the **mapping torus** of  $\psi \in \text{Cont}(M, \xi)$ . For example the study of  $\pi_1$  similarly as done by **Seidel** for the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. The resulting mapping torus  $M_\psi$  carries a natural lcs structure.
- 2 (**Saveliev's question**) Is there any **non-squeezing type result** on **exact lcs manifolds**, i.e., when  $\omega = d^b \lambda = d\lambda - b \wedge \lambda$  for closed one-form  $b$ ?

Motivated by these problems, we investigate the pseudoholomorphic curve theory on these classes of lcs manifolds, especially on **exact lcs manifolds**.

# lcs manifolds

## Definition

An lcs manifold is a triple  $(M, \omega, \mathfrak{b})$  where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a closed one-form and  $\omega$  is a nondegenerate 2-form satisfying the relation

$$d\omega + \mathfrak{b} \wedge \omega = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

## Definition

Let  $(M, \omega, \mathfrak{b})$  and  $(M', \omega', \mathfrak{b}')$  be two lcs manifolds.

- 1 A diffeomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$  is called lcs if there exists  $a \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  such that

$$\phi^*\omega' = (1/a)\omega, \quad \phi^*\mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{b} + d(\ln |a|).$$

- 2 An lcs diffeomorphism is positive (resp. negative) if the function  $a$  is positive (resp. negative).

- For a positive lcs diffeomorphism, we write the defining condition as

$$\phi^* \omega' = e^f \omega, \quad \phi^* \mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{b} - df. \quad (1.2)$$

This manifests its similarity to the defining condition  $\psi^* \lambda' = e^g \lambda$  of contactomorphism  $\psi$  with *conformal exponent*  $g \in C^\infty(M)$ .

- Locally by choosing  $\mathfrak{b} = d\ell$  for a local function  $\ell : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on an open neighborhood  $U$ , (1.2) is equivalent to

$$d(e^{-\ell} \omega) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and so the local geometry of l.c.s manifold is exactly the same as that of symplectic manifolds.

- In particular one can define the notion of **Lagrangian submanifolds** in the same way as in the symplectic case since the definitions require only nondegeneracy of the two-form  $\omega$ .

## lcs-fication of contact manifolds

- A natural class of lcs manifolds arise as the **mapping tori of contactomorphisms**  $\psi$  which becomes **Banyaga's lcs manifold** on  $Q \times S^1$  when  $\psi = id$ .

### Definition (lcs-fication)

We call the pair  $(Q \times S^1, \omega_\lambda)$  an lcs-fication of the contact manifold  $(Q, \lambda)$  where  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , where

$$\omega_\lambda := d\lambda + \mathfrak{b} \wedge \lambda = d^{\mathfrak{b}}\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \pi^* d\theta. \quad (1.4)$$

- By varying the size of the circle  $(S^1, d\theta) := (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, [d\theta])$  to  $(S^1_R, d\theta) := (\mathbb{R}/R\mathbb{Z}, [d\theta])$ , or by taking the one-form  $\mathfrak{b}_R := \frac{1}{2\pi R} \pi^* d\theta$  on  $S^1 = R/\mathbb{Z}$ , we may regard the lcs-manifold  $(Q \times \mathbb{R}, d\lambda + ds \wedge \lambda)$  as the limiting case of

$$(Q \times S^1, d\lambda + \mathfrak{b}_R \wedge \lambda), \quad \mathfrak{b}_R = \frac{1}{2\pi R} d\theta$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Mapping tori of contactomorphisms

Let  $(Q, \xi)$  be a contact manifold and  $\psi \in \text{Cont}(Q, \xi)$ . By definition,

$$d\psi(\xi) \subset \xi.$$

- We consider the product  $Q \times \mathbb{R}$  and the distribution given by

$$\xi \oplus \{0\} \subset \xi \oplus \mathbb{R} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right\rangle \subset T(Q \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $d\psi(\xi) \subset \xi$ , the distribution descends to the mapping torus

$$M_\psi := \frac{Q \times \mathbb{R}}{(t, \psi(x)) \sim (t+1, x)} \longrightarrow S^1.$$

- We denote by  $\tilde{\xi} = [\xi \oplus \{0\}]$  the resulting distribution. Then we have

$$\tilde{\xi} \subset \left[ \xi \oplus \mathbb{R} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right\rangle \right] \subset TM_\psi$$

on  $M_\psi$ .

- The above mapping torus is well-defined for the contact manifold  $(M, \xi)$  not for the one  $(M, \lambda)$  with a given contact form: Unless  $\phi$  is strict, i.e.,  $\phi^*\lambda = \lambda$ , the obvious pull-back form  $\pi^*\lambda$  on  $Q \times \mathbb{R}$  does not descend but the contact distribution does.
- Since  $[\xi \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle]$  is cooriented if  $\xi$  is, we can take a one-form  $\kappa$  on  $M_\phi$  such that

$$\ker \kappa = \left[ \xi \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rangle \right]. \quad (1.5)$$

## Proposition

*For each contactomorphism  $\psi \in \text{Cont}(Q, \xi)$ , the two form  $d\kappa + d\theta \wedge \kappa =: \omega_\kappa$  is an lcs form. Furthermore if  $\kappa'$  is another such form satisfying (1.5), the two lcs forms  $\omega_\kappa$  and  $\omega_{\kappa'}$  are (positively) conformally diffeomorphic.*

## Definition (lcs-mapping torus of $\psi$ )

*We call the isomorphism class of the above constructed lcs-manifold  $(M_\psi, \omega_\kappa)$  the **lcs-mapping torus of  $\psi$** .*



- This class of lcs manifolds is special in the following sense: When the one-form  $\kappa$  is given, their tangent bundle  $TM$  has the splitting

$$TM = \tilde{\xi} \oplus \mathcal{V}_\kappa, \quad \mathcal{V}_\kappa := (\tilde{\xi})^{\omega_\kappa}$$

with  $\mathcal{V}_\kappa$  of constant rank 2. I.e.,  $(M_\psi, \omega_\kappa)$  is an **exact lcs manifold of the first kind**.

- This decomposition will provide some particular class of almost complex structures *preserving the decomposition*, and provide a nice theory of pseudoholomorphic curves which we call **lcs instantons**.
- They are closely tied to **contact instantons** on general contact manifolds  $(Q, \xi)$ .

# Contact instantons

## Definition (Contact triad)

Let  $(M, \xi)$  be a contact manifold, and  $\lambda$  be a contact form of  $\xi$ . An endomorphism  $J : TM \rightarrow TM$  is called a  $\lambda$ -adapted CR-almost complex structure if it satisfies

- 1  $J(\xi) \subset \xi$ ,  $JR_\lambda = 0$  and  $J|_\xi^2 = -id|_\xi$ ,
- 2  $g_\xi := d\lambda(\cdot, J|_\xi \cdot)|_\xi$  defines a Hermitian vector bundle  $(\xi, J|_\xi, g_\xi)$ .

We call the triple  $(M, \lambda, J)$  a contact triad.

- For given such a triad, we first decompose any  $TM$ -valued one-form  $\Xi$  on a Riemann surface  $(\Sigma, j)$  into

$$\Xi = \Xi^\pi + \lambda(\Xi) R_\lambda$$

and then we further decompose

$$\Xi^\pi = \Xi^{\pi(1,0)} + \Xi^{\pi(0,1)}.$$

into  $J$  linear and  $J$  anti-linear parts of  $\Xi^\pi$ .

A map  $w : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (Q, J)$  is called a **contact instanton** if it satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}^\pi w = 0, \quad d(w^* \lambda \circ j) = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^\pi := (du)^{\pi(0,1)}. \quad (2.1)$$

### Theorem (–, 2021)

The associated boundary value problem with **Legendrian boundaries** is a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem.

- Contact instanton equation itself is first introduced by **Hofer**.
- When the one-form  $w^* \lambda \circ j$  is exact, the equation can be lifted to a pseudoholomorphic curve equation on the symplectization  $SQ = Q \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- We used this equation to develop the contact analog to the Lagrangian intersection theory in symplectic geometry to investigate **entanglement problem** of **Legendrian links** in general. In particular, we have proved a conjecture by **Sandon-Shelukhin** on the existence question of *translated points* of a contactomorphism  $\psi$  when its Hofer-type norm is smaller than **period gap** of the contact manifold  $(M, \lambda)$ . – [arXiv:2111.02597](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02597)–

# Asymptotic invariants of contact instantons

We start with the following subsequence convergence result of contact instantons.

## Hypothesis

Let  $w : \dot{\Sigma} \rightarrow Q$  be a contact instanton satisfying

- 1  $E^\pi(w) < \infty$  (finite  $\pi$ -energy);
- 2  $\|dw\|_{C^0(\dot{\Sigma})} < \infty$ .

Then we associate two natural asymptotic invariants at each puncture defined as

$$T := \frac{1}{2} \int_{[0, \infty) \times S^1} |d^\pi w|^2 dA + \int_{\{0\} \times S^1} (w|_{\{0\} \times S^1})^* \lambda$$
$$Q := \int_{\{0\} \times S^1} ((w|_{\{0\} \times S^1})^* \lambda \circ j).$$

We call  $T$  the *asymptotic contact action* and  $Q$  the *asymptotic contact charge* of the contact instanton  $w$  at the given puncture.

## Subsequence convergence theorem

Let  $\dot{\Sigma}$  be a punctured Riemann surface with a finite number of marked points  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . Let  $w$  be a contact instanton satisfying the conditions laid out above.

### Theorem (– and R. Wang, 2018)

For any given sequence  $I = \{\tau_k\}$  with  $\tau_k \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $I' \subset I$  and a closed parameterized Reeb orbit  $\gamma = \gamma_{I'}$  of period  $|T|$  and some  $(\tau_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$  and a massless instanton  $w_\infty(\tau, t)$  (i.e.,  $E^\pi(w_\infty) = 0$ ) on the cylinder  $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$  such that

- 1  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w(\tau + \tau_{k_i}, t) = w_\infty$  in compact  $C^\infty$  topology.
- 2 Furthermore  $w_\infty$  has the formula

$$w_\infty(\tau, t) = \gamma(-Q(p)\tau + T(p)t)$$

for a Reeb trajectory  $\gamma$ ,

- 3 For the case of  $Q = 0$  and  $T \neq 0$ , the trajectory is a closed Reeb orbit of  $R_\lambda$  with period  $|T|$ .

# Spirling cusp instantons along a Reeb core

- In the above theorem, the asymptotic massless instanton

$$w_\infty(\tau, t) = \gamma(-Q(p)\tau + T(p)t)$$

could be an Reeb trajectory that is an immersion of  $\mathbb{R}$ , **when  $Q(p) \neq 0$  and  $T(p) = 0$** . In this case, the asymptotic shapes of the subsequence  $w_j$  are ‘cusp-like’ cylinders in that its asymptotic limit is one-dimensional Reeb trajectory.

- To perform the study of **compactification** and **Fredholm theory**, we must find a way of **getting rid of this bad limit**.
- In the preprint ([arXiv:2103.15390](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.15390)), we show that **under the Legendrian boundary conditions**, the asymptotic charge  $Q(p)$  always vanishes. This enables us to carry out the compactification and the Fredholm theory for the **contact instantons with Legendrian boundary conditions**.

## lcs-instantons

### Definition ( $\lambda$ -admissible almost complex structure)

We say an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M = Q \times S^1$  is  $\lambda$ -admissible (resp.  $\omega_\lambda$ -admissible) if  $J$  satisfies the following:

- 1  $J$  is tame to  $\omega_\lambda$  in standard sense,
- 2  $J$  preserves the splitting  $TM = \tilde{\xi} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ , i.e.,  $J(\xi) = \xi$ ,  $J(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{V} = (\tilde{\xi})^{\omega_\lambda}$ .
- 3  $J$  satisfies  $J \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = R_\lambda$ .

(resp. if  $J$  satisfies (1) and (2).) We denote by  $\mathcal{J}(Q \times S^1, \lambda)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{J}(Q \times S^1, \omega_\lambda)$ ) the set of  $\lambda$ -admissible (resp.  $\omega_\lambda$ -admissible) almost complex structures.

- The associated  $J$ -holomorphic curve equation for the map  $u = (w, f) : \dot{\Sigma} \rightarrow Q \times S^1$  is reduced to

$$\bar{\partial}^\pi w = 0, \quad w^* \lambda \circ j = f^* d\theta. \quad (3.1)$$

We call a solution  $(w, f)$  an **lcs-instanton**.

- In particular  $w$  is a contact instanton.
- The equation resembles the  $J$ -holomorphic curve equation in the symplectization  $\mathbb{R} \times Q$  except that the *exact* one-form  $f^* dr$  in the symplectization case is replaced by the *closed* one-form  $f^* d\theta$ .
- Indeed if we replace  $S^1$  by  $\mathbb{R}$ , our study of pseudoholomorphic curves on the lcs manifold equipped with the form  $d\lambda + \flat \wedge \lambda$  with  $\flat = dr$  reduced to that of the symplectization of  $(Q, \lambda)$ .
- In this sense, **Hofer's treatment of energy** controlling the behavior of pseudoholomorphic curves on symplectization hints the way how one should proceed with the analysis of pseudoholomorphic curves on lcs manifolds.
- To correctly perform this analysis, we need to **fix the charge class**  $\eta = [f^* d\theta] \in H^1(\dot{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$  of the lcs instanton  $(w, f)$ .



## Charge class and lcs instanton energy

Let  $(w, f)$  be an lcs-instanton satisfying

$$\bar{\partial}^\pi w = 0, \quad w^* \lambda \circ j = f^* d\theta$$

for the canonical angular form on  $S^1$ .

### Definition (Period map and the charge class)

Let  $f : \dot{\Sigma} \rightarrow Q \times S^1$  be a smooth map.

① We call the map

$$C^\infty(\dot{\Sigma}, Q) \rightarrow H^1(\dot{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z}); \quad f \mapsto [f^* d\theta]$$

the period map and call the cohomology class  $[f^* d\theta]$  the charge class of the map  $f$ .

② For an lcs instanton  $u = (w, f) : \dot{\Sigma} \rightarrow Q \times S^1$ , we call the cohomology class

$$[f^* d\theta] \in H^1(\dot{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$$

the charge class of  $u$  and write

## Definition

Let  $\lambda$  be a contact form of contact manifold  $(Q, \xi)$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{Reeb}(Q, \lambda)$  the set of closed Reeb orbits. We define  $\text{Spec}(Q, \lambda)$  to be the set

$$\text{Spec}(Q, \lambda) = \left\{ \int_{\gamma} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Reeb}(Q, \lambda) \right\}$$

and call the action spectrum of  $(Q, \lambda)$ . We denote

$$T_{\lambda} := \inf \left\{ \int_{\gamma} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{Reeb}(Q, \lambda) \right\}.$$

We set  $T_{\lambda} = \infty$  if there is no closed Reeb orbit. This constant  $T_{\lambda}$  will enter in a crucial way in the following period gap theorem of Ics instantons.

# Off-shell energy for lcs instantons

## Definition ( $\pi$ -energy)

$$E^\pi(w, f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\dot{\Sigma}} |d^\pi w|^2.$$

Let  $[f^*d\theta] = \eta$  for a fixed class  $\eta \in H^1(\dot{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$ , we can write the closed one-form  $f^*d\theta$  into

$$f^*d\theta = \beta + d\tilde{f}$$

where  $\beta$  is a harmonic one-form representing the class  $\eta \in H^1(\dot{\Sigma})$  and  $\tilde{f}$  is a real-valued function on  $\dot{\Sigma}$ .

## Definition (Vertical energy)

Let  $\eta \in H^1(\dot{\Sigma}, \mathbb{Z})$  be fixed. Let  $w$  satisfy  $w^*\lambda \circ j = f^*d\theta$  with  $[u]_{S^1} = \eta$ . Then we define

$$E_\eta^\perp(j, u) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{\dot{\Sigma}} d\varphi \circ j \wedge d(\psi(\tilde{f})) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{\dot{\Sigma}} d(\psi(\tilde{f})) \wedge w^*\lambda.$$

## Theorem ( $\epsilon$ -regularity theorem)

Denote by  $D^2(1)$  the closed unit disc and let  $u = (w, f)$  be an lcs instanton defined on  $D^2(1)$  so that  $w : D^2(1) \rightarrow Q$  satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}^\pi w = 0, \quad w^* \lambda \circ j = f^* d\theta.$$

Assume the vertical energy bound  $E^\perp(w) < K_0$ . Then for any given  $0 < \epsilon < T_\lambda$  and  $w$  satisfying  $E^\pi(w) < T_\lambda - \epsilon$ , and for a smaller disc  $D' \subset \bar{D}' \subset D$ , there exists some  $K_1 = K_1(D', \epsilon, K_0) > 0$

$$\|dw\|_{C^0; D'} \leq K_1 \tag{3.2}$$

where  $K_1$  depends only on  $(Q, \lambda, J)$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $D' \subset D$ .

# Linearization and the Fredholm theory

- We consider the map

$$\Upsilon(w, f) = \left( \bar{\partial}^\pi w, w^* \lambda \circ j - f^* d\theta \right)$$

whose zero set is the set of ICS instantons by definition.

- Let  $u = (w, f) : \dot{\Sigma} \rightarrow Q \times S^1$  be an ICS instanton of a given charge class  $[u] = \eta$ . We decompose

$$d\pi = d^\pi w + w^* \lambda \otimes R_\lambda$$

and

$$X = (Y, v) \in \Omega^0(u^* T(Q \times S^1))$$

and  $Y = Y^\pi + \lambda(Y)R_\lambda$ . Denote

$$\kappa = \lambda(Y), \quad v = d\theta(v).$$

## Theorem

Decompose the linearized operator into  $D\Upsilon(u) = D\Upsilon_1(u) + D\Upsilon_2(u)$  with

$$D\Upsilon_1(u)(Y, \nu) = \bar{\partial}^{\nabla^\pi} Y^\pi + B^{(0,1)}(Y^\pi) + T_{dw}^{\pi, (0,1)}(Y^\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \cdot ((\mathcal{L}_{R_\lambda} J)J(\partial^\pi w))$$

$$D\Upsilon_2(u)(Y, \nu) = (\mathcal{L}_Y \lambda) \circ j - \mathcal{L}_\nu d\theta = d\kappa \circ j - d\nu + Y \rfloor d\lambda \circ j$$

where  $B^{(0,1)}$  and  $T_{dw}^{\pi, (0,1)}$  are the  $(0, 1)$ -components of  $B$  and  $T_{dw}^{\pi, (0,1)}$  respectively, where  $B, T_{dw}^\pi : \Omega^0(w^* TQ) \rightarrow \Omega^1(w^* \xi)$  are the zero-order differential operators given by

$$B(Y) = -\frac{1}{2} w^* \lambda ((\mathcal{L}_{R_\lambda} J)JY)$$

and

$$T_{dw}^\pi(Y) = \pi T(Y, dw).$$

- More succinctly, we can express the operator  $D\Upsilon(u)$  in a matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}^{\nabla\pi} + B^{(0,1)} + T_{dw}^{\pi,(0,1)} & , & \frac{1}{2}(\cdot) \cdot ((\mathcal{L}_{R_\lambda} J)J(\partial^\pi w)) \\ ((\cdot)^\pi] d\lambda) \circ j & , & \bar{\partial} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

- Noting that the off-diagonal terms of (3.3) are zero-order operators, by the continuous invariance of the Fredholm index, we obtain

$$\text{Index } D\Upsilon_{(\lambda,T)}(w) = \text{Index} \left( \bar{\partial}^{\nabla\pi} + T_{dw}^{\pi,(0,1)} + B^{(0,1)} \right) + \text{Index}(\bar{\partial}). \quad (3.4)$$

# Index formula

## Theorem

We fix a trivialization  $\Phi : E \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$  and denote by  $\Psi_i^+$  (resp.  $\Psi_j^-$ ) the induced symplectic paths associated to the trivializations  $\Phi_i^+$  (resp.  $\Phi_j^-$ ) along the Reeb orbits  $\gamma_i^+$  (resp.  $\gamma_j^-$ ) at the punctures  $p_i$  (resp.  $q_j$ ) respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Index } D\Upsilon_{(\lambda, T)}(u) \\ = & n(2 - 2g - s^+ - s^-) + 2c_1(w^*\xi) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{s^+} \mu_{CZ}(\Psi_i^+) - \sum_{j=1}^{s^-} \mu_{CZ}(\Psi_j^-) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{s^+} (2m(\gamma_i^+) + 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{s^-} (2m(\gamma_j^-) + 1) - 2g. \end{aligned}$$



Thank you for your attention!