

Invariant subspaces and operator model theory on noncommutative varieties

GELU POPESCU

University of Texas at San Antonio

BIRS, April 2019

Beurling theorem (Acta Math., 1949)

- $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$
- $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is the Hardy space of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} with square-summable coefficients.
- S is the unilateral shift defined by $(S\varphi)(z) := z\varphi(z)$.

Classification of the invariant subspaces of S :

Theorem

Any invariant subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ of S is of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

where θ is an inner function.

Beurling theorem (Acta Math., 1949)

- A subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is called **wandering subspace** of S if $\mathcal{L} \perp S^n \mathcal{L}$ for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- An equivalent form of Beurling result :

Theorem

If $\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is an invariant subspace of S , then $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M} \ominus S\mathcal{M}$ is a one dimensional wandering subspace spanned by an inner function θ , and

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{span}}\{S^n \mathcal{W} : n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

- Therefore, **the invariant subspaces of S are in one-to-one correspondence with the wandering subspaces of S .**

Beurling-Lax-Halmos theorem (Acta Math, Crelle)

Theorem

A non-trivial closed subspace \mathcal{M} of the vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is invariant under $S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{G} and an **isometric analytic operator** $M_{\Theta} : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{E}$, i.e.

$$M_{\Theta}(S \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}) = (S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})M_{\Theta},$$

such that $\mathcal{M} = M_{\Theta}(H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathcal{G})$. Moreover, the **wandering subspace**

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M} \ominus z\mathcal{M}$$

admits the representation $\mathcal{W} = M_{\Theta}(\mathcal{G})$ and

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{span}}\{(S^n \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})\mathcal{W} : n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Universal model operator

- The **unilateral shift** plays the role of **universal contraction** on a Hilbert space.

Theorem

*Any pure contraction $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, has its adjoint unitarily equivalent to $(S^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})|_{\mathcal{N}}$, where \mathcal{N} is a co-invariant subspace of $S \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

- This result led to the **Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş model theory** for arbitrary completely non-unitary contractions on Hilbert spaces in terms of the associated characteristic functions.

Generalizations : single variable case

- Shift-invariant subspaces and their wandering subspaces for other classical **Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on \mathbb{D}** .
- **Richter** (Crelle) for the **Dirichlet space**.
- **Aleman, Richter, Sundberg** (Acta Math.) for the **Bergman space**.
- **Shimorin** (Crelle) for left invertible operators satisfying some suitable operator inequalities.
- **Olofsson, Ball and Bolotnikov, and Sarkar** for the **weighted Bergman space**.

Generalizations : multivariable commutative case

- Shift-invariant subspaces and their wandering subspaces for Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n of \mathbb{C}^n .
- McCullough and Trent (2000) for the **Drurry-Arveson space**. This also follows also from the **Beurling-Lax-Halmos type theorem for the left creation operators** (Popescu, 1989) and the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem (Popescu, 1992).
- Eschmeier, Sarkar for the **Bergman space and weighted Bergman space over the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n** .
- Bhattacharjee, Eschmeier, Keshari, and Sarkar for **a class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces on \mathbb{B}_n** .

Generalizations : multivariable noncommutative case

- Let H_n be a complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n . The **full Fock space** of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$.

- The **left creation operators** $S_i : F^2(H_n) \rightarrow F^2(H_n)$ are defined by

$$S_i \varphi := e_i \otimes \varphi, \quad \varphi \in F^2(H_n).$$

- (S_1, \dots, S_n) plays the role of **universal model for row contractions** :

$$\{T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* \leq I\}.$$

Generalizations : multivariable noncommutative case

- A Beurling-Lax-Halmos type theorem for the left creation operators was obtained in 1989 (Popescu).
- Universal model for pure row contractions (Popescu, 1989).

Theorem

If $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a *pure row contraction*, then its characteristic function $\Theta_T : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$ is an isometric multi-analytic operator, i.e

$$\Theta_T(S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{T^*}}) = (S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})\Theta_T,$$

and

$$T_i^* = (S_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp},$$

where $\mathcal{M} := \Theta_T(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*})$.

- Let \mathbb{F}_n^+ be the unital free semigroup on n generators g_1, \dots, g_n and the identity g_0 .
- If $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, we denote $X_\alpha := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$.
- Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be noncommutative indeterminates. A formal power series $f := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha Z_\alpha$, $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is called **free holomorphic function** on the noncommutative ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho = \{(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \|T_1 T_1^* + \cdots + T_n T_n^*\| < \rho^2\},$$

if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is convergent in the operator norm topology for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\rho$, and any \mathcal{H} .

- f is called **positive regular free holomorphic function** if $a_\alpha \geq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $a_{g_0} = 0$, and $a_{g_i} > 0$ if $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Noncommutative domains

- We define the **noncommutative regular domain** $\mathcal{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, to be the set of all $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ such that

$$(id - \Phi_{f,X})^k(I) \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

where $\Phi_{f,X} : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$\Phi_{f,X}(Y) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha Y X_\alpha^*, \quad Y \in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

and the convergence is in the weak operator topology.

- Define $b_{g_0}^{(m)} := 1$ and

$$b_\alpha^{(m)} := \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \binom{j+m-1}{m-1} \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Universal model

- Let $D_i^{(m)} : F^2(H_n) \rightarrow F^2(H_n)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, be the diagonal operators defined by setting

$$D_i^{(m)} e_\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha^{(m)}}{b_{g_i \alpha}^{(m)}}} e_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is the orthonormal basis of $F^2(H_n)$.

- The n -tuple $(W_1^{(m)}, \dots, W_n^{(m)})$ of weighted shifts, $W_i^{(m)} := S_i D_i^{(m)}$, associated with the noncommutative domain \mathcal{D}_f^m , plays the role of **universal model** for the pure elements of \mathcal{D}_f^m (Popescu, Mem. AMS, 2010, JFA, 2008).

Noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$

- Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C} \langle Z_1, \dots, Z_n \rangle$ be a fixed set of noncommutative polynomials such that $q(0) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$
- Define the **noncommutative variety** $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$, to be the set

$$\{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H}) : q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0 \text{ for any } q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

- The **universal model** $(B_1^{(m)}, \dots, B_n^{(m)})$ associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$B_i^{(m)*} = W_i^{(m)*} |_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

acting on a **model space** $\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \subset F^2(H_n)$ which is a joint invariant subspace under the adjoints $W_1^{(m)*}, \dots, W_n^{(m)*}$.

Single variable case : $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{Q} = 0$

- If $m = 1$ and $p = Z$, the corresponding domain $\mathcal{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with

$$[B(\mathcal{H})]_1 := \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \|X\| \leq 1\}.$$

In this case, the universal model is the unilateral shift S acting on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$.

- If $m \geq 2$ and $p = Z$, the corresponding domain coincides with the set of all m -hypercontractions studied by [Agler](#), [Olofsson](#), [Ball-Bolotnikov](#). The corresponding universal model is the unilateral shift acting on the weighted Bergman space, which is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the kernel $k_m(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-z\bar{w})^m}$, $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$.

Multivariable commutative case : $n \geq 2$

Case : $\mathcal{Q} := \{Z_i Z_j - Z_j Z_i, i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$

- If $m \geq 1$ and $p = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$ the corresponding commutative variety was studied by [Drurry, Arveson, Bhattacharyya-Eschmeier-Sarkar, Popescu](#) (when $m = 1$), and [Athavale, Müller, Müller-Vasilescu, and Curto-Vasilescu](#) (when $m \geq 2$).

The corresponding universal model is the n -tuple $(M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n})$ of multipliers by the coordinate functions, acting on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the kernel

$$k_m(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{(1 - z_1 \bar{w}_1 - \dots - z_n \bar{w}_n)^m}, \quad \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

Multivariable commutative case : $n \geq 2$

Case : $\mathcal{Q} := \{Z_i Z_j - Z_j Z_i, i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$

- When $m \geq 1$ and p is a positive regular commutative polynomial, the commutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{K})$ was studied by [S. Pott](#). In this case, the universal model $(M_{Z_1}, \dots, M_{Z_n})$ acts on a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on a Reinhardt domain in \mathbb{C}^n uniquely determined by p .

Multivariable noncommutative case

Case : $n \geq 2$ and $Q = 0$

- When $m = 1$, $p = Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$, the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p^m(\mathcal{H})$ coincides with the closed unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, the study of which has generated a **free analogue of Sz.-Nagy-Foiaş** theory. The corresponding universal model is the n -tuple of left creation operators (S_1, \dots, S_n) .
- When $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, and f is any positive regular free holomorphic function the domain $\mathcal{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ was studied by **Popescu (Mem. AMS, 2010 and JFA 2008)**. In this case, the corresponding universal model is the n -tuple of weighted left creation operators $(W_1^{(m)}, \dots, W_n^{(m)})$ acting on the full Fock space.

Multivariable noncommutative case

Case : $n \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}\langle Z_1, \dots, Z_n \rangle$

- The study of general noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ in $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $m \geq 1$, f is a positive regular free holomorphic function, and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}\langle Z_1, \dots, Z_n \rangle$ is any set of noncommutative polynomials such that $q(0) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, was **initiated in 2006** ($m = 1$, $f = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$).
- **G. POPESCU**, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **56** (2006), 389–442.
- **G. POPESCU**, Noncommutative Berezin transforms and multivariable operator model theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), no. 4, 1003–1057 (**no characteristic functions**).
- **G. POPESCU**, Operator theory on noncommutative domains, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (2010), no. 964, vi+124 pp. **Case** ($m = 1$).

Our goals

Case : $n \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}\langle Z_1, \dots, Z_n \rangle$

- To provide a Beurling type characterization of the joint invariant subspaces under the universal model $(B_1^{(m)}, \dots, B_n^{(m)})$, when $m \geq 2$, and to parameterize the corresponding wandering subspaces.
- To characterize the elements in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ which admit characteristic functions, develop an operator model theory for the completely non-coisometric elements, and prove that the characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant for this class of elements.

Our goals

All our the results hold in the commutative case :

$$n \geq 2, m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \mathcal{Q} = \{Z_i Z_j - Z_j Z_i : i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

- In this case, the universal model is $(M_{Z_1}, \dots, M_{Z_n})$ acting on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $\kappa_{f,m} : \mathcal{D}_{f,o}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{D}_{f,o}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\kappa_{f,m}(\mu, \lambda) := \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \mathbf{a}_\alpha \mu_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_\alpha\right)^m} \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{D}_{f,o}^1(\mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,o}^1(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \mathbf{a}_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

REFERENCES

Case : $n \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}\langle Z_1, \dots, Z_n \rangle$

- G.Popescu, *Invariant subspaces and operator model theory on noncommutative varieties*, **Math. Ann.** **372** (2018), no. 1-2, 611–650.
- G.Popescu, *Noncommutative hyperballs, wandering subspaces and inner functions*, **J. Funct. Anal.**, to appear in 2019.

Noncommutative Berezin kernel

- Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and let $K_{f,T}^{(m)} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ be the map defined by

$$K_{f,T}^{(m)} h := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha^{(m)}} e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,m,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $\Delta_{f,m,T} := [(I - \Phi_{f,T})^m(I)]^{1/2}$ and

$$\Phi_{f,T}(Y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha Y T_\alpha^*, \quad Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$$

Noncommutative Berezin kernel

Definition

The *constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel* associated with the n -tuple $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,Q}^m(\mathcal{H})$ is the bounded operator

$K_{f,T,Q}^{(m)} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^m \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ defined by

$$K_{f,T,Q}^{(m)} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^m} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}(\mathcal{H})}}) K_{f,T}^{(m)},$$

where $K_{f,T}^{(m)}$ is the Berezin kernel associated with $T \in \mathcal{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^m \subset F^2(H_n)$ is the *model space* on which the universal model $(B_1^{(m)}, \dots, B_n^{(m)})$ is acting.

Noncommutative Berezin kernel

Main properties :

- $K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} T_i^* = (B_i^{(m)})^* \otimes I) K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$
- When T is a **pure** n -tuple, i.e. $\Phi_{f,T}^k(I) \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel $K_{f,T,\mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}$ is an isometry.

Beurling type factorization result

• Beurling type factorization result

Theorem

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a pure n -tuple of operators in $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{K})$ and let $Y \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be a self-adjoint operator. Then the following statements are equivalent :

(i) There is a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} and $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$Y = \Psi\Psi^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = X_i\Psi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $(B_1^{(1)}, \dots, B_n^{(1)})$ is the universal model of $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1$.

(ii) $\Phi_{f, X}(Y) \leq Y$.

Beurling-Lax-Halmos type representation

Theorem

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a pure n -tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{K})$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is a joint invariant subspace under X_1, \dots, X_n .
- (ii) There is a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} and a partial isometry $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \Psi \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = X_i \Psi,$$

where $(B_1^{(1)}, \dots, B_n^{(1)})$ is the universal model of the variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1$.

Beurling-Lax-Halmos type representation

Theorem

Let $(B_1^{(m)}, \dots, B_n^{(m)})$ be the universal model of the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m$, acting on the model space $\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a joint invariant subspace under $B_1^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}, \dots, B_n^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$.
- (ii) There is a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} and a partial isometry $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \Psi \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = (B_i^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})\Psi.$$

Beurling-Lax-Halmos type representation

Proposition

A bounded operator $M : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ satisfies the relation

$$M(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}_1}) = (B_i^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}_2})M, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

if and only if there is $\Phi : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ satisfying the relation

$$\Phi(W_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}_1}) = (W_i^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}_2})\Phi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

and such that

$$M = P_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m} \Phi|_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1}.$$

Uniqueness of representation

- Fix an n -tuple $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in B(\mathcal{K})^n$. A bounded linear operator $M : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is called **multi-analytic** with respect to $B^{(1)} := (B_1^{(1)}, \dots, B_n^{(1)})$ and $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ if

$$M(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = Y_i M, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

- The **support** of M , $\text{supp } M$, is the smallest reducing subspace \mathcal{N} under $B_1^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \dots, B_n^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $M|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} = 0$.
- We have

$$\text{supp } M = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(\overline{M^*(\mathcal{K})}) = \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{G}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{G} := (P_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) \left(\overline{M^*(\mathcal{K})} \right), \text{ and } MM^* = (M|_{\text{supp } M})(M|_{\text{supp } M})^*.$$

Uniqueness of representation

Corollary

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a pure n -tuple in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{K})$. *The Beurling-Lax-Halmos type representation for the joint invariant subspace under X_1, \dots, X_n is essentially unique.* More precisely, if

$$\Psi_1 \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_1 \right) = \Psi_2 \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2 \right),$$

where $\Psi_j : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $j = 1, 2$, are partially isometric multi-analytic operators, then there is a partial isometry $V : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ such that $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 (I_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1} \otimes V)$. In particular, $\Psi_1|_{\text{supp } \Psi_1}$ coincides with $\Psi_2|_{\text{supp } \Psi_2}$.

Wandering subspaces

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an n -tuple of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A closed subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called *wandering subspace* for X if

$$\mathcal{W} \perp X_\alpha(\mathcal{W}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

If, in addition,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} X_\alpha(\mathcal{W}) \quad (\text{closed linear span}),$$

we say that \mathcal{W} is a *generating wandering subspace* for X .

- If \mathcal{W} is a generating wandering subspace for X , then

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\mathcal{H}),$$

which shows that \mathcal{W} is uniquely determined by X .

Wandering subspaces

Theorem

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $\Theta : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a partial isometry such that $\Theta(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = X_i \Theta$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $(B_1^{(1)}, \dots, B_n^{(1)})$ is the universal model of $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1$. Then

- (i) the closed subspace $\mathcal{M} := \Theta \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \right)$ is invariant under X_1, \dots, X_n .
- (ii) $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M} \ominus \sum X_i(\mathcal{M})$ is the wandering subspace for $X_1|_{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, X_n|_{\mathcal{M}}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{W} = \Theta(\mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L} := (\text{range } \Theta^*) \cap \mathcal{E}$.
- (iv) $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_N^+} X_{\alpha}(\mathcal{W}) = \overline{\Theta \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L} \right)}$.

Wandering subspaces

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a bounded operator such that

$$\Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = X_i \Psi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

We say that Ψ is *$(B^{(1)}, X)$ -quasi-inner* if $\|\Psi(1 \otimes x)\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and

$$\Psi(1 \otimes \mathcal{E}) \perp X_{\alpha}(\Psi(1 \otimes \mathcal{E})), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

- Ψ is uniquely determined by its restriction $\Psi|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, which can be seen as the symbol of Ψ .

Wandering subspaces

Theorem

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a pure element in $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\psi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an isometry such that

$$\psi(\mathcal{E}) \perp X_\alpha(\psi(\mathcal{E})), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Then ψ has a unique extension to a bounded operator $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = X_i \Psi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Moreover, Ψ is a contraction.

Wandering subspaces

Theorem

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a pure element in $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\mathcal{M} := \Theta \left(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \right)$ be a joint invariant subspace for X_1, \dots, X_n , where $\Theta : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a partially isometric multi-analytic operator with respect to $B^{(1)}$ and X . If $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{E} \cap \text{range } \Theta^*$ and $\Psi := \Theta|_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, then

- (i) Ψ is a $(B^{(1)}, X)$ -quasi-inner multi-analytic operator.
- (ii) The wandering subspace $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M} \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\mathcal{M})$ of the n -tuple $(X_1|_{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, X_n|_{\mathcal{M}})$ satisfies the relation $\mathcal{W} = \Psi(\mathcal{L})$.
- (iii) The wandering subspace \mathcal{W} is generating for $(X_1|_{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, X_n|_{\mathcal{M}})$ if and only if $\text{range } \Theta^* \perp \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

Characteristic functions

Definition

An n -tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have **constrained characteristic function** if there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{E} and a multi-analytic operator $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m$, i.e.

$$\Psi(B_i^{(1)} \otimes l_{\mathcal{E}}) = (B_i^{(m)} \otimes l_{\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m})\Psi, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

such that

$$K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} \left(K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} \right)^* + \Psi\Psi^* = I,$$

where $K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}$ is the constrained noncommutative Berezin kernel of $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m := \overline{\Delta_{f, m, T}(I)(\mathcal{H})}$.

Characteristic functions

Proposition

An n -tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ admits a constrained characteristic function if and only if the defect operator $I - K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} \left(K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)} \right)^*$ is a solution of the inequation

$$\Phi_{f, B^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m}}(Y) \leq Y, \quad Y \in B(\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m),$$

where $B^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m} := (B_1^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m}, \dots, B_n^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{f, T}^m})$ and $K_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}^{(m)}$ is the constrained Berezin kernel of the n -tuple T .

Operator model theory

- Any pure n -tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ admits a constrained characteristic function.
- If $m = 1$, any n -tuple $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1(\mathcal{H})$ admits characteristic function.
- We say that $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathbf{D}_f^m(\mathcal{H})$ is **completely non-coisometric** if there is no nonzero vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\langle (id - \Phi_{f, T}^k)(I_{\mathcal{H}})h, h \rangle = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- We can develop an **operator model theory for the completely non-coisometric elements in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ which admit characteristic functions** and prove that the characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant for this class of elements.

Operator model theory

- Particular case : pure elements in $\mathcal{V}_{f,Q}^m(\mathcal{H})$

Theorem

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an element in $\mathcal{V}_{f,Q}^m(\mathcal{H})$. Then T is **pure** if and only if the constrained characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T,Q}$ is a partially isometric multi-analytic operator. Moreover, in this case T is unitarily equivalent to $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n)$, where

$$G_i := P_{\mathbf{H}_{f,T,Q}} \left(B_i^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right) |_{\mathbf{H}_{f,T,Q}},$$

$\mathcal{D} := \overline{\Delta_{f,m,T}(I)(\mathcal{H})}$, and $P_{\mathbf{H}_{f,T,Q}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^m \otimes \mathcal{D}$ onto $\mathbf{H}_{f,T,Q} := \left(\mathcal{N}_{f,Q}^m \otimes \mathcal{D} \right) \ominus \text{range } \Theta_{f,T,Q}$.

Operator model theory

- We say that two multi-analytic operators $F : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$ and $F' : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{K}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{K}'_2$ **coincide** if there are two unitary operators $\tau_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_j, \mathcal{K}'_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$F'(I_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1} \otimes \tau_1) = (I_{\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m} \otimes \tau_2)F.$$

Theorem

Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ and $T' := (T'_1, \dots, T'_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}')$ be two completely non-coisometric n -tuples which admit characteristic functions. Then T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if their characteristic functions $\Theta_{f, T, \mathcal{Q}}$ and $\Theta_{f, T', \mathcal{Q}}$ coincide.

Minimal dilations, uniqueness

- If \mathcal{E} is an arbitrary Hilbert space, we say that $(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a dilation of $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ if there is an isometry $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$VT_i^* = (B_i^{(m)*} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})V, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

If, in addition,

$$\mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{E} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_{\alpha}^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})V(\mathcal{H}),$$

then the dilation is called *minimal*. We say that $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m$ has *unique minimal dilation* if each pure element in $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ has a unique minimal dilation up to an isomorphism.

Minimal dilations, uniqueness

Theorem

Let q be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial such that

$$\lim_{|\gamma| \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_{g_p \gamma}^{(m)}}{b_{g_i g_p \gamma}^{(m)}} - \frac{b_{\gamma}^{(m)}}{b_{g_p \gamma}^{(m)}} \right) = 0,$$

for any $i, p \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then any pure n -tuple $T \in \mathcal{D}_q^m(\mathcal{H})$ has a unique minimal dilation up to an isomorphism.

- The **noncommutative m -hyperball** $\mathcal{D}^m(\mathcal{H})$ (which corresponds to $q = Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$) has the unique minimal dilation property. In this case, $b_{g_0}^{(m)} = 1$ and

$$b_{\alpha}^{(m)} = \binom{|\alpha| + m - 1}{m - 1} \text{ if } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Wandering subspaces

- If \mathcal{M} is an arbitrary joint invariant subspace under $B_1^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}, \dots, B_n^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}$ and the variety $\mathcal{V}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H})$ has the **unique minimal dilation property**, we can provide an explicit description of the wandering subspace

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M} \ominus \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i^{(m)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}) \mathcal{M}$$

and obtain a **characterization of the quasi-inner multi-analytic operators** from $\Theta : \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{f, \mathcal{Q}}^m \otimes \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $\Theta|_{\mathcal{G}_*}$ is an isometry and

$$\Theta(\mathcal{G}_*) \perp (B_{\alpha} \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}) \Theta(\mathcal{G}_*), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1,$$

where \mathbb{F}_n^+ is the free semigroup with n generators.

Noncommutative m -hyperball $\mathcal{D}^m(\mathcal{H})$

Theorem

Let $W^{(m)} = (W_1^{(m)}, \dots, W_n^{(m)})$ be the universal model of $\mathcal{D}^m(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\psi : \mathcal{G}_* \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is an $(W^{(1)}, W^{(m)})$ -quasi-inner operator if and only if there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a pure element T in $\mathcal{D}^m(\mathcal{H})$, and a matrix operator

$\begin{pmatrix} T^* & E \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(n)} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ such that its entries satisfy some natural conditions and such that ψ is equal to

$$\left(I \otimes D + (I \otimes C) \sum_{k=1}^m \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i \otimes T_i^* \right)^k \Lambda(I \otimes E) \right) |_{\mathcal{G}_*},$$

where $\Lambda := [\Lambda_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} \cdots \Lambda_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]$.

Noncommutative m -hyperball $\mathcal{D}^m(\mathcal{H})$

- This extends to our noncommutative setting the corresponding result obtained by **Olofsson** (when $n = 1$) and by **Eschmeier** in the multivariable commutative case.

Polydomains and varieties

- Let $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ be the set of all tuples $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ in $B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ with the property that the entries of $X_s := (X_{s,1}, \dots, X_{s,n_s})$ are commuting with the entries of $X_t := (X_{t,1}, \dots, X_{t,n_t})$ for any $s, t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $s \neq t$.
- Let $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ be positive regular free holomorphic functions, $\mathbf{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_k)$, $\mathbf{n} := (n_1, \dots, n_k)$. The *regular polydomain* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all k -tuples $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \in B(\mathcal{H})^{n_1} \times_c \cdots \times_c B(\mathcal{H})^{n_k}$ such that

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}}(I) \geq 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{m}, \quad \mathbf{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$$

where

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{p}} := (id - \Phi_{f_1, X_1})^{m_1} \circ \cdots \circ (id - \Phi_{f_k, X_k})^{m_k}$$

Polydomains and varieties

- For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $Z_i := (Z_{i,1}, \dots, Z_{i,n_i})$ be an n_i -tuple of noncommutative indeterminates and assume that, for any $t, s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $s \neq t$, the entries of Z_t are commuting with the entries of Z_s .
- We study **noncommutative varieties** in the polydomain $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^m(\mathcal{H})$, given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^m(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{f}}^m(\mathcal{H}) : g(\mathbf{X}) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{Q}\},$$

where \mathcal{Q} is a set of polynomials in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_{i,j}$, which generates a nontrivial ideal in $\mathbb{C}[Z_{i,j}]$.

Polydomains and varieties

- Let $\mathcal{Q}_i \subset \mathbb{C} \langle Z_i \rangle$ be a set of noncommutative polynomials such that $q(0) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{Q}_i$, and set $\mathcal{Q} := \cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{Q}_i \subset \mathbb{C} \langle Z_{i,j} \rangle$.
- Let $\{\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(m)}\}$ be the universal model of the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{f},\mathcal{Q}}^m$, acting on the appropriate model space $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f},\mathcal{Q}}^m$.
- For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $(B_{i,1}^{(1)}, \dots, B_{i,n_i}^{(1)})$ be the universal model of the variety $\mathcal{V}_{f_i, \mathcal{Q}_i}^1$, acting on the model space $\mathcal{N}_{f_i, \mathcal{Q}_i}^1$.

Polydomains and varieties

- We can obtain a Beurling-Lax-Halmos type characterization for the joint invariant subspaces $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ under the operators $\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(\mathbf{m})} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Theorem

\mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace under the operators $\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(\mathbf{m})} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$ if and only if there are Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_i and partial isometries $\psi_i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \psi_i(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}_i, \mathcal{Q}_i}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_i)$ and

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(1)} \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}_i}) = (\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(\mathbf{m})} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})\psi_i$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Therefore, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi_1 \psi_1^* = \dots = \psi_k \psi_k^*.$$

Polydomains and varieties

- If $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ is **pure**, then we can find multi-analytic operators $\theta_i : \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ such that

$$K_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}, \mathcal{Q}}^{(\mathbf{m})} (K_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}, \mathcal{Q}}^{(\mathbf{m})})^* + \psi_i \psi_i^* = I, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is an appropriate defect space associated with \mathbf{T} and $K_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}, \mathcal{Q}}^{(\mathbf{m})}$ is the corresponding Berezin kernel.

- The k -tuple $\Theta_{\mathbf{T}} := (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ can be viewed as a **characteristic function** of \mathbf{T} .
- As in the case of the regular domains, $\Theta_{\mathbf{T}}$ can be defined for a larger class of tuples in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{m}}(\mathcal{H})$ (namely, the completely non-coisometric elements).

Polydomains and varieties

OPEN PROBLEM

- It remains to be seen if Θ_T can be used to provide an operator model that enjoys properties similar to those from the classical case or the regular domains.

THANK YOU