Multiscale Decomposition of Diffeomorphisms in Image Registration
 A Nonlinear Plancherel Theorem, and Reconstruction Method for the Inverse Conductivity Problem

Adrian I. Nachman

University of Toronto

#### 1. Joint work with Klas Modin and Luca Rondi 2. Joint work with Idan Regev and Daniel I. Tataru

June 24, 2019

# The The Calderón Inverse Conductivity Problem Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ u \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = g. \end{cases}$$
(1)

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is defined as

$$\Lambda_{\sigma}f:=\sigma\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

A.P. Calderón (1980) posed the problem: does  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  uniquely determine  $\sigma$ ?

- N. (1996) Unique reconstruction for  $\sigma \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  for some p>1
- R. Brown. G. Uhlman (1997)  $\sigma \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , for some p > 2.
- K. Astala, L. Päivärinta (2006)  $\sigma \in L^\infty$
- K. Astala, M. Lassas, L. Päivärinta (2016) Larger class of conductivities which includes some unbounded ones.
- C.Carstea J.-N. Wang  $\log \sigma \in L^2(\Omega)$  with small norm (2018)

### Reconstruction via Inversion of the Scattering Transform

Assume  $\nabla \log \sigma \in L^2(\Omega)$  and (for simplicity)  $\sigma = 1$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Let  $v = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial u$  then for u real valued, v is pseudoanalytic i.e.  $\overline{\partial} v = q\overline{v}$  with  $q = -\frac{1}{2} \partial \log \sigma \in L^2$ .

We'll use a nonlinear transform of q, the Scattering Transform Sq, which can be calculated from  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ .

The main result of Part 1 is a Plancherel and Inversion Theorem for the Scattering Transform.

### The Scattering Transform

Given q(z), we solve for  $m_{\pm}(z,k)$  satisfying the pseudo-analytic equations

$$\left\{egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}m_{\pm} &= \pm e_{-k}q\overline{m_{\pm}}\ m_{\pm} & o 1 ext{ as } |z| o \infty \end{aligned}
ight.$$

where

$$z = x_1 + ix_2;$$
  $k = k_1 + ik_2;$   $\bar{\partial} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right);$   $e_k(z) = e^{i(zk + \overline{zk})}.$ 

The Scattering Transform - first introduced by Ablowitz and Fokas (1982) to solve a nonlinear PDE - is defined as

$$\mathbf{s}(k) = Sq(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_k(z) \overline{q(z)} \Big( m_+(z,k) + m_-(z,k) \Big) dz,$$

where  $dz = dx_1 dx_2$ . When q = 0, then  $m_{\pm} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{s}(k) = \overline{\hat{q}(k)}$ .

### Nonlinear Plancherel Identity

Beals and Coifman (1998) proved that for q in Schwartz class **s** is in Schwartz class and :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathbf{s}(k)|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |q(z)|^2 dz.$$

Open Problem: true for all q in  $L^2$ ?

- R. Brown (2001) q in  $L^2$  with small norm
- P. Perry (2014) q in weighted Sobolev space  $H^{1,1}$
- K. Astala, D. Faraco and K. Rogers (2015) q in weighted Sobolev space H<sup>ε,ε</sup>, ε > 0
- R. Brown, K. Ott and P. Perry (2016)  $q \in H^{\alpha,\beta}$  iff  $\mathbf{s} \in H^{\beta,\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$

### Plancherel Theorem

### Theorem (N-Regev-Tataru)

The nonlinear scattering transform  $S : q \mapsto s$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $S : L^2 \to L^2$ , satisfying:

- The Plancherel Identity:  $\|Sq\|_{L^2} = \|q\|_{L^2}$
- 2 The pointwise bound:  $|Sq(k)| \le C(||q||_{L^2})M\hat{q}(k)$  for a.e. k
- Substitution Locally uniform bi-Lipschitz continuity:

$$\frac{1}{C} \|Sq_1 - Sq_2\|_{L^2} \le \|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^2} \le C \|Sq_1 - Sq_2\|_{L^2}$$

where

$$C = C(\|q_1\|_{L^2})C(\|q_2\|_{L^2}).$$

Inversion Theorem:  $S^{-1} = S$ .

### Using the Scattering Transform to solve DSII The (integrable, defocusing) DSII (Davey Stewartson) equations

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t q + 2(\bar{\partial}^2 + \partial^2)q + q(g + \overline{g}) = 0\\ \bar{\partial}g + \partial(|q|^2) = 0\\ q(0, z) = q_0(z) \end{cases}$$
(2)

arise in the study of water waves, ferromagnetism, plasma physics, and nonlinear optics. Analogous to Fourier transform for linear PDEs:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathbf{s}_0(k) \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbf{s}(t,k). \end{array}$$

### A bit about the Proof

We need to solve

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} &rac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}}m_{\pm}=\pm e_{-k}q\overline{m_{\pm}}\ &m_{\pm}
ightarrow 1 ext{ as }|z|
ightarrow\infty. \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

In integral form,

$$m_{\pm} - 1 = (\bar{\partial} \mp e_{-k}q)^{-1}\bar{\partial}^{-1}(e_{-k}q).$$

• For  $q \in L^2$ , we need new bounds on  $\bar{\partial}^{-1}(e_{-k}q)$  which allow us to capture the large k decay without assuming any smoothness on q.

We need bounds on  $(\bar{\partial} \mp e_{-k}q^{-})^{-1}$  which depend only on the L<sup>2</sup> norm of *q*.

# New Estimate on Fractional Integrals

#### Lemma

For  $q \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\|\bar{\partial}^{-1}(e_{-k}q)\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(M\hat{q}(k)\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

M is the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

which yields a bounded operator on  $L^p$  for 1 .

#### Theorem

For 
$$0 < \alpha < n$$
,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1$ 

$$\left|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(x)\right| \leq c_{n,\alpha} \left(M\hat{f}(0)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left(Mf(x)\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$$

Adrian I. Nachman (UofT)

# Sketch of Proof - Fractional Integrals

### Proof.

Using Littlewood-Paley decomposition,

$$(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_j(\xi) \frac{e^{ix\cdot\xi}}{|\xi|^{\alpha}} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi + \sum_{j_0+1}^{\infty} \dots$$

with  $\psi_j(\xi) = \psi(\xi/2^j)$  supported in  $2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}$ . For  $j \le j_0$  use $\int_{|\xi| < r} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \le c_n r^n M \hat{f}(0)$ 

• • •

$$\left|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}f(x)\right| \lesssim 2^{j_0(n-\alpha)}M\hat{f}(0) + 2^{-j_0\alpha}Mf(x)$$

optimize over  $j_0$ .

Adrian I. Nachman (UofT)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Key Theorem - bounds in terms of $||q||_{L^2}$

#### Theorem

Let  $q \in L^2$ . Then for each  $f \in \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  there exists a unique solution  $u \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  of

$$L_q u := \bar{\partial} u + q \bar{u} = f \tag{4}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le C(\|q\|_{L^2}) \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$
(5)

In particular, for  $f \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}$  the same holds, with  $||u||_{L^4} \leq C(||q||_{L^2})||f||_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ .

Idea of the proof: use Kenig and Merle method of Induction on Energy and Gerard Profile Decompositions to study the static problem.

# Construction of the Jost Solutions for $q \in L^2$

As a result of the new estimates on fractional integrals and the Key Theorem, we can now establish

### Theorem (Jost Solutions)

Suppose  $q \in L^2$ , then for almost every k there exist unique Jost solutions  $m_{\pm}(z,k)$  with  $m_{\pm}(\cdot,k) - 1 \in L^4$  and moreover

$$\|m(\cdot,k)_{\pm}-1\|_{L^4} \leq C(\|q\|_{L^2}) \big(M\hat{q}(k)\big)^{rac{1}{2}}$$

$$\|m_{\pm}-1\|_{L^4_z L^4_k} \leq C(\|q\|_{L^2}).$$

 $\|\bar{\partial}m^{1}(\cdot,k)\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq C(\|q\|_{L^{2}})(M\hat{q}(k))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$ 

### Scattering Transform as a $\Psi DO$

#### Recall

$$\mathbf{s}(k) = \hat{\overline{q}}(k) - \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_k(z) \overline{q(z)} a(k, z) dz,$$

where  $a(k, z) = (m_+(z, k) + m_-(z, k))$ . Replace  $\overline{q}$  by the Fourier transform of some function in  $L^2$ . Then the above becomes a pseudo-differential operator with symbol a(k, z). We'd like to prove it is a bounded operator on  $L^2$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $0 \le \alpha < n$ . Suppose  $a(x, \xi)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \mathsf{a}(x,\xi) \right|^{\frac{2n}{n-\alpha}} dx d\xi < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left\| (-\Delta_{\xi})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \mathsf{a}(x,\xi) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}} \in L_{x}^{\frac{2n}{n-\alpha}}.$$

Then the pseudo-differential operator

$$a(x,D)f(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi} a(x,\xi)\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi$$
(6)

is bounded on  $L^2$ . Moreover, we have the pointwise bound

$$|a(x,D)f(x)| \le c_{\alpha,n}(Mf(x))^{\alpha/n} \|(-\Delta_{\xi})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}a(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}} \|f\|_{L^{2}}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}$$
(7)

for a.e. x.

This completes the sketch of the proof of the Plancherel Theorem.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

# GWP for Defocusing DSII on $L^2$

#### Theorem

Given  $q_0 \in L^2$ , there exists a unique solution to the Cauchy Problem for defocusing DSII such that:

Regularity:

$$q(t,z) \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^2_z(\mathbb{C})) \cap L^4_{t,z}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}).$$

3 Uniform bounds:  $\|q(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} = \|q_0\|_{L^2}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|q(t,z)|^4dzdt\leq C(\|q_0\|_{L^2}).$$

Stability: if q<sub>1</sub>(t, ·) and q<sub>2</sub>(t, ·) are two solutions corresponding to initial data q<sub>1</sub>(0, ·) and q<sub>2</sub>(0, ·) with ||q<sub>j</sub>(0, ·)||<sub>L<sup>2</sup></sub> ≤ R then

 $\|q_1(t,\cdot) - q_2(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} \le C(R) \|q_1(0,\cdot) - q_2(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

くほと くほと くほと

# Back to The Calderón Inverse Conductivity Problem

#### Theorem

Suppose  $\sigma > 0$  is such that  $\nabla \log \sigma \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $\sigma = 1$  on  $\partial \Omega$ , then we can reconstruct  $\sigma$  from knowledge of  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ .

Start of first step: from  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  to  $\mathbf{s}(k) = Sq(k)$ 

Let  $v = \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial u$  then for u real valued,  $\overline{\partial} v = q \overline{v}$  where  $q = -\frac{1}{2} \partial \log \sigma \in L^2$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{s}(k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e_k(z) \overline{q(z)} \Big( m_+(\cdot,k) + m_-(\cdot,k) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \partial \Big( \overline{m_+}(\cdot,k) - \overline{m_-}(\cdot,k) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega} \overline{\nu} \Big( \overline{m_+}(\cdot,k) - \overline{m_-}(\cdot,k) \Big) \end{split}$$

Proof consists in showing that  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  determines the traces of  $m_{\pm}(\cdot, k)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

### Thank You!

3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)