## Chernoff's distribution and differential equations of parabolic and Airy type

Piet Groeneboom, Delft University

joint work with: Steve Lalley, University of Chicago, Nico Temme, CWI, Amsterdam

Banff, January-February, 2018

# Steven Lalley and Nico Temme





Analytical characterization of distribution of  $Z = \operatorname{argmax} \{ W(t) - t^2 : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$ ?



Figure: Herman Chernoff



Figure: Left: Z and  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ , right: Z and  $t \mapsto W(t)$ .

• Define u(s, x) by

$$u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x\right\}.$$

• Define u(s, x) by

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x\right\}.$ Then  $u(s,x) = 1, x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, x \to -\infty.$ 

• Define u(s, x) by

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s|W(s) = x\right\}.$ Then  $u(s,x) = 1, x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, x \to -\infty.$ If  $x < s^2$ :

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\} + \\ + \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t \in (s,s+\epsilon], \\ W(t) \le t^2, \forall t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\}$ 

• Define u(s, x) by

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s|W(s) = x\right\}.$ Then  $u(s,x) = 1, x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, x \to -\infty.$ If  $x < s^2$ :

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^{2} \text{ for some } t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\} + \\ + \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^{2} \text{ for some } t \in (s, s + \epsilon], \\ W(t) \le t^{2}, \forall t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\} \\ = \mathbb{E}\left\{u\left(s + \epsilon, W(s + \epsilon)\right) | W(s) = x\right\} + o(\epsilon)$ 

• Define u(s, x) by

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x\right\}.$ Then  $u(s,x) = 1, x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, x \to -\infty.$ If  $x < s^2$ :

 $u(s,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\} + \\ + \mathbb{P}\left\{W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t \in (s, s + \epsilon], \\ W(t) \le t^2, \forall t > s + \epsilon | W(s) = x\right\}$ 

 $= \mathbb{E} \left\{ u \left( s + \epsilon, W(s + \epsilon) \right) | W(s) = x \right\} + o(\epsilon)$  $= u(s, x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u(s, x) \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(s, x) \epsilon + o(\epsilon), \ \epsilon \downarrow 0.$ 

Hence  $u(s, x) = \mathbb{P} \{ W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x \}$  satisfies the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}u(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(s,x),$$

Hence  $u(s, x) = \mathbb{P} \{ W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x \}$  satisfies the heat equation:

$$rac{\partial}{\partial s}u(s,x) = -rac{1}{2}rac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(s,x),$$
  
and  $u(s,x) = 1, x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, x \to -\infty$ 

• Let  $M_h = max_{t \in [s-h,s]}W(t)$ . Then:

$$\max_{t \in [s-h,s]} \{ W(t) - t^2 \} = M_h - s^2 + O(h)$$

• Let 
$$M_h = max_{t \in [s-h,s]}W(t)$$
. Then:

$$\max_{t \in [s-h,s]} \{ W(t) - t^2 \} = M_h - s^2 + O(h)$$

Using the spatial homogeneity of Brownian motion we get, taking  $\widetilde{W}(t) = W(t) - M_h + s$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\geq s}\left\{W(t)-t^{2}\right\}>M_{h}-s^{2}\mid W(s),W(s-h),M_{h}\right\}$$
$$=\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\geq s}\left\{\widetilde{W}(t)-t^{2}\right\}>0\mid \widetilde{W}(s)=W(s)-M_{h}+s^{2}\right\}$$

• Let 
$$M_h = max_{t \in [s-h,s]}W(t)$$
. Then:

$$\max_{t \in [s-h,s]} \{ W(t) - t^2 \} = M_h - s^2 + O(h)$$

Using the spatial homogeneity of Brownian motion we get, taking  $\widetilde{W}(t) = W(t) - M_h + s$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\geq s}\left\{W(t)-t^{2}\right\} > M_{h}-s^{2} \mid W(s), W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\geq s}\left\{\widetilde{W}(t)-t^{2}\right\} > 0 \mid \widetilde{W}(s) = W(s)-M_{h}+s^{2}\right\}$$
$$= u\left(s, W(s)-M_{h}+s^{2}\right)$$

• Let 
$$M_h = max_{t \in [s-h,s]}W(t)$$
. Then:

$$\max_{t \in [s-h,s]} \{ W(t) - t^2 \} = M_h - s^2 + O(h)$$

Using the spatial homogeneity of Brownian motion we get, taking  $\widetilde{W}(t) = W(t) - M_h + s$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \ge s} \left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\} > M_{h} - s^{2} \mid W(s), W(s - h), M_{h}\right\} \\ = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \ge s} \left\{\widetilde{W}(t) - t^{2}\right\} > 0 \mid \widetilde{W}(s) = W(s) - M_{h} + s^{2}\right\} \\ = u\left(s, W(s) - M_{h} + s^{2}\right) \\ = u(s, s^{2})\left(=1\right) + \left\{W(s) - M_{h}\right\}\partial_{2}u(s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$

• Similarly:  

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\leq s-h} \left\{W(t)-t^{2}\right\} \geq M_{h}-(s-h)^{2} \mid W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$

$$=u(-s, s^{2}) (=1) - \left\{M_{h}-W(s-h)\right\} \partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$

• Similarly:  

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t\leq s-h} \left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\} \geq M_{h} - (s-h)^{2} \mid W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$

$$= u(-s, s^{2}) (= 1) - \left\{M_{h} - W(s-h)\right\} \partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$
• Conclusion:

• Conclusion:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z \in [s - h, s]\} \\ = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^2 > \max_{t \ge s} \{W(t) - t^2\}, \\ \max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^2 > \max_{t \le s - h} \{W(t) - t^2\}\right\}$$

• Similarly:  

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \le s-h} \left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\} \ge M_{h} - (s-h)^{2} \mid W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$

$$= u(-s, s^{2}) (= 1) - \left\{M_{h} - W(s-h)\right\} \partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$
• Conclusion:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z \in [s - h, s]\} \\ = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^2 > \max_{t \ge s} \{W(t) - t^2\}, \\ \max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^2 > \max_{t \le s - h} \{W(t) - t^2\}\right\} \\ \sim \mathbb{E}\left\{M_h - W(s)\right\} \{M_h - W(s - h)\} \partial_2 u(s, s^2) \partial_2 u(-s, s^2)$$

• Similarly:  

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \le s-h} \left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\} \ge M_{h} - (s-h)^{2} \mid W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$

$$= u(-s, s^{2}) (= 1) - \left\{M_{h} - W(s-h)\right\} \partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$
• Conclusion:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z \in [s - h, s]\} \\ = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^{2} > \max_{t \ge s} \{W(t) - t^{2}\}, \\ \max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^{2} > \max_{t \le s - h} \{W(t) - t^{2}\}\right\} \\ \sim \mathbb{E}\left\{M_{h} - W(s)\right\} \{M_{h} - W(s - h)\} \partial_{2}u(s, s^{2})\partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) \\ \sim h\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{x \in [0, 1]} B(x)\right)^{2} \partial_{2}u(s, s^{2})\partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) (B = \text{Brownian Bridge})$$

• Similarly:  

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \le s-h} \left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\} \ge M_{h} - (s-h)^{2} \mid W(s-h), M_{h}\right\}$$

$$= u(-s, s^{2}) (= 1) - \left\{M_{h} - W(s-h)\right\} \partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) + O_{p}(h).$$
• Conclusion:

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z \in [s - h, s]\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^{2} > \max_{t \ge s} \{W(t) - t^{2}\}, \\ \max_{t \in [s - h, s]} \{W(t) - t^{2} > \max_{t \le s - h} \{W(t) - t^{2}\}\right\} \\ \sim \mathbb{E}\left\{M_{h} - W(s)\right\} \{M_{h} - W(s - h)\} \partial_{2}u(s, s^{2})\partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) \\ \sim h\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{x \in [0, 1]} B(x)\right)^{2} \partial_{2}u(s, s^{2})\partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}) (B = \text{Brownian Bridge}) \\ = \frac{1}{2}h\partial_{2}u(s, s^{2})\partial_{2}u(-s, s^{2}), h \downarrow 0.$$

## Chernoff's theorem

Theorem (Chernoff (1964)) Let  $u(s, x) = \mathbb{P} \{ W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x \}.$ 

### Chernoff's theorem

Theorem (Chernoff (1964)) Let  $u(s, x) = \mathbb{P} \{ W(t) > t^2 \text{ for some } t > s | W(s) = x \}.$ 

Then the density  $f_Z$  of  $Z = \operatorname{argmax}\{W(x) - x^2\}$  is given by:

$$f_Z(s) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 u(-s,s^2)\partial_2 u(s,s^2).$$

where u(s, x) solves the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}u(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(s,x)$$

subject to:

$$u(s,x) = 1, \quad x \ge s^2, \qquad u(s,x) \to 0, \quad x \to -\infty.$$

Original computations of this density were based on numerically solving Chernoff's heat equation.

But (Groeneboom (1984)):

$$\partial_2 u(-s,s^2) \sim c_1 \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{3}s^3 - cs\right\}, \ s \to \infty,$$

where  $c \approx 2.9458$  and  $c_1 \approx 2.2638$ . This entails that a numerical solution of this partial differential equation on a grid will not give a really accurate solution!

Let  $Q^{(s,x)}$  is the probability measure of  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ , starting at x < 0 at time s

 $au_0$  the first hitting of zero of this process.

Let  $Q^{(s,x)}$  is the probability measure of  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ , starting at x < 0 at time s $\tau_0$  the first hitting of zero of this process.

Chernoff's result: the density of  $\operatorname{argmax}_t \{W(t) - t^2\}$  is given by:

$$f_Z(s)=\tfrac{1}{2}u_2(s)u_2(-s),$$

where

$$u_{2}(s) = \lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(s, x) = \lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ X_{t} \ge 0, \text{ for some } t \ge s \}$$
$$= -\lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ X_{t} < 0, \forall t \ge s \}$$
$$= -\lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_{0} = \infty \},$$

Let  $Q^{(s,x)}$  is the probability measure of  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ , starting at x < 0 at time s $\tau_0$  the first hitting of zero of this process.

Chernoff's result: the density of  $\operatorname{argmax}_t \{W(t) - t^2\}$  is given by:

$$f_Z(s)=\tfrac{1}{2}u_2(s)u_2(-s),$$

where

$$u_{2}(s) = \lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(s, x) = \lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ X_{t} \ge 0, \text{ for some } t \ge s \}$$
$$= -\lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ X_{t} < 0, \forall t \ge s \}$$
$$= -\lim_{x \uparrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_{0} = \infty \},$$

### Cameron-Martin-Girsanov

- (1) under  $P^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t : t \ge s\}$  is standard Brownian motion with  $X_s = x$ ,
- (2) under  $Q^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t t^2 : t \ge s\}$ , with  $X_s = x$ .

#### Cameron-Martin-Girsanov

- (1) under  $P^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t : t \ge s\}$  is standard Brownian motion with  $X_s = x$ ,
- (2) under  $Q^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t t^2 : t \ge s\}$ , with  $X_s = x$ .

Cameron-Martin-Girsanov:  $Q^{(s,x)} << P^{(s,x)}$  on  $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \ge s\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_u : u \in [s, t]\}$  and  $\frac{dQ^{(s,x)}}{dP^{(s,x)}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t$ , and where

$$Z_t = \exp\left\{2\int_s^t X_u \, du - 2(tX_t - sX_s) - \frac{2}{3}(t^3 - s^3)\right\}, \quad t \ge s.$$

#### Cameron-Martin-Girsanov

- (1) under  $P^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t : t \ge s\}$  is standard Brownian motion with  $X_s = x$ ,
- (2) under  $Q^{(s,x)}$ ,  $\{X_t = W_t t^2 : t \ge s\}$ , with  $X_s = x$ .

Cameron-Martin-Girsanov:  $Q^{(s,x)} << P^{(s,x)}$  on  $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \ge s\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_u : u \in [s, t]\}$  and  $\frac{dQ^{(s,x)}}{dP^{(s,x)}}\Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t$ , and where

$$\mathbf{Z}_t = \exp\left\{2\int_s^t X_u \, du - 2\big(tX_t - sX_s\big) - \frac{2}{3}\big(t^3 - s^3\big)\right\}, \quad t \ge s.$$

Hence, if x < 0, s < t, and  $\tau_0$  is the first time  $X_t$  hits zero:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \}$$
  
= exp  $\{ 2sx - \frac{2}{3}(t^3 - s^3) \}$   
 $\cdot E^{P^{(s,x)}} \left\{ exp \left\{ 2 \int_s^t X_u \, du \right\} \mid \tau_0 = t \right\} P^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \}.$ 

Let, for  $\lambda>$  0,  $u_{\lambda}$  be the unique non-negative solution of the boundary problem

$$\frac{1}{2}u''(x) - (\lambda - 2x)u(x) = 0, \ x < 0, \ \lim_{x \uparrow 0} u(x) = 1, \ u(x) \le 1, \ x \le 0.$$

Let, for  $\lambda>$  0,  $u_{\lambda}$  be the unique non-negative solution of the boundary problem

$$\frac{1}{2}u''(x) - (\lambda - 2x)u(x) = 0, \ x < 0, \ \lim_{x \uparrow 0} u(x) = 1, \ u(x) \le 1, \ x \le 0.$$

The unique solution is given by

$$u_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, x \le 0.$$
(1)

Let, for  $\lambda>$  0,  $u_{\lambda}$  be the unique non-negative solution of the boundary problem

$$\frac{1}{2}u''(x) - (\lambda - 2x)u(x) = 0, \ x < 0, \ \lim_{x \uparrow 0} u(x) = 1, \ u(x) \le 1, \ x \le 0.$$

The unique solution is given by

$$u_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, \ x \le 0.$$
(1)

Ai is a particular solution of the equation f''(x) - xf(x) = 0.

Let, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $u_{\lambda}$  be the unique non-negative solution of the boundary problem

$$\frac{1}{2}u''(x) - (\lambda - 2x)u(x) = 0, \ x < 0, \ \lim_{x \uparrow 0} u(x) = 1, \ u(x) \le 1, \ x \le 0.$$

The unique solution is given by

$$u_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, \ x \le 0.$$
(1)

Ai is a particular solution of the equation f''(x) - xf(x) = 0. Consider the process

$$Y_t = e^{-\int_{v=s}^t (\lambda - 2X_v) dv} u_\lambda(X_t),$$

where  $X_t$  is standard Brownian motion, starting at x < 0 at time s.  $Y_t$  is a local martingale (Ito's formula).

Let, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $u_{\lambda}$  be the unique non-negative solution of the boundary problem

$$\frac{1}{2}u''(x) - (\lambda - 2x)u(x) = 0, \ x < 0, \ \lim_{x \uparrow 0} u(x) = 1, \ u(x) \le 1, \ x \le 0.$$

The unique solution is given by

$$u_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, \ x \le 0.$$
(1)

Ai is a particular solution of the equation f''(x) - xf(x) = 0. Consider the process

$$Y_t = e^{-\int_{v=s}^t (\lambda - 2X_v) dv} u_\lambda(X_t),$$

where  $X_t$  is standard Brownian motion, starting at x < 0 at time s.  $Y_t$  is a local martingale (Ito's formula). Hence, if t > s.

$$\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{P}^{(s,x)}}e^{-\int_{v=s}^{\tau_0}\left(\lambda-2X_v\right)\,dv}=\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{P}^{(s,x)}}Y_{\tau_0}=Y_s=u_\lambda(x),$$

#### Conclusion:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t\in(s,\infty)} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{P}^{(s,x)}} \left\{ e^{\int_{v=s}^{t} 2X_v \, dv} \mid \tau_0 = t \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(s,x)} \left\{ \tau_0 \in dt \right\} \\ &= u_\lambda(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, \quad x < 0, \end{split}$$
## Airy functions

#### Conclusion:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{t\in(s,\infty)} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{P}^{(s,x)}} \left\{ e^{\int_{v=s}^{t} 2X_{v} \, dv} \mid \tau_{0} = t \right\} \mathbf{P}^{(s,x)} \left\{ \tau_{0} \in dt \right\} \\ &= u_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}\lambda)}, \quad x < 0, \end{split}$$

So we can compute:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \}$$
  
= exp  $\{ 2sx - \frac{2}{3}(t^3 - s^3) \}$   
 $\cdot E^{P^{(s,x)}} \{ e^{\int_{v=s}^{t} 2X_v \, dv} \mid \tau_0 = t \} P^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \},$ 

where  $Q^{(s,x)}$  is the probability measure of  $\{W_t - t^2 : t \ge s\}$ , starting at x at time s.

### Inverse Laplace transforms

Inversion of the Laplace transform along the imaginary axis:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 < \infty \} = \int_{t \in (s,\infty)} Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \}$$
  
=  $\frac{e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt dv.$ 

#### Inverse Laplace transforms

Inversion of the Laplace transform along the imaginary axis:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 < \infty \} = \int_{t \in (s,\infty)} Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 \in dt \}$$
  
=  $\frac{e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt dv.$ 

So we would be done if we can deal with the properties of the integral in the last line, since Chernoff's function u(s, x) satisfies

$$u(s,x)=Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_0<\infty\right\}.$$

Taking the special case s = 0, we get:

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{t=0}^{\infty}e^{i\nu t-\frac{1}{3}t^3}\,dt=\mathrm{Hi}(i\nu),$$

where Hi denotes Scorer's function Hi.

### Difficulties of direct approach

Scorer's function Hi has the asymptotic expansion, as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$ext{Hi}(z) \sim -rac{1}{\pi z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} rac{(3k)!}{k! (3z^3)^k}, \qquad |\mathsf{ph}(-z)| < rac{2}{3}\pi - \delta$$

for  $\delta > 0$  arbitrarily small, where ph(-z) denotes the phase of -z.

### Difficulties of direct approach

Scorer's function Hi has the asymptotic expansion, as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$ext{Hi}(z) \sim -rac{1}{\pi z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} rac{(3k)!}{k! (3z^3)^k}, \qquad | ext{ph}(-z)| < rac{2}{3}\pi - \delta$$

for  $\delta > 0$  arbitrarily small, where ph(-z) denotes the phase of -z. This means that

$$\int_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}i\nu - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}i\nu)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{it\nu - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \right\} d\nu$$

has a non-integrable integrand if x = 0.

### Difficulties of direct approach

Scorer's function Hi has the asymptotic expansion, as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\operatorname{Hi}(z) \sim -\frac{1}{\pi z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3k)!}{k! (3z^3)^k}, \qquad |\operatorname{ph}(-z)| < \frac{2}{3}\pi - \delta$$

for  $\delta > 0$  arbitrarily small, where ph(-z) denotes the phase of -z. This means that

$$\int_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}i\nu - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}i\nu)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{it\nu - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \right\} d\nu$$

has a non-integrable integrand if x = 0. Whereas in fact:

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{x \uparrow 0} \int_{v = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \, dv \\ &= \lim_{x \uparrow 0} Q^{(s,x)} \left\{ \tau_0 < \infty \right\} = 1. \end{split}$$

### Indirect approach

For this reason the limit

$$Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_0=\infty\right\} = \lim_{t\to\infty} Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_0>t\right\}$$

was computed by first determining the transition density

$$Q^{(s,x)}\left\{X_t^{\partial}\in dy\right\},\ t>s,\ x,y<0,$$

of the process  $X_t^{\partial}$ , which is the process  $X_t$ , killed when reaching 0.

### Indirect approach

For this reason the limit

$$Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_0=\infty\right\} = \lim_{t\to\infty} Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_0>t\right\}$$

was computed by first determining the transition density

$$Q^{(s,x)}\left\{X_t^{\partial}\in dy\right\},\ t>s,\ x,y<0,$$

of the process  $X_t^\partial$ , which is the process  $X_t$ , killed when reaching 0. Details of this computation in appendix of Groeneboom (1989), yielding:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{\tau_0 = \infty\}$$
  
=  $c_{s,x} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isv} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi - 4^{1/3}x) - \operatorname{Ai}(i\xi - 4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} dv,$ 

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3}v$  and  $c_{s,x} = 4^{-1/3} \exp\{(2/3)s^3 + 2sx\}$ .

Since we also know:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 = \infty \}$$
  
=  $1 - \frac{e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt dv,$ 

we must have the analytic relation

$$\begin{split} & \left(Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_{0}=\infty\right\}=\right) \\ & 1 - \frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}s^{3}+2sx}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv-\frac{2}{3}(s+t)^{3}} dt \, dv \\ & = c_{s,x} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isv} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x) - \operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \, dv. \end{split}$$

Since we also know:

$$Q^{(s,x)} \{ \tau_0 = \infty \}$$
  
=  $1 - \frac{e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(2^{-1/3}iv)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt dv,$ 

we must have the analytic relation

$$\begin{split} & \left(Q^{(s,x)}\left\{\tau_{0}=\infty\right\}=\right) \\ & 1 - \frac{e^{\frac{2}{3}s^{3}+2sx}}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv-\frac{2}{3}(s+t)^{3}} dt \, dv \\ & = c_{s,x} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isv} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x) - \operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \, dv. \end{split}$$

If we could prove this relation analytically, we wouldn't need to introduce the process  $X_t^{\partial}$ , and we would not need the complicated computations in the appendix of Groeneboom (1989).

## Lemma (PDE for first expression) Let the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(s,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \, dv,$$

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3} v$ .

Lemma (PDE for first expression) Let the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0) \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(s,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \, dv,$$

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3}v$ . Then f satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}f(s,x)=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f(s,x)}{\partial x^2}-2xf(s,x).$$

Lemma (PDE for first expression) Let the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0) \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f(s,x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi - 4^{1/3}x)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{itv - \frac{2}{3}(s+t)^3} dt \, dv,$$

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3}v$ . Then f satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}f(s,x)=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 f(s,x)}{\partial x^2}-2xf(s,x).$$

Moreover  $0 \le f(s,x) \le e^{-2sx-\frac{2}{3}s^3}$ ,  $\lim_{s\to\infty} f(s,x) = 0$ , and

$$\lim_{x\uparrow 0} f(s,x) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}s^3}, \qquad \lim_{x\to -\infty} e^{2sx} f(s,x) = 0, \quad s\in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Lemma (PDE for second expression) Let the function $g : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

 $g(s,x) = \frac{1}{4^{1/3}} \int_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-isv} \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x) - \operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)} dv,$ 

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3} v$ .

## Lemma (PDE for second expression) Let the function $g : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

 $\sigma(s x)$ 

$$=\frac{1}{4^{1/3}}\int_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-is\nu}\frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)-\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)}\,d\nu,$$

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3}v$ . Then g satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}g(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 g(s,x)}{\partial x^2} - 2xg(s,x).$$

## Lemma (PDE for second expression) Let the function $g : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$=\frac{1}{4^{1/3}}\int_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-is\nu}\frac{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)-\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi-4^{1/3}x)\operatorname{Bi}(i\xi)}{\operatorname{Ai}(i\xi)}\,d\nu,$$

where  $\xi = 2^{-1/3}v$ . Then g satisfies the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}g(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 g(s,x)}{\partial x^2} - 2xg(s,x).$$

Moreover,

g(s, x)

$$\lim_{x\uparrow 0}g(s,x)=0,\qquad \lim_{x\to -\infty}e^{2sx}g(s,x)=e^{-\frac{2}{3}s^3},\qquad s>0.$$

#### Theorem

(i) Let f and g be as in the preceding lemmas. Then:

$$f(s,x)=e^{-2sx-rac{2}{3}s^3}-g(s,x),\quad s\in\mathbb{R},\quad x\leq 0.$$

#### Theorem

(i) Let f and g be as in the preceding lemmas. Then:

$$f(s,x)=e^{-2sx-rac{2}{3}s^3}-g(s,x),\quad s\in\mathbb{R},\quad x\leq 0.$$

(ii)

$$Q^{(s,x)}{\tau_0 < \infty} = e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}f(s,x),$$

and

$$Q^{(s,x)}{\tau_0 = \infty} = e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}g(s,x).$$

#### Theorem

(i) Let f and g be as in the preceding lemmas. Then:

$$f(s,x)=e^{-2sx-rac{2}{3}s^3}-g(s,x),\quad s\in\mathbb{R},\quad x\leq 0.$$

(ii)

$$Q^{(s,x)}{\tau_0 < \infty} = e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}f(s,x),$$

and

$$Q^{(s,x)}{\tau_0 = \infty} = e^{2sx + \frac{2}{3}s^3}g(s,x).$$

#### Proof.

$$f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} = 0, \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}, \, x \leq 0.$$

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} h(s,x) = 0, \, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} h(s,x) = 0, \, \forall x < 0.$ 

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} h(s,x) = 0, \, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} h(s,x) = 0, \, \forall x < 0.$ 

Consider an infinite rectangle  $R_c = \{(s, x) : s \ge c, x \le 0\}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose *h* attains a strictly positive maximum over  $R_c$  at an interior point  $(s_0, x_0) \in R_c^0$ . Then  $\partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$0 = \partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) - 2x_0 h(s_0, x_0).$$

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall x < 0.$ 

Consider an infinite rectangle  $R_c = \{(s, x) : s \ge c, x \le 0\}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose *h* attains a strictly positive maximum over  $R_c$  at an interior point  $(s_0, x_0) \in R_c^0$ . Then  $\partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$0 = \partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) - 2x_0 h(s_0, x_0).$$

Since h satisfies the same partial differential equation as f and g:

$$\partial_1 h(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h(s,x)}{\partial x^2} - 2xh(s,x) \quad (=0, \text{ if } (s,x) = (s_0,x_0))$$

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall x < 0.$ 

Consider an infinite rectangle  $R_c = \{(s, x) : s \ge c, x \le 0\}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose *h* attains a strictly positive maximum over  $R_c$  at an interior point  $(s_0, x_0) \in R_c^0$ . Then  $\partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$0 = \partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) - 2x_0 h(s_0, x_0).$$

Since h satisfies the same partial differential equation as f and g:

$$\partial_1 h(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h(s,x)}{\partial x^2} - 2xh(s,x) \quad (=0, \text{ if } (s,x) = (s_0,x_0))$$

This implies:  $\partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) = -4x_0 h(s_0, x_0) > 0$ , since  $x_0 < 0$  and  $h(s_0, x_0) > 0$ .

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{ and } \quad \lim_{s \to \infty} h(s,x) = 0, \ \forall x < 0.$ 

Consider an infinite rectangle  $R_c = \{(s, x) : s \ge c, x \le 0\}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose *h* attains a strictly positive maximum over  $R_c$  at an interior point  $(s_0, x_0) \in R_c^0$ . Then  $\partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = 0$ . Hence

$$0 = \partial_1 h(s_0, x_0) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) - 2x_0 h(s_0, x_0).$$

Since h satisfies the same partial differential equation as f and g:

$$\partial_1 h(s,x) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 h(s,x)}{\partial x^2} - 2xh(s,x) \quad (=0, \text{ if } (s,x) = (s_0,x_0))$$

This implies:  $\partial_2^2 h(s_0, x_0) = -4x_0 h(s_0, x_0) > 0$ , since  $x_0 < 0$  and  $h(s_0, x_0) > 0$ . Contradiction with  $h(s_0, x_0)$  is maximum.

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

So a strictly positive maximum or strictly negative minimum over  $R_c$  can only be attained on the line s = c.

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

So a strictly positive maximum or strictly negative minimum over  $R_c$  can only be attained on the line s = c. Suppose that a strictly positive maximum is attained at the point  $(c, x_0)$ , where  $x_0 < 0$ . Then we must have:  $\partial_1 h(c, x_0) \le 0$ , implying by the partial differential equation for h:

$$\partial_2^2 h(c, x_0) \ge -4x_0 h(c, x_0) > 0,$$

contradicting the assumption that h attains its maximum on the line s = c at the point  $(c, x_0)$ .

Proof of 
$$h(s,x) = f(s,x) + g(s,x) - e^{-2sx - \frac{2}{3}s^3} \equiv 0.$$

So a strictly positive maximum or strictly negative minimum over  $R_c$  can only be attained on the line s = c. Suppose that a strictly positive maximum is attained at the point  $(c, x_0)$ , where  $x_0 < 0$ . Then we must have:  $\partial_1 h(c, x_0) \le 0$ , implying by the partial differential equation for h:

$$\partial_2^2 h(c, x_0) \ge -4x_0 h(c, x_0) > 0,$$

contradicting the assumption that h attains its maximum on the line s = c at the point  $(c, x_0)$ .

**Conclusion**: *h* is identically zero on  $R_c$ . Since the argument holds for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get that the function *h* is identically zero on  $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0]$ .

Theorem (Groeneboom (1984), Daniels and Skyrme (1985)) The probability density f of the location of the maximum of the process  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is given by

$$f(s)=\tfrac{1}{2}g(s)g(-s),$$

where

$$g(s) = rac{1}{2^{2/3}\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{e^{-ius}}{\operatorname{Ai}(i2^{-1/3}u)} du.$$

where Ai is the Airy function Ai.

Theorem (Groeneboom (1984), Daniels and Skyrme (1985)) The probability density f of the location of the maximum of the process  $t \mapsto W(t) - t^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is given by

$$f(s)=\tfrac{1}{2}g(s)g(-s),$$

where

$$g(s) = rac{1}{2^{2/3}\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{e^{-ius}}{{
m Ai}(i2^{-1/3}u)} \, du.$$

where Ai is the Airy function Ai.

Distribution of the maximum itself:

Janson, Louchard, and Martin-Löf (2010), Groeneboom (2010) Groeneboom and Temme (2011) and Groeneboom, Lalley, and Temme (2013) (joint density of max and argmax).

# Henry Daniels



Density of  $Z = \operatorname{argmax} \{ W(t) - t^2, t \in \mathbb{R} \}$ 



Figure: The density  $f_Z$  of the location of the maximum Z of  $W(t) - t^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Density of  $Z = \operatorname{argmax} \{ W(t) - t^2, t \in \mathbb{R} \}$ 



Figure: The density  $f_Z$  of the location of the maximum Z of  $W(t) - t^2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Also:

$$\operatorname{var}(Z) = \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{E}\max_{t}\left\{W(t) - t^{2}\right\},$$

as proved in Groeneboom (2011) and Janson (2013), and (not using the relation with Airy functions) in Pimentel (2014).

The density can be computed by two lines in Mathematica:



## Bibliography I

- H. Chernoff. Estimation of the mode. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 16:31–41, 1964. ISSN 0020-3157.
- H.E. Daniels and T.H.R. Skyrme. The maximum of a random walk whose mean path has a maximum. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 17: 85–99, 1985. ISSN 0001-8678. doi: 10.2307/1427054. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1427054.
- P. Groeneboom. Brownian Motion With A Parabolic Drift And Airy Functions. CWI Technical Report Department of Mathematical Statistics-R 8413, CWI, 1984. URL http://oai.cwi.nl/oai/asset/6435/6435A.pdf.
- P. Groeneboom. Brownian motion with a parabolic drift and Airy functions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 81:79–109, 1989.
  ISSN 0178-8051. doi: 10.1007/BF00343738. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00343738.

## **Bibliography II**

- P. Groeneboom. The maximum of Brownian motion minus a parabola. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 15:no. 62, 1930–1937, 2010.
   ISSN 1083-6489. doi: 10.1214/EJP.v15-826. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v15-826.
- P. Groeneboom and N.M. Temme. The tail of the maximum of Brownian motion minus a parabola. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 16:458–466, 2011. ISSN 1083-589X. doi: 10.1214/ECP.v16-1645. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/ECP.v16-1645.
- P. Groeneboom, S.P. Lalley, and N.M. Temme. Chernoff's distribution and differential equations of parabolic and Airy type. Submitted, 2013.
- Piet Groeneboom. Vertices of the least concave majorant of Brownian motion with parabolic drift. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16: no. 84, 2234–2258, 2011. ISSN 1083-6489. doi: 10.1214/EJP.v16-959. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v16-959.

## Bibliography III

- S. Janson. Moments of the location of the maximum of Brownian motion with parabolic drift. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 18:no. 15, 1–8, 2013. ISSN 1083-589X. doi: 10.1214/ECP.v18-2330.
- S. Janson, G. Louchard, and A. Martin-Löf. The maximum of Brownian motion with parabolic drift. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 15: no. 61, 1893–1929, 2010. ISSN 1083-6489. doi: 10.1214/EJP.v15-830. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/EJP.v15-830.

Leandro P. R. Pimentel. On the location of the maximum of a continuous stochastic process. J. Appl. Probab., 51(1):152–161, 2014. ISSN 0021-9002. doi: 10.1239/jap/1395771420. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1239/jap/1395771420.