Codina Cotar (joint work with Mircea Petrache)

January 31, 2019

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Many-marginals optimal transport problem

$$F_{N,c}^{OT}(\mu) := \min \left\{ \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} \sum_{i,j=1 \atop i \neq j}^N c(x_i - x_j) d\gamma_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \left| \begin{array}{c} \gamma_N \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ \gamma_N \mapsto \mu \end{array} \right\}.$$

We are mostly interested in the case $c(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^s}, 0 < s < d$

$$F_{N,s}^{\text{OT}}(\mu) := \min\left\{ \int_{\substack{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N \\ i \neq j}} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} d\gamma_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \left| \begin{array}{c} \gamma_N \in \mathcal{P}_{sym}((\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ \gamma_N \mapsto \mu \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

The infinite-dimensional Optimal Transportation problem

Let γ be an infinite dimensional measure, γ symmetric (exchangeable), μ probability measure in \mathbb{R}^d and c(x, y) = l(x - y).

$$F^{\mathrm{OT}}_{\infty,\mathbf{c}}(\mu) = \inf_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}^{\infty}_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \ N o \infty \ }} \lim_{N o \infty} rac{1}{\binom{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} l(x_i - x_j) d\gamma(x_1, ..., x_N),$$

subject to the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times...}\gamma(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_N,\ldots)dx_2dx_3\ldots dx_N\ldots=\mu(x_1).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Connection to exchangeable processes

Theorem

(Cotar, Friesecke, Pass - Calc Var PDEs 2015)

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} F_{N,c}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) = F_{\infty,c}^{\mathrm{OT}}[\mu] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} l(x-y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

(*l* with positive Fourier transform)

Proof by Fourier analysis and de Finetti arguments

Connection to exchangeable processes

Theorem

(Petrache-2015: generalization by convexity)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} {\binom{N}{2}}^{-1} F_{N,c}^{\text{OT}}(\mu) = \int_d \int_d c(x-y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$$

if and only if c(x - y) *is balanced positive definite, i.e.*

$$\int \int \rho(x)\rho(y)c(x-y)dxdy \ge 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \int \rho = 0 \; .$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Second-order term, 0 < s < d

- d = 1, very general kernels: Di Marino (2017)
- s = 1, d = 3 for μ with continuous, slow-varying density ρ , i.e., densities satisfying

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \max_{x \in [0,1)^d + k} \rho(x) < \infty$$

(Lewin-Lieb-Seiringer 2017, via Graf-Schenker (1995) decomposition)

• 0 < s < d, any d, any $\rho > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{s}{d}} < \infty$, via new type of Fefferman-Gregg decomposition (1985, 1989) + optimal transport tools (Cotar-Petrache 2017)

Theorem

If 0 < s < d and $d\mu(x) = \rho(x)dx$ then then exists $C_{\text{UEG}}(d, s) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1-s/d} \left(\underbrace{F_{N,s}^{\text{OT}}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|^s} dx \, dy}_{=:E_{N,s}^{\text{sc}}(\mu)} \right)$$
$$= -C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{s}{d}}(x) dx.$$

- Uniform marginal (uniform electron gas UEG): Dirac (1929)
- Exact value of $C_{\text{UEG}}(d, s)$ for s = 1, d = 3, is unknown, although the physics community thought for a long time that it is approx 1.4442

Connection to Jellium

- N electrons and a neutralizing background in a domain Ω with $|\Omega| = N$.
- Minimize over x_i

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x_j - y|^s} dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} dx dy$$

Let minimization be $\xi(N, \Omega)$, then the limit (Lieb & Narnhofer 1975)

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\xi(N,\Omega)}{N}=-C_{jel}(s,d).$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Connection to Jellium

- Alternatively, take Ω with $|\Omega| = 1$.
- Minimize over x_i

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^s} - N \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x_j - y|^s} dy + \frac{N^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^s} dx dy$$

• Let minimization be $\xi(\Omega)$, then the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\xi(N,\Omega)}{N^{1+s/d}}=-C_{jel}(s,d).$$

Lewin-Lieb (2015): comparison with uniform electron gas constant in d = 3

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ● ● ●

Connection to exchangeable processes

Minimum-energy point configurations

$$H_{N,V}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=\sum_{i\neq j}\mathsf{c}(x_i-x_j)+N\sum_{i=1}^N V(x_i), \qquad x_1,\ldots,x_N\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

 $C(x) = |x|^{-s}$ interaction potential, $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to] - \infty, +\infty]$ confining potential growing at infinity (*s* = 0: let then $c(x) = -\log |x|$)

- $0 \le s < d$: Riesz gas, integrable kernel.
- s = d 2: Coulomb gas.
- s > d: short-ranged, Hypersingular kernel.
- $s \to \infty$: Best packing problem
- Possible Modifications:
 - (a) replace the V-term by imposing x_i ∈ A for A ⊂ X fixed compact set.

- (b) change c (e.g. Gaussian, or add perturbations).
- (c) replace \mathbb{R}^d by another space *X*

Second-order asymptotics $d - 2 \le s < d$

- Sandier-Serfaty, 2010-2012: $d = 1, 2, c(x) = -\log |x|$
- Rougerie-Serfaty, 2016: $c(x) = 1/|x|^{d-2}$
- Petrache-Serfaty, 2017: all previous cases plus Riesz cases max(0, d - 2) ≤ s < d</p>

Let μ_V be the minimizer (among probability measures) of

$$\mathcal{E}_V^s(\mu) = \int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^s} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + \int V(x) d\mu(x)$$

Theorem

Under suitable assumptions on V, and if the density ρ_V is smooth enough, we have

$$\min H_{N,V} = \begin{cases} N^2 \mathcal{E}^s(\mu_V) - N^{1+\frac{s}{d}} C_{\text{Jel}}(s,d) \int \mu_V^{1+\frac{s}{d}}(x) dx + o(N^{1+\frac{s}{d}}) \\ N^2 \mathcal{E}^{\log}(\mu_V) - \frac{N}{d} \log N - N \left(C_{\text{Jel}}(\log) - \frac{1}{d} \int \mu_V(x) \log \mu_V(x) dx \right) \\ + o(N), \end{cases}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

and $-C_{Jel}(s, d)$ is the minimim value of a functional W on microscopic configurations ν .

- $C_{\text{Jel}}(s, d)$ minimizer of a limiting energy W
- Abrikosov crystallization conjecture: in d = 2, the regular triangular lattice is a minimizing configuration for W.
- For d = 3, it is conjectured that for 0 < s < 3/2 the minimizer should be a BCC lattice and for 3/2 < s < 3 it should be an FCC lattice.
- In high dimensions, there is more and more evidence that Jellium minimizers are not lattices, although this is very much speculative at the moment.
- Open for all $d \ge 2$ dimensions.
- For s = 1, d = 3, the value of $C_{Jel}(1,3)$ is thought to be approx. 1.4442

Comparison between Jellium and UEG (d - 2 < s < d)

• Lewin-Lieb (2015): comparison between minimum when $|\Omega| = N$ (and the minimum is taken only of configurations forming lattices) of the Jellium problem

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} - \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x_j - y|} dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dx dy$$

and the following quantity

$$E_{\mathrm{UEG}}(\Omega, \vec{x}) := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq N \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dx dy.$$

Connection to exchangeable processes

■ The quantity

$$\min\left\{\int E_{\text{UEG}}(\Omega, \vec{x}) d\gamma_N(\vec{x}) : \gamma_N \mapsto \frac{1_{|\Omega|}}{N}\right\}$$

is equal to

$$E_{N,1}^{\mathrm{xc}}\left(\frac{1_{|\Omega|}}{N}\right)$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\min\left\{\int E_{\rm UEG}(\Omega,\vec{x})d\gamma_N(\vec{x}):\gamma_N\mapsto\frac{1_{|\Omega|}}{N}\right\}=-C_{\rm UEG}(1,3).$$

For d - 2 < s < d, we have (Cotar-Petrache (2017))

$$C_{\mathrm{UEG}}(s,d) = C_{\mathrm{Jel}}(s,d).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = ● ● ●

Connection to exchangeable processes

Continuity of $C_{UEG}(s, d)$

• For 0 < s < d, the function

$$s \to C_{\text{UEG}}(s, d)$$

is continuous

The proof works by interchanging the limits of $s \to s_0$ and $N \to \infty$ in

$$N^{-1-s/d}\left(F_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) - N^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|^s} dx dy\right)$$

Connection to exchangeable processes

Grand canonical optimal transport

Let $N \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, N \ge 2, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

The grand-canonical optimal transport

$$F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n F_{n,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}(\mu_n) \right\},\,$$

where infimum is taken over

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1, \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \alpha_n \mu_n = N \mu,$$

with $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ \alpha_n \ge 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ●目 ● のへぐ

■ The grand-canonical exchange correlation energy

$$E_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{xc}}\left(\mu\right) := F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathbf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu\right) - N^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{c}(x,y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

We have

$$F_{\mathrm{GC},N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu\right) \leq F_{N,\mathsf{c}}^{\mathrm{OT}}\left(\mu\right) \ \text{ and } \ E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu) \leq E_{N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = ● ● ●

Connection to exchangeable processes

Small oscillations result

Theorem (Cotar-Petrache (Adv. Math. 2019))

Fix $0 < \epsilon < d/2$ and let $\epsilon < s < d - \epsilon$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a probability measure with compactly-supported density. Then there exists $C(d, \epsilon, \mu) > 0$ such that for all $N, \tilde{N} \in \mathbb{R}_+, N \ge \tilde{N} \ge 2$, we have

$$\left|\frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu)}{N^{1+s/d}} - \frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},\tilde{N},s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu)}{\tilde{N}^{1+s/d}}\right| \le \frac{C(d,\epsilon,\mu)}{\log \tilde{N}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Some consequences of Small Oscillations

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a probability measure with compactly-supported density.

Fix 0 < € < d/2 and let € ≤ s ≤ d − €. Then the sequence of functions</p>

$$f_s(N) := \frac{E_{\mathrm{GC},N,s}^{\mathrm{xc}}(\mu)}{N^{1+s/d}}$$

converges as $N \to \infty$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $s \in [\epsilon, d - \epsilon]$.

Next-order terms: open problems

- Heuristics for s = 1, d = 3 in Lewin-Lieb '15: $C_{Jel}(d, d-2) \neq C_{UEG}(d, d-2)$, questioning the physicists' conjecture that $C_{Jel}(d, d-2) = C_{UEG}(d, d-2)$.
- **Open problem**: prove or disprove $C_{Jel}(d, d-2) \neq C_{UEG}(d, d-2)$.
- **Open problem:** Sharp asymptotics of $\min H_N$ for 0 < s < d 2.
- **Open problem**: Find *C_{UEG}(s, d)* (connected to the crystallization conjecture)
- **Open problem**: Prove or disprove $E_{N,s}^{xc}/N^{1+s/d}$ is decreasing in N (recall that E_N^{xc} is negative here)