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## Introduction

- Analytically construct the linear stability spectrum for traveling wave solutions of focusing NLS
- Extend previous work on problems with self-adjoint Lax pair to prove stability of periodic traveling wave solutions
- Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation (Bottman, Deconinck, Kapitula, Nivala, 2009, 2010)
- Defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation (Bottman, Deconinck, \& Nivala, 2011)
- Defocusing modified KdV equation (Deconinck \& Nivala, 2010)
- Analytical description of some spectra of non-self-adjoint problems
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## Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) Equation

$$
i \psi_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{x x}+|\psi|^{2} \psi=0
$$

- Construct the "stationary" "periodic" solutions
- Linearize around stationary solutions to get a spectral problem
- Spectral elements in the right half plane correspond to instability
- All stationary solutions (except soliton) are unstable
- (Kartashov et al., 2003), (Gallay and Haragus, 2007 (2×)), (Ivey \& Lafortune, 2008), (Gustafson, Le Coz, and Tsai, 2016)
- For all solutions, we obtain a fully analytical description of the stability spectrum
- For almost all spectrally stable solutions, we establish their orbital stability


## Stationary travelling wave solutions
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## Stationary travelling wave solutions

$$
i \psi_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{x x}+|\psi|^{2} \psi=0
$$

- Ansatz

$$
\psi=e^{-i \omega t} \phi(x)=e^{-i \omega t} R(x) e^{i \theta(x)}
$$

- Solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2}(x) & =b-k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}(x, k) \\
\omega & =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+k^{2}\right)-\frac{3}{2} b \\
\theta(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{c}{R^{2}(y)} d y \\
c^{2} & =b(1-b)\left(b-k^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parameter space

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2}(x) & =b-k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}(x, k) \\
c^{2} & =b(1-b)\left(b-k^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We require $R, c \in \mathbb{R}$ so

- $0 \leq k<1$
- $k^{2} \leq b \leq 1$



## Parameter space

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =R(x) e^{i \theta(x)} & R^{2}(x) & =b-k^{2} \operatorname{sn}^{2}(x, k) \\
\theta(x) & =\int_{0}^{x} \frac{c}{R^{2}(y)} d y & c^{2} & =b(1-b)\left(b-k^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Stability of stationary solutions: Orbital, Spectral
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\psi(x, t)=e^{-i \omega t} \Psi(x, t)
$$

we have that stationary solutions $\Psi(x, t)=\phi(x)$ are fixed points of

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \Psi_{t}+\omega \Psi+\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{x x}+|\Psi|^{2} \Psi=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fixed-point solution $\Psi(x, t)=\phi(x)$ of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is orbitally stable if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \epsilon>0, \exists \delta>0: \text { if }\|\Psi(x, 0)-\phi(x)\|<\delta \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \inf _{\gamma, x_{0}}\left\|\Psi(x, t)-e^{i \gamma} \phi\left(x+x_{0}\right)\right\|<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$
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\Psi(x, t)=e^{i \theta(x)}\left(R(x)+\varepsilon(u(x, t)+i v(x, t))+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right) .
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## Stability of solutions: Orbital, Spectral

To start, we consider infinitesimal perturbations: let

$$
\Psi(x, t)=e^{i \theta(x)}\left(R(x)+\varepsilon(u(x, t)+i v(x, t))+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

To first order in $\varepsilon, u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ satisfy

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{u}{v}=J \mathcal{L}\binom{u}{v}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{+} & S \\
-S & L_{-}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u}{v}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{-} & =-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x}^{2}-R^{2}(x)-\omega+\frac{c^{2}}{2 R^{4}(x)} \\
L_{+} & =-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x}^{2}-3 R^{2}(x)-\omega+\frac{c^{2}}{2 R^{4}(x)} \\
S & =\frac{c}{R^{2}(x)} \partial_{x}-\frac{c R^{\prime}(x)}{R^{3}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stability of solutions: Orbital, Spectral

Since $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $S$ do not depend on $t$, let
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u(x, t)=e^{\lambda t} U(x ; \lambda), \quad v(x, t)=e^{\lambda t} V(x ; \lambda)
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## Stability of solutions: Orbital, Spectral

Since $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $S$ do not depend on $t$, let

$$
u(x, t)=e^{\lambda t} U(x ; \lambda), \quad v(x, t)=e^{\lambda t} V(x ; \lambda)
$$

This gives the spectral problem

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-S & L_{-} \\
-L_{+} & -S
\end{array}\right)\binom{U}{V}=\lambda\binom{U}{V}
$$

The stability spectrum $\sigma(J \mathcal{L})$ of $J \mathcal{L}$ is defined as

$$
\sigma(J \mathcal{L})=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \exists\|U+i V\|<\infty\}
$$

A fixed-point solution $\Psi(x, t)=\phi(x)$ of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is spectrally stable if

$$
\sigma(J \mathcal{L}) \cap \mathrm{RHP}=\emptyset
$$

## Stability of solutions

Following earlier work (Bottman, Deconinck, Nivala 2009, 2011):

- Examine spectrum for Lax pair associated with NLS
- Use squared-eigenfunction connection to associate spectrum of Lax pair with spectrum of the linear operator for NLS


## Lax pairs and integrability

The Lax pair

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \xi & \phi \\
-\phi^{*} & i \xi
\end{array}\right) \chi \\
\chi_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \xi^{2}+\frac{i}{2}|\phi|^{2}+\frac{i}{2} \omega & \xi \phi+\frac{i}{2} \phi_{x} \\
-\xi \phi^{*}+\frac{i}{2} \phi_{x}^{*} & i \xi^{2}-\frac{i}{2}|\phi|^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \omega
\end{array}\right) \chi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & -A
\end{array}\right) \chi,
\end{gathered}
$$

with the compatibility condition $\chi_{x t}=\chi_{t x}$ gives that $\psi=e^{-i \omega t} \phi(x)$ satisfies

$$
i \Psi_{t}+\omega \Psi+\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{x x}+|\Psi|^{2} \Psi=0
$$

## Lax pairs and integrability

Since $A$ and $B$ are independent of $t$, we let

$$
\chi(x, t)=e^{\Omega t} \varphi(x)
$$

leading to
$\Omega^{2}=A^{2}+B C=-\xi^{4}+\omega \xi^{2}+c \xi+\frac{1}{16}\left(-4 \omega b-3 b^{2}-\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{2}\right)$,
and

$$
\varphi(x)=\gamma(x)\binom{-B(x)}{A(x)-\Omega} .
$$

## Lax pairs and integrability

The scalar function $\gamma(x)$ is determined from

$$
\chi_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \xi & \phi \\
-\phi^{*} & i \xi
\end{array}\right) \chi
$$

resulting in a linear, first-order, homogeneous ODE for $\gamma(x)$, so that

$$
\gamma(x)=\gamma_{0} e^{-\int \frac{(A-\Omega) \phi+B_{x}+i \xi B}{B}} d x
$$

## Lax pairs and integrability

The scalar function $\gamma(x)$ is determined from

$$
\chi_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \xi & \phi \\
-\phi^{*} & i \xi
\end{array}\right) \chi
$$

resulting in a linear, first-order, homogeneous ODE for $\gamma(x)$, so that

$$
\gamma(x)=\gamma_{0} e^{-\int \frac{(A-\Omega) \phi+B_{x}+i \xi B}{B}} d x
$$

Since $\varphi$ and thus $\gamma$ should be bounded, we need

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\langle\frac{(A(x, \xi)-\Omega(\xi)) \phi(x)+B_{x}(x, \xi)+i \xi B(x, \xi)}{B(\xi, x)}\right\rangle=0
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denotes a spatial average.

## The Lax spectrum

The Lax Spectrum $\sigma_{L}$ consists of all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$
\operatorname{Re}(-2 i \xi K(k) \pm 2(\zeta(\alpha) K(k)-\zeta(K(k)) \alpha))=0
$$

where $\zeta$ is the Weierstrass $\zeta$ function with lattice invariants

$$
g_{2}=\frac{4}{3}\left(1-k^{2}+k^{4}\right), \quad g_{3}=\frac{4}{27}\left(2-3 k^{2}-3 k^{4}+2 k^{6}\right),
$$

and

$$
\alpha=\alpha(\xi)=\wp^{-1}\left(2 \Omega(\xi)+2 i \xi^{2}+\frac{\omega}{3}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)
$$

## The Lax spectrum



## The stability spectrum

The stability spectrum $\sigma(J \mathcal{L})$ is given by all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which

$$
\lambda=2 \Omega(\xi)
$$

where $\xi \in \sigma_{L}$, and

$$
\Omega^{2}=-\xi^{4}+\omega \xi^{2}+c \xi+\frac{1}{16}\left(-4 \omega b-3 b^{2}-\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Also,

$$
\binom{U}{V}=\binom{e^{-i \theta(x)} \varphi_{1}^{2}-e^{i \theta(x)} \varphi_{2}^{2}}{-i e^{-i \theta(x)} \varphi_{1}^{2}-i e^{i \theta(x)} \varphi_{2}^{2}},
$$

where $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are known explicitly.

## Parameter space: topology of spectra



## Stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

Consider the class of subharmonic perturbations: $g(x)$ is subharmonic with respect to $f(x)=f(x+T)$, if
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## Stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

Consider the class of subharmonic perturbations: $g(x)$ is subharmonic with respect to $f(x)=f(x+T)$, if

$$
\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: g(x+N T)=g(x)
$$

Since the spectral problem has periodic coefficients, we know from Floquet's Theorem that all eigenfunctions are of the form

$$
\binom{U(x)}{V(x)}=e^{i \mu x}\binom{\hat{U}(x)}{\hat{V}(x)}
$$

where $\hat{U}(x)$ and $\hat{V}(x)$ are periodic with period $T(k)$. Thus,

$$
\mu=\frac{\pi}{P T(k)} \quad \bmod \frac{2 \pi}{T(k)} \in(-\pi / T(K), \pi / T(K)]
$$

corresponds to perturbations of period $P T(k)$.

## Stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

Using the explicit form of the eigenfunction in terms of $\xi$, and noticing that

$$
e^{i \mu T(k)}=\frac{U(x+T(k))}{U(x)}
$$

we find a parametric form of the spectrum as a function of the Floquet parameter $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =\mu(\xi) \\
\lambda^{2} & =-4 \xi^{4}+4 \omega \xi^{2}+4 c \xi+\frac{1}{4}\left(-4 \omega b-3 b^{2}-\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\xi$ in $\sigma_{L}$.

## Spectral stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations



Solutions (including those on the border, and all those below) are spectrally stable with respect to perturbations of period $N T(k)=2 K(k) N$.

## Spectral stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations
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## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

We wish to show that the solutions that are spectrally stable with respect to subharmonic perturbations of period $N T(k)$ are orbitally stable with respect to these perturbations.

- First, establish formal stability: find a Lyapunov functional
- Second, use Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss $(87,90)$ \& Maddocks and Sachs (1993) to establish formal stability


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations
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## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- For harmonic perturbations $(N=1)$, Gallay \& Haragus showed that the Hamiltonian

$$
H_{2, N}=\int_{-N T(k) / 2}^{N T(k) / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\Psi_{x}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}|\Psi|^{4}-\omega|\Psi|^{2}\right) d x
$$

is a Lyapunov functional. In other words, the fixed point solutions minimize $H_{2,1}$.

- The quadratic part of the Hamiltonian is given by the Krein signature

$$
K_{2, N}=\langle\Psi, \mathcal{L} \Psi\rangle_{N}
$$

where the inner product is taken over $N \in \mathbb{N}$ periods.

- Using the eigenfunctions of the spectral stability problem, $K_{2, N}(\xi)$ is calculated explicitly, with different directions indexed by different $\xi$. For all $N$, the set of $\xi$ is countable.


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- For $N=1$, no negative directions, and $H_{2}$ is a Lyapunov functional for harmonic perturbations, for all fixed point solutions.


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- For $N=1$, no negative directions, and $H_{2}$ is a Lyapunov functional for harmonic perturbations, for all fixed point solutions.
- For $N>1$ this is no longer true: this is a larger function space, and negative directions appear.
We need a different Lyapunov functional...


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- NLS is a member of an infinite hierarchy of integrable equations whose dynamics commute:

$$
\Psi_{t_{n}}=i \frac{\delta H_{n, N}}{\delta \Psi^{*}}
$$

- Thus $\hat{H}_{n, N}:=H_{n, N}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{n, j} H_{j, N}$ are conserved quantities, for arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{N}$.


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- NLS is a member of an infinite hierarchy of integrable equations whose dynamics commute:

$$
\Psi_{t_{n}}=i \frac{\delta H_{n, N}}{\delta \Psi^{*}}
$$

- Thus $\hat{H}_{n, N}:=H_{n, N}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{n, j} H_{j, N}$ are conserved quantities, for arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Can we find $c_{n, j}$ so that $\hat{H}_{n, N}$ is a Lyapunov functional for the $t=t_{2}$ dynamics near $\Psi=\phi$ ?


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- Impose constraints on $c_{n, j}$ such that

$$
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i.e., $\phi$ is stationary with respect to $t_{n}$.
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where $p_{n}(\xi)$ is polynomial in $\xi$ with coefficients determined by $c_{n, j}$, using the same $\psi$ as before since the different NLS flows commute.

## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- Impose constraints on $c_{n, j}$ such that

$$
\left.\frac{\delta \hat{H}_{n, N}}{\delta \Psi^{*}}\right|_{\Psi=\phi}=0,
$$

i.e., $\phi$ is stationary with respect to $t_{n}$.

- Crucial observation: for $n \geq 2$,

$$
K_{n, N}(\xi):=\left\langle\Psi, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n} \Psi\right\rangle=p_{n}(\xi) K_{2, N}(\xi)
$$

where $p_{n}(\xi)$ is polynomial in $\xi$ with coefficients determined by $c_{n, j}$, using the same $\Psi$ as before since the different NLS flows commute.

- Impose more constraints on $c_{j, N}$ such that $K_{n, N} \geq 0$, with equality only for $\Psi \in \operatorname{ker} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}$.

Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations


- For all $N$, it suffices to let $n=4$, thus

$$
p_{2}(\zeta)=\left(\zeta-\zeta_{1}\right)\left(\zeta-\zeta_{2}\right)
$$

## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- The above construction establishes formal stability.
- The conditions of Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss $(87,90)$ need to be verified. Mainly, we have to check that $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{4}$ is spanned by the generators of the Lie point symmetry group (Maddocks and Sachs, 93).
- For all $N, \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{4}$ is identical to $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{2}$, for which the condition is easily verified.


## Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

- The above construction establishes formal stability.
- The conditions of Grillakis, Shatah and Strauss $(87,90)$ need to be verified. Mainly, we have to check that $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{4}$ is spanned by the generators of the Lie point symmetry group (Maddocks and Sachs, 93).
- For all $N, \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{4}$ is identical to $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{L}_{2}$, for which the condition is easily verified.
- This establishes orbital stability for all solutions that are spectrally stable, except for the solutions on the boundary curves.


## Summary

- Complete understanding of the stability spectra of elliptic solutions of focusing NLS
- Explicit description of the spectra of some non-self-adjoint problems
- Orbital stability with respect to subharmonic perturbations

