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## Outline

Motivation: Markov Chains

## Generalized Perron-Frobenius Theorem (for Cocycles)

Paired Tent Maps Example

## Classical Perron-Frobenius Theorem

Theorem (Perron 1908, Frobenius 1912)
Let $P \in M_{d}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$ be such that there exists $n \geq 1$ with $\left(P^{n}\right)_{i j}>0$ for all $i, j$ ( $P$ is primitive). Then:

1. the spectral radius $\rho(P)$ of $P$ is a simple eigenvalue of $P$, no other eigenvalues of modulus $\rho(P)$;
2. the eigenvector $v$ corresponding to $\rho(P)$ is positive (that is, has all positive entries);
3. if $w$ is the left-eigenvector for $P$ corresponding to $\rho(P)$ (with $w \cdot v=1)$, then $\rho(P)^{-n} P^{n} x \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow}(w \cdot x) v$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## Markov Chain Example



$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.3 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Markov Chain Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(P)=\left\{1, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{3}{10}, 0\right\} \\
& v=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3 / 14 \\
2 / 7 \\
1 / 4 \\
1 / 4
\end{array}\right], w=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.3 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\
0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $w \cdot x=0$, then

$$
\left\|P^{n} x\right\|_{1}=O\left(\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{n}\right)
$$

## Definitions

$(\Omega, \mu, \sigma)$ an invertible, ergodic probability-preserving transformation: "base dynamics".

Cocycle: $A_{\omega} \in M_{d}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{B}(X), A_{\omega}^{(n)}=A_{\sigma^{n-1}(\omega)} \cdots A_{\sigma(\omega)} A_{\omega}$.

## Definitions

$(\Omega, \mu, \sigma)$ an invertible, ergodic probability-preserving transformation: "base dynamics".

Cocycle: $A_{\omega} \in M_{d}(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{B}(X), A_{\omega}^{(n)}=A_{\sigma^{n-1}(\omega)} \cdots A_{\sigma(\omega)} A_{\omega}$.
Lyapunov exponents: exponential growth rates for the cocycle.

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|A_{\omega}^{(n)} x\right\|=\lambda(x, \omega)
$$

(Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem: actually discrete! Like eigenvalues.)

## Definitions

Cone: $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ or $X$, closed, convex, $\mathcal{C} \cap-\mathcal{C}=\{0\}$.
Generating: $\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{C}=X$.
Partial order: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $y-x \in \mathcal{C}$.
$D$-adapted: $-y \preceq x \preceq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq D\|y\|$ (a.k.a. "normal").
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Cone: $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ or $X$, closed, convex, $\mathcal{C} \cap-\mathcal{C}=\{0\}$.
Generating: $\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{C}=X$.
Partial order: $x \preceq y$ if and only if $y-x \in \mathcal{C}$.
$D$-adapted: $-y \preceq x \preceq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq D\|y\|$ (a.k.a. "normal").
Hilbert projective metric: For a cone $\mathcal{C}$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{C}$, define:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(v, w)=\sup \{\lambda>0: \lambda v \preceq w\}, \\
\beta(v, w)=\inf \{\mu>0: w \leq \mu v\}, \\
\theta(v, w)=\log \left(\frac{\beta(v, w)}{\alpha(v, w)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Main Theorem

Matrix Cocycle Version
Cocycle $A_{\omega}^{(n)} \in M_{d}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ over base dynamics $(\Omega, \mu, \sigma)$. $\int_{\Omega} \log ^{+}\left\|A_{\omega}\right\|_{\text {op }} d \mu(\omega)<\infty$. Cone $\mathcal{C}=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{d}$.
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1. there is $v(\omega) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\|v(\omega)\|=1$ and $\phi(\omega)>0$ such that $A_{\omega} v(\omega)=\phi(\omega) v(\sigma(\omega)) ;$
2. $\int_{\Omega} \log (\phi) d \mu=\lambda_{1}$ (the largest Lyapunov exponent for $A_{\omega}^{(n)}$ ) and the top Oseledets space is one-dimensional;
3. $\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{1}-\frac{\mu\left(G_{P}\right)}{k_{P}} \log \left(\tanh \left(\frac{D_{P}}{4}\right)^{-1}\right)<\lambda_{1}$.
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## Main Theorem

## Cocycle of Linear Operators Version

Cocycle $A_{\omega}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ over base dynamics $(\Omega, \mu, \sigma)$.
$\int_{\Omega} \log ^{+}\left\|A_{\omega}\right\|_{\text {op }} d \mu(\omega)<\infty, A_{\omega}$ "nice". Cone $\mathcal{C} \subset X, D$-adapted.
Suppose that there is $k_{P} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, G_{P} \subset \Omega$ with $\mu\left(G_{P}\right)>0$, and $D_{P} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all $\omega \in G_{P}, \operatorname{diam}_{\theta}\left(A_{\omega}^{k_{P}}(\mathcal{C})\right) \leq D_{P}$. Then:
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## Definition and Background

A paired tent map is a map $T_{\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}}:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$, with $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2} \in[0,1]$, that looks like:


Figure: $T_{\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}}$, with parameters $\epsilon_{1}=0.3$ and $\epsilon_{2}=0.7$.

## Definition and Background

C. Gonzalez Tokman, B. Hunt, and P. Wright (2011) studied invariant densities for $C^{2}$ perturbations of maps like $T_{0,0}$ that "leak" between $[-1,0]$ and $[0,1]$.
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What are the more in-depth spectral properties; what about cocycles?

## Application of Generalized P-F Theorem to Paired Tent Maps

Theorem
Base dynamics $(\Omega, \mu, \sigma), \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}: \Omega \rightarrow[0,1]$ both not 0 , countable range. Consider the cocycle of P-F operators $P_{\omega}^{(n)}$ associated to $T_{\omega}:=T_{\epsilon_{1}(\omega), \epsilon_{2}(\omega)}$.

Then there is an explicitly computable $C=C\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)>0$ with $\lambda_{2} \leq-C<0=\lambda_{1}$, where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the largest and second largest Lyapunov exponents for $P_{\omega}^{(n)}$.
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Proposition (New Lasota-Yorke Inequality)
Inequality of the form $\operatorname{Var}\left(P_{\omega}(f)\right) \leq a_{1} \operatorname{Var}(f)+a_{2}\|f\|_{1}$ that can hold uniformly in $\omega$.

## Asymptotic Properties of $\lambda_{2}(\kappa)$

Theorem
Consider $T_{\omega, \kappa}=T_{\kappa \epsilon_{1}(\omega), \kappa \epsilon_{2}(\omega)}$. For $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ both not 0 , countable range, there is $c>0$ such that $\lambda_{2}(\kappa) \lesssim-c \kappa$ for sufficiently small $\kappa$.

## Asymptotic Properties of $\lambda_{2}(\kappa)$

Theorem
Consider $T_{\omega, \kappa}=T_{\kappa \epsilon_{1}(\omega), \kappa \epsilon_{2}(\omega)}$. For $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ both not 0 , countable range, there is $c>0$ such that $\lambda_{2}(\kappa) \lesssim-c \kappa$ for sufficiently small $\kappa$.

## Proposition

There is a decreasing sequence $\left\{\kappa_{j}\right\} \subset(0,1]$ with $\kappa_{j} \xrightarrow[j \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} 0$ such that the maps $T_{\kappa_{j}, \kappa_{j}}$ are Markov. Set $P_{j}=P_{T_{\kappa_{j}, \kappa_{j}}}$; the cocycles of P-F operators $P_{\omega}^{(n)}=P_{j}^{n}$ have $\lambda_{2}(j) \sim-2 \kappa_{j}$.

## The End

Thank you!

