Long-Time Behaviour of the Density Functional Theory

I.M.Sigal

joint work with Fabio Pusateri (in progress)

Banff, July, 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Self-consistent Approximation

Dynamics of a system of n identical bosons or fermions is given by the many-body Schrödinger equation (SE)

$$i\partial_t \psi = H_n \psi.$$

Restricting the SE to the Hartree or Hartree-Fock states

$$\otimes_1^n \psi$$
 and $\wedge_1^n \psi_i$,

we obtain the celebrated Hartree or Hartree-Fock equation,

$$i\partial_t \gamma = [h_\gamma, \gamma], \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma = nP_{\psi}$ for bosons and $\gamma = \sum_{1}^{n} P_{\psi_i}$ for fermions and h_{γ} is a (self-consistent) one-particle Schrödinger operator depending on γ .

Trade-off: large dimensions for the nonlinearity.

Hartree, Hartree-Fock and DFT Equations

We summarize the resulting self-consistent equation,

$$i\partial_t \gamma = [h_\gamma, \gamma],$$

where h_{γ} is self-consistent one-particle Schródinger operator,

$$h_{\gamma} := -\Delta + \underbrace{\mathbf{v} * \rho_{\gamma}}_{\text{direct self-interact}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{ex}(\gamma)}_{\text{exch self-interact}}$$
(2)

Here v is a pair potential,

$$ho_{\gamma}(x,t) := \gamma(x;x,t)$$
 is the charge density,

$$ex(\gamma) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for the Hartree model} \\ -\nu^{\sharp} \gamma & \text{for the Hartree-Fock case} \\ xc(\rho_{\gamma}) & \text{for the density functional theory (DFT).} \end{cases}$$

Example of $xc(\rho)$ is the Dirac term $-c\rho^{1/3}$.

Time-dependent density functional equations

The time-dependent density functional theory (DFT) is based on the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation for an operator γ :

$$\partial_t \gamma = i[h_{\gamma}, \gamma]$$
 (KSE)

where

$$h_\gamma:=-\Delta+g(
ho_\gamma)$$
, with $g:L^1_{
m loc}({\mathbb R}^d) o{\mathbb R}$ and

 $\rho_{\gamma}(x,t) := \gamma(x,x,t)$, the one-particle density.

Here $\gamma \ge 0$, called the density operator. For fermions, $\gamma \le 1$ (the Pauli exclusion principle).

Time-dependent density functional equations

The time-dependent density functional theory (DFT) is based on the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation for an operator γ :

$$\partial_t \gamma = i[h_\gamma, \gamma]$$
 (KSE)

where

$$h_\gamma:=-\Delta+g(
ho_\gamma)$$
, with $g:L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) o\mathbb{R}$ and

 $\rho_{\gamma}(x,t) := \gamma(x,x,t)$, the one-particle density.

Here $\gamma \ge 0$, called the density operator. For fermions, $\gamma \le 1$ (the Pauli exclusion principle).

We assume $g(\rho)$ is a tansl/rot covariant functional:

$$U_{\lambda}g(\rho)U_{\lambda}^{-1} = g(U_{\lambda}\rho) \tag{3}$$

(here $g(\rho)$ is considered as a multiplication operator).

Time-dependent density functional equations

The time-dependent density functional theory (DFT) is based on the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation for an operator γ :

$$\partial_t \gamma = i[h_{\gamma}, \gamma]$$
 (KSE)

where $h_{\gamma} := -\Delta + g(\rho_{\gamma})$, with $g : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_{\gamma}(x, t) := \gamma(x, x, t)$, the one-particle density.

Here $\gamma \ge 0$, called the density operator. For fermions, $\gamma \le 1$ (the Pauli exclusion principle).

We assume $g(\rho)$ is a tansl/rot covariant functional:

$$U_{\lambda}g(\rho)U_{\lambda}^{-1} = g(U_{\lambda}\rho) \tag{4}$$

(here $g(\rho)$ is considered as a multiplication operator). A typical g:

$$g(\rho) = \mathbf{v} * \rho + \mathbf{x} c(\rho), \tag{5}$$

with v a pair potential and $xc(\rho)$ an exch-correl energy term, e.g. $v(x) = \lambda/|x|$ (the Coulomb or Newton potential in 3D) and $v(x) = \lambda\delta(x)$ (the local potential) and $xc(\rho) = -c\rho^{1/3}$ (Dirac).

Key problems

- Existence theory
- Asymptotic behaviour as $t \to \infty$ (scattering theory, return to equilibrium)
- Static, self-similar and travelling wave solutions and their stability (related to the previous item)

The existence theory: Chadam-Glassey (75), Bove-Da Prato-Fano (76), Zagatti (92) (H and HF eqs, Tr $\gamma_0 < \infty$)

Lewin-Sabin (15) (regular potentials), Th. Chen-Hong-Pavlović (17) (delta potential) (H eq, Tr $\gamma_0 = \infty$)

Scattering: Ginibre-Velo (80), Hayashi-Tsutsumi (87), Hayashi-Naumkin (97), Kato-Pusateri (12) (H eq, scalar case)

Asympt. stab. of transl. invar. solns: Lewin-Sabin (15), Th. Chen-Hong-Pavlović (17)

Results

Assume $\gamma_0 \ge 0$ are trace class with the weight $< x >^d$. Theorem (Local decay)

Let $g(\rho)$ satisfy, for d < 4, the conditions

$$g(\rho) = \mathbf{v} * \rho + \lambda \rho^{\beta}, \tag{6}$$

with $v \in L_w^r$, $1 < r < \infty$, $\beta > 1/2$ and $||v||_{L_w^r} + |\lambda| \ll 1$. Then KSE is GWP and has the scattering property: \forall initial condition $\gamma_0 \in I^1$, \exists an operator $\gamma_\infty \in I^1$ s.t., as $t \to \infty$, the solution, $\gamma(t)$, satisfies

$$\left\|\gamma(t) - e^{it\Delta}\gamma_{\infty}e^{-i\Delta t}\right\|_{l^{1}} \to 0.$$
(7)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conjecture: The result holds for $\beta > 1/d$ (short-range or subcritical nonlinearity).

Properties of the Kohn-Sham equation (KSE)

- Galilean invariance
- Conservation of energy and number of particles

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Preservation of positivity
- Hamiltonian structure

Scattering criticality

Recall the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation (KSE):

$$\partial_t \gamma = i[h_\gamma, \gamma], \ h_\gamma := -\Delta + g(\rho_\gamma).$$

Let $U_{\lambda}: \psi(x) \to \lambda^{d} \psi(\lambda x)$. Consider $g(\rho)$ satisfying

$$U_{\lambda}g(\rho)U_{\lambda}^{-1} = \lambda^{-\alpha}g(U_{\lambda}\rho), \qquad (Scal)$$

 $(g(\rho) \text{ is a multiplication operator and } \rho, \text{ a function}).$ We say $g(\rho)$ is scattering subcritical/critical/supercritical iff $\alpha > 1/ \alpha = 1/ \alpha < 1.$

E. g.
$$g(\rho) = |x|^{-\alpha} * \rho$$
 and $g(\rho) = \rho^{\beta}, \beta = \frac{\alpha}{d}$, satisfy (Scal).

 $(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} \text{ is a 'semi-classical limit' of } |x|^{-\alpha} * \rho.)$

Scattering criticality

Recall the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation (KSE):

$$\partial_t \gamma = i[h_\gamma, \gamma], \ h_\gamma := -\Delta + g(\rho_\gamma).$$

Let $U_{\lambda}: \psi(x) \to \lambda^{d} \psi(\lambda x)$. Consider $g(\rho)$ satisfying

$$U_{\lambda}g(\rho)U_{\lambda}^{-1} = \lambda^{-\alpha}g(U_{\lambda}\rho), \qquad (Scal)$$

ж.

 $(g(\rho) \text{ is a multiplication operator and } \rho, \text{ a function}).$ We say $g(\rho)$ is scattering subcritical/critical/supercritical iff $\alpha > 1/ \alpha = 1/ \alpha < 1.$

E. g.
$$g(\rho) = |x|^{-\alpha} * \rho$$
 and $g(\rho) = \rho^{\beta}, \beta = \frac{\alpha}{d}$, satisfy (Scal).

More generally, $g(\rho)$ is short-range (scatt. subcritical) iff $\forall f$ nice,

$$\int_1^\infty \|g(f_t)\|_\infty dt < \infty, \ f_t(x) := t^{-d}f(\frac{x}{t})$$

and long-range (scattering critical or supercritical) otherwise.

Passing to a Hilbert space (mini-GNS)

To work on a Hilbert space pass from γ to $\sqrt{\gamma}$, or more generally to κ , s.t. $\kappa^* \kappa = \gamma$. Then the KSE becomes

$$\partial_t \kappa = i[h_\kappa, \kappa] \qquad (\sqrt{KSE})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where $h_{\kappa} := -\Delta + g(\kappa)$, with $g(\kappa)$ having the gauge symmetry

$$e^{i\chi}g(\kappa)e^{-i\chi} = g(e^{i\chi}\kappa e^{-i\chi}), \ \forall\chi$$
(8)

Proposition

•
$$\sqrt{\textit{KSE}} \iff \textit{KSE}$$
, with $\kappa^* \kappa = \gamma$;

• Well
$$Pos(\kappa) \Rightarrow$$
 Well $Pos(\gamma)$;

• Scat
$$Th(\kappa) \Rightarrow$$
 Scat $Th(\gamma)$.

Local decay

If γ is trace-class, then $\kappa = \sqrt{\gamma}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Let $\kappa(y, x) = \tilde{\kappa}(r, c)$, where $r := y - x, c := \frac{1}{2}(y + x)$. Define the norm

$$\|\kappa\|_{L^{q}_{r}L^{p}_{c}} \equiv \|\tilde{\kappa}\|_{L^{q}_{r}L^{p}_{c}} := \|\|\tilde{\kappa}\|_{L^{p}_{c}}\|_{L^{q}_{r}}.$$
(9)

Theorem [Local decay] Let $g(\rho)$ satisfy the conditions

$$\|dg(\rho)\xi\|_{p} \ll \|\xi\|_{q}, \tag{10}$$

where $1+1/p-1/q>1/d, \ \text{etc}$, and g be small. Then

$$\|\kappa\|_{L^2_r L^s_c} \lesssim t^{-b} \|x^b \kappa_0\|_{HS} \qquad (b = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{s})).$$

Corollary: The *GWP* and *scattering* \implies the same for KSE.

The theorem follows from the next two basic statements.

A priori bounds

Define the Galilean 'boost generator'

$$J_t\kappa := [j_t,\kappa], \text{ with } j_t := x - 2pt, \ p := -i\nabla.$$

and the *non-abelian Sobolev spaces* based on the space of Hilbert - Schmidt operators with the smoothness grading provided by J:

$$W_t^s := \left\{ \kappa \in I^2 : \sum_{|\alpha| \le s} \|J_t^\alpha \kappa\|_{I^2} < \infty \right\}.$$
(11)

Proposition (A priori bounds) Any solution to \sqrt{KSE} satisfies the estimate (for $b \ge d/2$)

$$\|\kappa(t)\|_{W_t^b} \le 2\|\kappa_0\|_{W_0^b}.$$
(12)

The main idea: use almost conservation law:

$$D_{\gamma}J_t\kappa = J_tD_{\gamma}\kappa + [dg(\rho_{\gamma})
ho_{J_t\gamma},\kappa]$$

where $D_{\gamma}\kappa := i\partial_t\kappa - [h_{\gamma},\kappa].$

The gauge invariance, more precisely the invariance under Galilean

Non-abelian Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Kleinerman-type ineqs

Proposition (Non-abelian GNK-type inequality) Let $\alpha b = d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{s})$ and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ (d odd). Then

$$\|\kappa\|_{L^2_r L^s_c} \lesssim t^{-\alpha b} \|\kappa\|^{\alpha}_{W^b_t} \|\kappa\|^{1-\alpha}_{W^0_t}.$$

where, recall,

$$W_t^s := \left\{ \kappa \in I^2 : \sum_{|\alpha| \le s} \|J_t^\alpha \kappa\|_{I^2} < \infty \right\},$$

$$J_t \kappa := [j_t, \kappa], \quad \text{with} \quad j_t := x - 2pt, \ p := -i\nabla x$$

The main idea: (a) extend the GNI to non-abelian spaces (b) pass from the non-abelian GNI to the non-abelian GNKI by using

$$-iD = \frac{1}{2t}e^{-ix^2/4t}J_te^{ix^2/4t}$$
, where $D_{\kappa} := [\partial, \kappa]$.

Completing the proof

The non-abelian Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Kleinerman-type inequality

$$\|\kappa\|_{L^2_r L^s_c} \lesssim t^{-b} \|\kappa\|_{W^b_t}$$

with the a priori bound

$$\|\kappa(t)\|_{W^b_t} \lesssim \|\kappa_0\|_{W^b_0}$$

give the local decay estimate

$$\|\kappa(t)\|_{L^2_rL^s_c} \lesssim t^{-b}\|\kappa_0\|_{W^b_0}$$

 \implies GWP and scattering for $\sqrt{\textit{KSE}}$

 \Longrightarrow GWP and scattering for KSE.

Summary

We discussed

- main features of the time-dependent equations of the density functional theory;
- introduced some new useful tools: (a) the Hilbert-space representation, (b) the almost conserved Galilean generator, (c) modified Sobolev spaces and (d) mixed norms;
- gave a thumbnail sketch of the local decay result, which implies GWP and scattering theory.

Future extensions:

- Scattering critical and supercritical nonlinearities
- Asymptotic stability of static solutions (return to equilibrium)

Thank-you for your attention