<u>Multiple Fibrations,</u> in Calabi-Yau Geometries

James Gray – Virginia Tech

Based on work with:

Alexander Haupt and Andre Lukas: arXiv:1303.1832, arXiv:1405.2073

Lara Anderson, Xin Gao and Seung-Joo Lee arXiv:1608.07554, 1608.07555 & 1708.07907

Lara Anderson and Brian Hammack arXiv:1803.XXXXX





#### **Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau (CICYs)**

• A family of CICYs is described by a configuration matrix:  $\lceil n_1 \mid q_1^1 \dots q_K^1 \rceil$ 

$$\mathbf{[n|q]} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & q_1 & \dots & q_K \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n_m & q_1^m & \dots & q_K^m \end{bmatrix}$$

with m rows and K+1 columns.

- Ambient space is  $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} imes \ldots imes \mathbb{P}^{n_m}$
- Remaining columns give degree of defining relations:

Calabi-Yau condition:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{K} q_{\alpha}^{r} = n_{r} + 1$$

D-fold condition:

$$\sum n_r - K \stackrel{!}{=} D$$

# Example:



 The different choices of defining relation corresponds to a redundant description of part of complex structure moduli space:

$$p_1 = \sum_{i,a} c_{i,a} x^i y^a \quad p_2 = \sum_{i,\dots,\delta} d_{iab\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} x^i y^a y^b z^\alpha z^\beta z^\gamma z^\delta$$

• This example is a Calabi-Yau four-fold.

# CICY Data Sets:

- Three-Folds:
  - Hübsch, Commun.Math.Phys. 108 (1987) 291
  - Green et al, Commun.Math.Phys. 109 (1987) 99
  - Candelas et al, Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) 493
  - Candelas et al, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 113
- Data Set classified: 7890 configuration matrices in the set.
- This data set has been used extensively in the study of compactifications of heterotic string theory.

- Four-Folds:
  - Brunner et al, Nucl. Phys. B498 (1997) 156-174
  - JG et al, JHEP 1307 (2013) 070
  - JG et al, JHEP 1409 (2014) 093
- Data set classified: 921,497 configuration matrices in the set.
- Technology is being developed to use this data set for studying F-theory compactifications— as I will describe later.
- All Hodge data etc. are available for these manifolds:

#### Example: fourfold Hodge data



### Properties of CICYs: Torus Fibrations

• Consider configuration matrices which can be put in the form:



- This is an torus fibred four-fold
- Essentially all CICYs are fibered in this manner. For example 7837 out of 7890 threefolds (99.3%)

• Example:

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- This is not an artifact of the threefolds. For fourfolds 921,020 out of 921,497 configuration matrices are obviously torus fibered in this way (99.9%)
- See also related work for other constructions: arXiv:1406.0514 and 1605.08052 by S. Johnson and W. Taylor.
- A given manifold/configuration matrix may admit many obvious elliptic fibrations...

# Number of torus fibrations per threefold:

- - admits 17.7 different fibrations



• The largest number of fibrations admitted by one example is 93.

• In our simple example we also have:



- Note that we have a variety of different bases here (Hirzebruchs,  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^2$  etc in this case).
- It doesn't just have to be *torus* fibration structures that exist in a CICY...

# Number of K3 fibrations per threefold:

- 98.5% of CICY threefolds are K3 fibered.
- 30,974 fibrations in total
- The average CICY threefold admits 3.9 different fibrations



• The largest number of fibrations admitted by one example is 9.

• In our simple example:



- Again this example is slightly less rich than the average case...
- One could ask if the K3 fibers are elliptically fibred...

# Number of nested fibrations per threefold:

- 208,987 torus fibrations nested in K3 fibrations.
- The average CICY threefold admits 26.6 different such structures.



• The largest number of such nested fibration structures admitted by one example is 174.

- Note these numbers are **bigger** than the related numbers for torus fibrations on their own...
- Example in our case: (there are six in total in the two K3 fibrations)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^3 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^3 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & | & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

# Can we go beyond these obvious fibrations?

• Conjecture by Kollar (rough description):

A Calabi-Yau threefold is genus one fibered if and only if there exists a divisor D such that

$$D \cdot C \geq 0 \;\;$$
 for every algebraic curve  $\; C \\ D^3 = 0 \\ D^2 \neq 0 \;\;$ 

(and similarly in higher dimensional cases)

• Proven in threefold case by Oguiso, Wilson.

- The question is, do we have good computational control over all of the elements of h<sup>1,1</sup>?
- In favorable cases we do. For example in the case,

$$X = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{P}^2 & 3 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

all divisor classes descend from divisor classes in the ambient space.

• In non-favorable cases we don't. For example

$$X' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & 3 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

has  $h^{1,1} = 19$  but  $h^{1,1}$  of the ambient space is only 3.

• Of 7890 CICY threefolds in the original list, only 4874 are favorable.

• We can obtain new configuration matrices describing the same manifolds by the process of contraction/splitting:

 $\begin{bmatrix} n & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_{n+1} & \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n} & \sum_{a=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{u}_a & \mathbf{q} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Euler number doesn't change  $\iff$  manifolds same

- Use this to increase the size of the ambient space affording the configuration a better chance of being favorable
- By splitting we have obtained favorable descriptions of all but 7842 of the 7890 CICYS.
- We can then compute data such as intersection numbers, line bundle cohomology etc completely in these cases.

#### What about the remaining 48?

- It turns out that these can all be written as hypersurfaces in direct products of del Pezzo surfaces.
- For example:  $X_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^4 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

can be written as the anti-canonical hypersurface inside

$$dP_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^1 & | & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 times  $dP_5 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & | & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 Enough is known about the divisors of del Pezzo's that we can then find a favorable description of these spaces too.

Thus we find a favorable description of all CICYs.

- The final ingredient required to investigation the fibrations of CICYs is knowledge of the Kahler cone.
- We have been able to show that the Kahler cone descends simply from the ambient product of projective spaces in 4874 cases (we call these Kahler favorable).
- For the Kahler favorable cases, obvious fibrations and Kollar fibrations coincide.

However, in general there can be many more Kollar fibrations than obvious ones.

• A good example is the Split-Bicubic/Schoen manifold – which admits an infinite number of genus one fibrations!

(See also Grassi, Morrison; Aspinwall, Gross; Oguiso; Piateckii-Shapiro, Shafarevich).

### Fibrations and quotients

- One can create a new (non-simply connected) Calabi-Yau by quotienting a CICY by a freely acting symmetry.
- Example: Take the bicubic:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 3 \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & | & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• With homogeneous coordinates:

$$x_{a,i}$$
  $a = 1, 2$   $i = 0, 1, 2$ 

• And quotient by the following  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  group action:

$$g: x_{a,j} \to \omega^j x_{a,j}$$

• Clear in this case, the quotienting preserves the fibration.

- More generally what can we say about fibrations in quotients of CICYs?
- Classification of symmetries:
  - Braun, JHEP 1104 (2011) 005

(The equivalent classifications for the four-folds has not yet been carried out.)

- A lot of work has already been done classifying the properties of the associated quotients:
  - Candelas et al, arXiv:1602.06303
  - Braun et al, arXiv:1512.08367
  - Candelas et al, arXiv:1511.01103
  - Constantin et al, arXiv:1607.01830

Unpublished work with Lara Anderson and Brian Hammack:

- Of the 1632 symmetry-CICY pairs (for manifolds with fibration), 1552 of them preserve *some* fibration (95%).
- Of 20700 fibration/symmetry pairs, 17161 preserved.

Symmetry	Fibs preserved	Fibs not preserved	%preserved
$\mathbb{Z}_2$	8812	464	95%
$\mathbb{Z}_3$	175	201	46.5%
$\mathbb{Z}_4$	120	244	33.0%
$\mathbb{Z}_5$	0	30	0.0%
$\mathbb{Z}_6$	62	438	12.4%
$\mathbb{Z}_2  imes \mathbb{Z}_2$	7711	1488	83.8%
$\mathbb{Z}_2  imes \mathbb{Z}_4$	105	200	34.4%
$\mathbb{Z}_3  imes \mathbb{Z}_3$	176	0	100%

 There are several larger symmetries that appear (including non-Abelian symmetries), none of which preserve any fibrations:

 $\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_{10}, \mathbb{Z}_{12}, Q_8, \mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8, \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4,$  $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4,$  $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

- In any case where the fibration is preserved, the base of the quotiented fibration is divided by same group as total space.
- Classifications of the bases that appear will be provided in the paper.

# Multiple fibrations and F-theory

- We can use these multiple nested fibration structures to derive some interesting dualities in Ftheory. For example:
  - Start with two different fibrations of the same Calabi-Yau. This will correspond to two F-theory models that share an M-theory limit.
  - Start with two different fibrations of the same Calabi-Yau in a heterotic compactification. These will have seemingly different F-theory duals which actually give the same physics.
  - And so on...

# Example:

• Let us consider the first of those possibilities in this case:



Just considering these two possible fibrations – one with  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and one with  $\mathbb{F}_1$  base.

- To analyze this it would be nice to put these two cases in Weierstrass form (blow down every component of the fiber that doesn't intersect zero section).
- To do this we need sections of these fibrations.

- Necessary conditions that a divisor,  ${\mathcal S}$  , describing a section must obey:
  - Oguiso (intersection number with fiber should be generically one).
  - A condition on the cohomology of the associated line bundle:

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S})) = 1$$

• A condition on the Euler number c.f. that of the base:

$$\chi(\mathcal{S}) \ge \chi(\mathcal{B})$$

• A condition following from birationality to the base (see Morrison, Park, JHEP 1210 (2012) 128):

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot D_{\alpha} = -c_1(\mathcal{B}) \cdot S \cdot D_{\alpha}$$

• Koszul derivation of second condition as example:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}|_{\mathcal{S}} \to 0$$

 $h^0$  ? 1 1

 $h^1$  ? 0 0

$$h^2$$
 ? 0 0

 $h^3$  ? 1 0

 $\Rightarrow h^{3}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}(-S)) = 1$  $\Rightarrow h^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}(S)) = 1$ 

• For

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^{1} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, for example we find the

following section:  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{O}(-1, 1, 0, 1)$ 

- Build the explicit description of the section (remember  $h^0(\mathcal{O}(S)) = 1$ ) in the same way we built gCICYs.
- Now we have an explicit section we can put the fibration in Weierstrass form using the Deligne procedure.
  - (see Ovrut, Pantev and Park, JHEP 0005 (2000) 045)

• Idea:

$$z \in H^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}) \qquad h^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}) = 1$$
$$x \in H^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}^{2} \otimes K_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2})$$
$$h^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}^{2} \otimes K_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2}) = 29$$
$$y \in H^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}^{3} \otimes K_{\mathcal{B}}^{-3})$$
$$h^{0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}^{3} \otimes K_{\mathcal{B}}^{-3}) = 66$$

• Then get (Weierstrass) relation between them in:

$$W \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}^6 \otimes K_{\mathcal{B}}^{-6})$$

# What do the theories look like:

- M-Theory:
- 3 Vector multiplets
- 48 Hyper multiplets
- F-theory 1:
  - $SU(2) \times U(1)$  gauge group
  - 0 Tensor multiplets
  - 4 Vector multiplets
  - 277 Hyper multiplets (48 Neutral)
- F-theory 2:
  - U(1) gauge group
  - 1 Tensor multiplet
  - 1 Vector multiplet
  - 245 Hyper multiplets (48 Neutral)

• As a slightly more non-trivial example, consider the following configuration matrix:

$$X_{3}^{\mathbb{E}_{1}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{P}^{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• This admits nine obvious genus one fibrations...



**Figure 7:** *F*-theory models in 6D with the same 5D M-theory limit where  $n_V^{(5D)} = 6$  and  $n_H^{(5D)} = 27$ .