

Projective spectrum, group theory and complex dynamics

Rongwei Yang
SUNY at Albany, USA

Banff, April 1, 2019

Spectrum

\mathcal{B} : a unital Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} with unit I , $a \in \mathcal{B}$.

spectrum $\sigma(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a - zI \text{ not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

- ▶ Conventional point of view: $\sigma(a)$ is a reflects a .

Spectrum

\mathcal{B} : a unital Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} with unit I , $a \in \mathcal{B}$.

spectrum $\sigma(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a - zI \text{ not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

- ▶ Conventional point of view: $\sigma(a)$ reflects a .
- ▶ A different point of view: $\sigma(a)$ reflects how a and I interact.

Spectrum

\mathcal{B} : a unital Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} with unit I , $a \in \mathcal{B}$.

spectrum $\sigma(a) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a - zI \text{ not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

- ▶ Conventional point of view: $\sigma(a)$ is a reflects a .
- ▶ A different point of view: $\sigma(a)$ is a reflects how a and I interact.
- ▶ linear pencil : $A_1 - \lambda A_2$;
- ▶ multiparameter pencil: $A(z) = z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2 + \cdots + z_n A_n$;
(algebraic geometry, differential equations, group theory, math physics, etc.)

Joint spectra

A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n : elements in \mathcal{B} .

Problem. How to define a joint spectrum for these elements?

Preferred properties:

1. Reflects joint behavior of the elements.
2. Reflects interaction of the elements.
3. Reflects algebraic properties of the elements, if any.
4. Computable in many examples.

Known joint spectra:

- ▶ 70s, Taylor's spectrum for commuting operators: invertibility of $(A_1 - \lambda_1 I, A_2 - \lambda_2 I, \dots, A_n - \lambda_n I)$ through the exactness of Koszul complex.
- ▶ 70s, Harte spectrum: invertibility of $\sum B_k (A_k - \lambda_k I)$.
- ▶ 80s, Hclntosh-Pryde spectrum: invertibility of $\sum (A_k - \lambda_k I)^2$

Projective spectrum

Let $A(z) = z_1A_1 + z_2A_2 + \cdots + z_nA_n$.

Projective joint spectrum:

$P(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : A(z) \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

$p(A) = \{z = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} : A(z) \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

Projective resolvent sets: $P^c(A)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , $p^c(A)$ in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

Projective spectrum

Let $A(z) = z_1 A_1 + z_2 A_2 + \cdots + z_n A_n$.

Projective joint spectrum:

$P(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : A(z) \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

$p(A) = \{z = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} : A(z) \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{B}\}$

Projective resolvent sets: $P^c(A)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , $p^c(A)$ in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

Features:

1. symmetry: A_1, \dots, A_n are treated equally.
2. base-free: parameter is assigned to each A_j . "I can help but I am not central".
3. generality: applicable to noncommuting operators.
4. computability: easy to compute in many examples.

Examples

- ▶ 1. Let $\mathcal{B} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $A_0 = I$ and $A_1 = i\sigma_1$, $A_2 = i\sigma_2$, $A_3 = i\sigma_3$, where σ_i are the Pauli matrices, i.e.,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \text{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$. And

$\rho(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 : z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0\}$ is a compact algebraic manifold.

Examples

- ▶ 1. Let $\mathcal{B} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $A_0 = I$ and $A_1 = i\sigma_1$, $A_2 = i\sigma_2$, $A_3 = i\sigma_3$, where σ_i are the Pauli matrices, i.e.,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \text{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$. And

$\rho(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^3 : z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0\}$ is a compact algebraic manifold.

- ▶ 2 [Bannon, Cade, Y., 2013]. Free group F_n with generators $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$, and let λ be the regular representation of F_n on $\ell^2(F_n)$. Set $A(z) = z_1\lambda(g_1) + \dots + z_n\lambda(g_n)$, then

$$P(A) = \bigcap_{j=1}^n R_j,$$

where $R_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : 2|z_j|^2 \leq \|z\|^2\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

- ▶ 3 [He, Wang, Y., 2017]. The Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is the universal C^* -algebra generated by n isometries S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I \quad \text{and} \quad S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Let $S(z) = z_1 S_1 + \dots + z_n S_n$ and $S_*(z) = I + S(z)$. Then it is shown in that

(a) $P(S) = \mathbb{C}^n$.

(b) $P^c(S_*)$ is equal to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$.

- ▶ 3 [He, Wang, Y., 2017]. The Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n is the universal C^* -algebra generated by n isometries S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = I \quad \text{and} \quad S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Let $S(z) = z_1 S_1 + \dots + z_n S_n$ and $S_*(z) = I + S(z)$. Then it is shown in that

(a) $P(S) = \mathbb{C}^n$.

(b) $P^c(S_*)$ is equal to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\| < 1\}$.

- ▶ 4 [Stessin, Y., Zhu, 2011; He, Wang, Y., 2017]. If $A_*(z) = I + z_1 A_1 + \dots + z_n A_n$, where A_j are compact operators on a Hilbert space, then

(i) $P(A_*)$ is a *thin set*.

(ii) When $P(A_*)$ is *smooth*, then $E_A = \bigvee_{z \in P(A_*)} \text{Ker } A_*(z)$ is a holomorphic line bundle (kernel bundle) over $P(A_*)$.

Finitely generated groups

$G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$, $\rho : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation.

Set $A_\rho(z) = z_0 I + z_1 \rho(g_1) + \dots + z_n \rho(g_n)$.

Finitely generated groups

$G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$, $\rho : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation.

Set $A_\rho(z) = z_0 I + z_1 \rho(g_1) + \dots + z_n \rho(g_n)$.

Example: If $1_G : G \rightarrow 1$ is the trivial representation, then

$P(A_{1_G}) = \{z_0 + z_1 + \dots + z_n = 0\} := H_1$.

Finitely generated groups

$G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$, $\rho : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation.

Set $A_\rho(z) = z_0 I + z_1 \rho(g_1) + \dots + z_n \rho(g_n)$.

Example: If $1_G : G \rightarrow 1$ is the trivial representation, then $P(A_{1_G}) = \{z_0 + z_1 + \dots + z_n = 0\} := H_1$.

Theorem (Y.)

Let $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \ell^2(G)$ be the left regular representation. Then G is amenable if and only if $P(A_\lambda)$ contains the hyperplane H_1 .

Proposition

If ρ and π are weakly equivalent unitary representations, then $P(A_\rho) = P(A_\pi)$.

Finitely generated groups

$G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$, $\rho : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ a unitary representation.

Set $A_\rho(z) = z_0 I + z_1 \rho(g_1) + \dots + z_n \rho(g_n)$.

Example: If $1_G : G \rightarrow 1$ is the trivial representation, then $P(A_{1_G}) = \{z_0 + z_1 + \dots + z_n = 0\} := H_1$.

Theorem (Y.)

Let $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow \ell^2(G)$ be the left regular representation. Then G is amenable if and only if $P(A_\lambda)$ contains the hyperplane H_1 .

Proposition

If ρ and π are weakly equivalent unitary representations, then $P(A_\rho) = P(A_\pi)$.

Problem: Does the converse hold for irreducible representations?

Infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = \langle a, t \mid a^2 = t^2 = 1 \rangle$

For a fixed $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, consider two-dimensional representation ρ_θ given by

$$\rho_\theta(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} \\ e^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho_\theta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Known: every irreducible repr. of D_∞ is either one dimensional or two dimensional.

Halmos: Every irreducible 2-dim. repr. of D_∞ is of the form ρ_θ for some $\theta \in (0, \pi)$.

Infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = \langle a, t \mid a^2 = t^2 = 1 \rangle$

For a fixed $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, consider two-dimensional representation ρ_θ given by

$$\rho_\theta(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} \\ e^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho_\theta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Known: every irreducible repr. of D_∞ is either one dimensional or two dimensional.

Halmos: Every irreducible 2-dim. repr. of D_∞ is of the form ρ_θ for some $\theta \in (0, \pi)$.

Fact: $P(A_{\rho_\theta}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2 - 2z_1z_2 \cos \theta = 0\}$.

Infinite dihedral group $D_\infty = \langle a, t \mid a^2 = t^2 = 1 \rangle$

For a fixed $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, consider two-dimensional representation ρ_θ given by

$$\rho_\theta(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} \\ e^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho_\theta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Known: every irreducible repr. of D_∞ is either one dimensional or two dimensional.

Halmos: Every irreducible 2-dim. repr. of D_∞ is of the form ρ_θ for some $\theta \in (0, \pi)$.

Fact: $P(A_{\rho_\theta}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2 - 2z_1z_2 \cos \theta = 0\}$.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

If $\lambda : D_\infty \rightarrow U(l^2(D_\infty))$ is the left regular representation, then

$$P(A_\lambda) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} P(A_{\rho_\theta}).$$

Proof

The left regular representation of D_∞ is equivalent to the following representation λ of D_∞ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{T}, \frac{d\theta}{2\pi})$:

$$\lambda(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T \\ T^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & l_0 \\ l_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where T is the bilateral shift operator $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, i.e.,

$Tf(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}f(e^{i\theta})$. If we let $T = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} dE(e^{i\theta})$. Then

$$\lambda(a) = \int_0^{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta} \\ e^{-i\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix} dE(e^{i\theta}) = \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_\theta(a) dE(e^{i\theta});$$

$$\lambda(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} dE(e^{i\theta}) = \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_\theta(t) dE(e^{i\theta}).$$

Group of intermediate growth

Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a symmetric generating set of group G .

Word length: $|x| = \min\{k \mid x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k, x_j \in S\}$, $|e| = 0$.

Set $B_r(G) = \{x \in G \mid |x| \leq r\}$, $r \geq 0$.

Group of intermediate growth

Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a symmetric generating set of group G .

Word length: $|x| = \min\{k \mid x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k, x_j \in S\}$, $|e| = 0$.

Set $B_r(G) = \{x \in G \mid |x| \leq r\}$, $r \geq 0$.

- ▶ G has polynomial growth if $|B_r| \leq \alpha r^\beta$ for some fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$.
- ▶ G has exponential growth if $|B_r| \geq \alpha \beta^r$ for some fixed $\alpha > 0, \beta > 1$.
- ▶ Milnor's question (60s): Is there a group of intermediate growth.

Group of intermediate growth

Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a symmetric generating set of group G .

Word length: $|x| = \min\{k \mid x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k, x_j \in S\}$, $|e| = 0$.

Set $B_r(G) = \{x \in G \mid |x| \leq r\}$, $r \geq 0$.

- ▶ G has polynomial growth if $|B_r| \leq \alpha r^\beta$ for some fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$.
- ▶ G has exponential growth if $|B_r| \geq \alpha \beta^r$ for some fixed $\alpha > 0, \beta > 1$.
- ▶ Milnor's question (60s): Is there a group of intermediate growth.

Grigorchuk (80, 84): Yes! $\mathcal{G} = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$, where

$$a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = bcd = 1$$

$$\sigma^k((ad)^4) = \sigma^k((adacac)^4) = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\sigma : a \rightarrow aca, b \rightarrow d, c \rightarrow b, d \rightarrow c$ is a substitution.

$(e^{\sqrt{r}} \prec |(B_r(\mathcal{G})| \prec e^{0.991})$.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

Let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^2(T)$ be the Koopman repr., where T is the rooted binary tree, and let $M = \frac{1}{4}(\rho(a) + \rho(b) + \rho(c) + \rho(d))$ be the Markov operator of \mathcal{G} . Then $\sigma(M) = [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

Let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^2(T)$ be the Koopman repr., where T is the rooted binary tree, and let $M = \frac{1}{4}(\rho(a) + \rho(b) + \rho(c) + \rho(d))$ be the Markov operator of \mathcal{G} . Then $\sigma(M) = [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Pf.

1. Set $u = \frac{b+c+d-1}{2} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$ and observe $u^2 = 1$.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

Let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^2(T)$ be the Koopman repr., where T is the rooted binary tree, and let $M = \frac{1}{4}(\rho(a) + \rho(b) + \rho(c) + \rho(d))$ be the Markov operator of \mathcal{G} . Then $\sigma(M) = [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Pf.

1. Set $u = \frac{b+c+d-1}{2} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$ and observe $u^2 = 1$.
2. Prove that $\langle a, u \rangle$ is isomorphic to D_∞ .

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

Let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^2(T)$ be the Koopman repr., where T is the rooted binary tree, and let $M = \frac{1}{4}(\rho(a) + \rho(b) + \rho(c) + \rho(d))$ be the Markov operator of \mathcal{G} . Then $\sigma(M) = [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Pf.

1. Set $u = \frac{b+c+d-1}{2} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$ and observe $u^2 = 1$.
2. Prove that $\langle a, u \rangle$ is isomorphic to D_∞ .
3. Prove that Koopman repr. and the regular repr. of D_∞ are weakly equivalent.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

Let $\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^2(T)$ be the Koopman repr., where T is the rooted binary tree, and let $M = \frac{1}{4}(\rho(a) + \rho(b) + \rho(c) + \rho(d))$ be the Markov operator of \mathcal{G} . Then $\sigma(M) = [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Pf.

1. Set $u = \frac{b+c+d-1}{2} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{G}]$ and observe $u^2 = 1$.
2. Prove that $\langle a, u \rangle$ is isomorphic to D_∞ .
3. Prove that Koopman repr. and the regular repr. of D_∞ are weakly equivalent.
4. Write $M - \alpha I = (\frac{1}{4} - \alpha)I + \frac{1}{4}\rho(a) + \frac{1}{2}\rho(u)$ and use the projective spectrum of D_∞ .

Hermitian metric on $P^c(A)$ (with Douglas), preliminary

\mathcal{B} : unital C^* -alg., $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$.

$$\omega_A(z) := A^{-1}(z)dA(z) = \sum_j A^{-1}(z)A_j dz_j, z \in P^c(A).$$

Fundamental form: $\Omega_A(z) = -\omega_A^* \wedge \omega_A$.

Hermitian metric on $P^c(A)$ (with Douglas), preliminary

\mathcal{B} : unital C^* -alg., $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}^*$.

$$\omega_A(z) := A^{-1}(z)dA(z) = \sum_j A^{-1}(z)A_j dz_j, z \in P^c(A).$$

Fundamental form: $\Omega_A(z) = -\omega_A^* \wedge \omega_A$.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\Omega_A(z)) &= -\phi(\omega_A^* \wedge \omega_A) \\ &= \phi[A_k^*(A^{-1}(z))^* A^{-1}(z)A_j] dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \\ &:= g_{jk}(z) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k, \quad z \in P^c(A).\end{aligned}$$

Observe: When $g_\phi(z) := (g_{jk}(z))$ is positive definite on $P^c(A)$, it defines an inner product on the holomorphic tangent bundle of $P^c(A)$ through $(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k})_z = g_{jk}(z)$.

Theorem

Let ϕ be a state on \mathcal{B} ($\phi(a^*a) \geq 0$, $\phi(I) = 1$). Then $\phi(\Omega_A)$ defines a Hermitian metric on $P^c(A)$ if and only if ϕ is faithful on $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.

Theorem

If $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, then $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ defines a complete metric on $P^c(A)$ for every tuple of matrices.

Theorem

If $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, then $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ defines a complete metric on $P^c(A)$ for every tuple of matrices.

$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$: finitely generated group. Let $C_r^*(G)$ be the reduced C^* -subalg. in $B(\ell^2(G))$. Define linear functional $\phi(a) = \langle a\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$. Then ϕ is a faithful tracial state on $C_r^*(G)$.

Corollary

Let $A_\lambda(z) = z_0I + z_1\lambda(g_1) + \dots + z_n\lambda(g_n)$. Then $\phi(\Omega_{A_\lambda})$ defines a G -invariant Hermitian metric on $P^c(A_\lambda)$.

Theorem

If $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, then $\text{Tr}((\Omega_A)$ defines a complete metric on $P^c(A)$ for every tuple of matrices.

$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$: finitely generated group. Let $C_r^*(G)$ be the reduced C^* -subalg. in $B(\ell^2(G))$. Define linear functional $\phi(a) = \langle a\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$. Then ϕ is a faithful tracial state on $C_r^*(G)$.

Corollary

Let $A_\lambda(z) = z_0I + z_1\lambda(g_1) + \dots + z_n\lambda(g_n)$. Then $\phi(\Omega_{A_\lambda})$ defines a G -invariant Hermitian metric on $P^c(A_\lambda)$.

Theorem (Goldberg, Y., 2018)

Consider D_∞ and set $A_*(z) = I + z_1\lambda(a) + z_2\lambda(t)$. Then the metric defined by $\phi(\Omega_{A_*})$ is imcomplete, and the completion $[P^c(A_*)] = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)\}$.

Theorem

If $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$, then $\text{Tr}((\Omega_A)$ defines a complete metric on $P^c(A)$ for every tuple of matrices.

$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \dots \rangle$: finitely generated group. Let $C_r^*(G)$ be the reduced C^* -subalg. in $B(\ell^2(G))$. Define linear functional $\phi(a) = \langle a\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$. Then ϕ is a faithful tracial state on $C_r^*(G)$.

Corollary

Let $A_\lambda(z) = z_0I + z_1\lambda(g_1) + \dots + z_n\lambda(g_n)$. Then $\phi(\Omega_{A_\lambda})$ defines a G -invariant Hermitian metric on $P^c(A_\lambda)$.

Theorem (Goldberg, Y., 2018)

Consider D_∞ and set $A_*(z) = I + z_1\lambda(a) + z_2\lambda(t)$. Then the metric defined by $\phi(\Omega_{A_*})$ is imcomplete, and the completion $[P^c(A_*)] = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)\}$.

Note: $\sigma(\lambda(a)) = \sigma(\lambda(t)) = \{\pm 1\}$.

Def.

- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be Kähler if $d\phi(\Omega_A(z)) = 0$.
- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be flat if

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \log \det g_\phi(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in P^c(A), \quad \forall j, k.$$

Def.

- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be Kähler if $d\phi(\Omega_A(z)) = 0$.
- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be flat if

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \log \det g_\phi(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in P^c(A), \quad \forall j, k.$$

Theorem

Let $A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_n$ be elements in a C^ -alg. \mathcal{B} with a faithful tracial state ϕ . Then $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ defines a Kähler metric on $P^c(A)$ if and only if A_1, \dots, A_n commute.*

Def.

- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be Kähler if $d\phi(\Omega_A(z)) = 0$.
- ▶ The metric on $P^c(A)$ defined by $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ is said to be flat if

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \log \det g_\phi(z) = 0, \quad \forall z \in P^c(A), \quad \forall j, k.$$

Theorem

Let $A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_n$ be elements in a C^* -alg. \mathcal{B} with a faithful tracial state ϕ . Then $\phi(\Omega_A(z))$ defines a Kähler metric on $P^c(A)$ if and only if A_1, \dots, A_n commute.

Example. If A_1, \dots, A_n is a basis of $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ ($n = k^2$), then $\text{Tr}(\Omega_A)$ defines a complete, non-Kähler, flat and GL_k -invariant metric on $P^c(A) \cong GL_k$.

A connection with complex dynamics

Def. A unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) is self-similar if there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a unitary map $W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^d$ such that for all $g \in G$ every entry in the $d \times d$ block matrix $W\pi(g)W^*$ is either 0 or of the form $\pi(x)$ for some $x \in G$. Observe that in this case exactly one entry in every row or column of $W\pi(g)W^*$ is nonzero.

A connection with complex dynamics

Def. A unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) is self-similar if there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and a unitary map $W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^d$ such that for all $g \in G$ every entry in the $d \times d$ block matrix $W\pi(g)W^*$ is either 0 or of the form $\pi(x)$ for some $x \in G$. Observe that in this case exactly one entry in every row or column of $W\pi(g)W^*$ is nonzero.

Facts:

- ▶ The Koopman repr. ρ of D_∞ is self-similar. In fact,

$$\rho(a) \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(t) \cong \begin{bmatrix} \rho(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

hence

$$A_\rho(z) = z_0 + z_1\rho(a) + z_2\rho(t) \cong \begin{bmatrix} z_0 + z_2\rho(a) & z_1 \\ z_1 & z_0 + z_2\rho(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ ρ is weakly equivalent to λ , hence $P(A_\rho) = P(A_\lambda)$.

When $z_0 \neq \pm z_2$, $A_\rho(z)$ is invertible if and only if $z_0 + z_2 t - z_1^2(z_0 - z_2 a)(z_0^2 - z_2^2)^{-1}$ is invertible. Define

$$F(z_0, z_1, z_2) = \left(z_0(z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2), z_1^2 z_2, z_2(z_0^2 - z_2^2) \right).$$

When $z_0 \neq \pm z_2$, $A_\rho(z)$ is invertible if and only if $z_0 + z_2 t - z_1^2(z_0 - z_2 a)(z_0^2 - z_2^2)^{-1}$ is invertible. Define

$$F(z_0, z_1, z_2) = \left(z_0(z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2), z_1^2 z_2, z_2(z_0^2 - z_2^2) \right).$$

Lem: $F : P(A_\lambda) \rightarrow P(A_\lambda)$.

Consider $F : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Denote the n -th iteration of F by F^n .

When $z_0 \neq \pm z_2$, $A_\rho(z)$ is invertible if and only if $z_0 + z_2 t - z_1^2(z_0 - z_2 a)(z_0^2 - z_2^2)^{-1}$ is invertible. Define

$$F(z_0, z_1, z_2) = \left(z_0(z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2), z_1^2 z_2, z_2(z_0^2 - z_2^2) \right).$$

Lem: $F : P(A_\lambda) \rightarrow P(A_\lambda)$.

Consider $F : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Denote the n -th iteration of F by F^n .

Def.

- ▶ Indeterminacy sets: $I_n = \{z \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid F^n(z) = (0, 0, 0)\}$, $n \geq 1$.
- ▶ Extended indeterminacy set: $E = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_n}$.
- ▶ Fatou point: z has a nbd. V_z such that $\{F^n\}$ is a normal family on V_z .
- ▶ Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(F)$: the set of Fatou points for F .
- ▶ Julia set $\mathcal{J}(F)$: $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathcal{F}(F)$.

Let $T(x) = 2x^2 - 1, x \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ (Chebyshev poly.).

$\tau(z) = \frac{z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2}{2z_1z_2} : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Note: $z \in p(A_\lambda)$ iff $\tau(z) \notin [-1, 1]$.

Fact: $\mathcal{J}(T) = [-1, 1]$.

Let $T(x) = 2x^2 - 1, x \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ (Chebyshev poly.).

$\tau(z) = \frac{z_0^2 - z_1^2 - z_2^2}{2z_1 z_2} : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Note: $z \in p(A_\lambda)$ iff $\tau(z) \notin [-1, 1]$.

Fact: $\mathcal{J}(T) = [-1, 1]$.

Theorem (Grigorchuk, Y., 2017)

The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus I_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau \\ \hat{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{T} & \hat{\mathbb{C}}. \end{array}$$

the Julia set

For $z \in p^c(A_\lambda)$, define

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\tau(z)} + \frac{1}{2^2 T(\tau(z))\tau(z)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1} T^{n-1}(\tau(z)) \cdots T(\tau(z))\tau(z)}.$$

the Julia set

For $z \in p^c(A_\lambda)$, define

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\tau(z)} + \frac{1}{2^2 T(\tau(z))\tau(z)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1} T^{n-1}(\tau(z)) \cdots T(\tau(z))\tau(z)}.$$

Lemma (Goldberg, Y.)

(a) $\{f_n\}$ converges normally (to f) on $p^c(A_\lambda)$.

(b) $I_{n+1} \subset \{z_2 = \pm z_0 + z_1 f_n(z)\}$.

(c) $\lim_n F^n(z) = [z_0 : 0 : z_2 + z_1 f(z)]$, $z \in p^c(A) \setminus E$

the Julia set

For $z \in p^c(A_\lambda)$, define

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\tau(z)} + \frac{1}{2^2 T(\tau(z))\tau(z)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1} T^{n-1}(\tau(z)) \cdots T(\tau(z))\tau(z)}.$$

Lemma (Goldberg, Y.)

(a) $\{f_n\}$ converges normally (to f) on $p^c(A_\lambda)$.

(b) $I_{n+1} \subset \{z_2 = \pm z_0 + z_1 f_n(z)\}$.

(c) $\lim_n F^n(z) = [z_0 : 0 : z_2 + z_1 f(z)]$, $z \in p^c(A) \setminus E$

Theorem (Goldberg, Y.)

Consider the map $F : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ derived from the self-similarity of the Koopman repr. of D_∞ . Then $\mathcal{J}(F) = p(A_\lambda) \cup E$.

Definition

Consider operator-valued 1-form $\omega_A(z) = -(A - z)^{-1} dz$.

For $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let ϕ_x be the vector state on \mathcal{B} such that $\phi_x(A) = \langle Ax, x \rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Define metric g_x through

$$g_x(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \phi_x(\omega_A^*(z) \wedge \omega_A(z)) = \|(A - z)^{-1} x\|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Definition

Consider operator-valued 1-form $\omega_A(z) = -(A - z)^{-1}dz$.

For $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, let ϕ_x be the vector state on \mathcal{B} such that $\phi_x(A) = \langle Ax, x \rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Define metric g_x through

$$g_x(z)dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \phi_x(\omega_A^*(z) \wedge \omega_A(z)) = \|(A - z)^{-1}x\|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Notes:

1. g_x defines a non-Euclidean metric on $\rho(A)$ that may have singularities at $\sigma(A)$.
2. g_x depends on A and x .

- ▶ If $A(z) = A - zI$, then $\omega_A(z) = -(A - zI)^{-1}dz$.
- ▶ Maurer-Cartan form $g^{-1}dg$.
- ▶ For a linear functional ϕ on \mathcal{B} ,
 $\phi(\omega_A(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi(A^{-1}(z)A_j)dz_j$ is a holomorphic 1-form on $P^c(A)$. For a k -linear functional F ,
 $\kappa(F) := F(\omega_A(z), \omega_A(z), \dots, \omega(z))$ is a holomorphic k -form on $P^c(A)$.

Definition. A k -linear functional F on \mathcal{B} is said to be invariant if

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = F(ga_1g^{-1}, ga_2g^{-1}, \dots, ga_kg^{-1})$$

for all a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k in \mathcal{B} and every invertible $g \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition. A k -linear functional F on \mathcal{B} is said to be invariant if

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = F(ga_1g^{-1}, ga_2g^{-1}, \dots, ga_kg^{-1})$$

for all a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k in \mathcal{B} and every invertible $g \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proposition

If the k -linear functional F is invariant, then

$\phi(\omega_A(z), \omega_A(z), \dots, \omega_A(z))$ is closed.

Hochschild q -cochain: $(q + 1)$ -linear functionals ϕ on \mathcal{B} .
 ϕ is a *cyclic cocycle* if for all elements a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q in \mathcal{B} ,

(1) $\phi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q) = (-1)^q \phi(a_q, a_0, \dots, a_{q-1})$, and

(2) $(b\phi) := \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^j \phi(a_0, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{q+1}) + (-1)^{q+1} \phi(a_{q+1} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q) = 0$,

$HC^q(\mathcal{B})$: the space of q -cyclic cocycles.

Hochschild q -cochain: $(q + 1)$ -linear functionals ϕ on \mathcal{B} .
 ϕ is a *cyclic cocycle* if for all elements a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q in \mathcal{B} ,

$$(1) \phi(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q) = (-1)^q \phi(a_q, a_0, \dots, a_{q-1}), \text{ and}$$

$$(2) (b\phi) := \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^j \phi(a_0, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{q+1}) + (-1)^{q+1} \phi(a_{q+1} a_0, a_1, \dots, a_q) = 0,$$

$HC^q(\mathcal{B})$: the space of q -cyclic cocycles.

Proposition

(Cade and Y., 2012) Let \mathcal{B} be a topological algebra and ϕ be a continuous cyclic q -cocycle on \mathcal{B} . Then for any tuple A , $\kappa(\phi) := \phi(\omega_A(z), \omega_A(z), \dots, \omega_A(z))$ is a closed holomorphic $q + 1$ form on $P^c(A)$. In fact,

$$\frac{q}{q+1} \kappa(b\phi) = -d\kappa(\phi).$$

Examples

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then Jacobi's formula:
$$\text{Tr}(\omega_A(z)) = d \log \det A(z), \quad z \in P^c(A).$$

Examples

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then Jacobi's formula:
$$\text{Tr}(\omega_A(z)) = d \log \det A(z), \quad z \in P^c(A).$$
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a topological algebra with a continuous trace tr , then for q even

$$\phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q) := tr(a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_q)$$

is a cyclic q -cocycle, and $tr(\omega^{q+1}A(z))$ is closed.

Examples

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then Jacobi's formula:
$$\text{Tr}(\omega_A(z)) = d \log \det A(z), \quad z \in P^c(A).$$
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a topological algebra with a continuous trace tr , then for q even

$$\phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q) := tr(a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_q)$$

is a cyclic q -cocycle, and $tr(\omega^{q+1}A(z))$ is closed.

- ▶ $tr(\omega^3 A(z))$: Chern-Simons forms.

Examples

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{B} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then Jacobi's formula:
$$\text{Tr}(\omega_A(z)) = d \log \det A(z), \quad z \in P^c(A).$$
- ▶ If \mathcal{B} is a topological algebra with a continuous trace tr , then for q even

$$\phi(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q) := tr(a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_q)$$

is a cyclic q -cocycle, and $tr(\omega^{q+1}A(z))$ is closed.

- ▶ $tr(\omega^3 A(z))$: Chern-Simons forms.

Proposition

(Cade and Y., 2009) If A is a 4-tuple in a Banach algebra with a continuous trace tr , then $tr(\omega_A^3) = \phi(z)S(z)$, where
$$S(z) = z_1 dz_2 dz_3 dz_4 - z_2 dz_1 dz_3 dz_4 + z_3 dz_1 dz_2 dz_4 - z_4 dz_1 dz_2 dz_3,$$

and $\phi(z)$ is a holomorphic function on $P^c(A)$.

(A higher order form of Jacobi's formula!)

On $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

Let $A_1 = I$ and A_2, A_3, A_4 be the Pauli matrices.

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^4 z_k A_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_A(z) = A^{-1}(z) dA(z).$$

Then

1) $P(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 - z_4^2 = 0\}$.

2) $P^c(A) \cong GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

3) $Tr(\omega_A^3(z)) = 12iD^{-2}S(z)$, where $D = z_1^2 - z_2^2 - z_3^2 - z_4^2$.

4) $SU(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong S^3 = \{(x_1, ix_2, ix_3, ix_4) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 1\}$,
and $Tr(\omega_A^3(z))|_M = -12S(x)$ is the standard 3-form on S^3 .