DRO with optimal transport distances: Some statistical and algorithmic advances

(joint work with Jose Blanchet, Yang Kang & Fan Zhang)

Karthyek Murthy Singapore University of Technology and Design

DRO meet, Banff

"With 4 parameters, I can fit an elephant, and with 5, I can make him wiggle his trunk"

-von Neumann

"With 4 parameters, I can fit an elephant, and with 5, I can make him wiggle his trunk"

-von Neumann



$\inf_{\beta} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P \left[\ell(X; \beta) \right]$

Specifying the set of plausible distributions $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$:

Moment assumptions Structural assumptions (unimodal, convex tails,...) Statistical/probabilistic distances

$\inf_{\beta} \sup_{P:D(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(X;\beta) \right]$

Specifying the set of plausible distributions $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$:

Moment assumptions Structural assumptions (unimodal, convex tails,...) Statistical/probabilistic distances



$\inf_{\beta} \sup_{P:D(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(X;\beta) \right]$

 $\delta_{\cdots} P_n$

Specifying the set of plausible distributions $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$:

Moment assumptions Structural assumptions (unimodal, convex tails,...) Statistical/probabilistic distances → optimal transport based approach (includes Wasserstein DRO as a special case)

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a powerful & flexible tool towards introducing model ambiguity in data-driven optimization under uncertainty

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a powerful & flexible tool towards introducing model ambiguity in data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a powerful & flexible tool towards introducing model ambiguity in data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a powerful & flexible tool towards introducing model ambiguity in data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

> A Stochastic gradient descent scheme that is at least "as fast", or sometimes much faster than the non-robust counterpart!

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

How do we specify the parameters for the ambiguity model?

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

How do we specify the parameters for the ambiguity model?

→ choosing the radius

utilising data to inform the geometry of the ambiguous neighborhood

Given two probability distributions $\mu\,$ and $\nu\,$,



Given two probability distributions $\mu\,$ and $\nu\,$,



Given two probability distributions $\mu\,$ and $\nu\,$,



Given two probability distributions $\mu\,$ and $\nu\,$,



Kantorovich relaxation:

$$D_{c}(\mu,\nu) := \min_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu) \\ \checkmark}} E_{\pi}[c(X,Y)]$$

$$X \text{-marginal} = \mu$$

$$Y \text{-marginal} = \nu$$



Given two probability distributions $\mu\,$ and $\nu\,$,



11

Kantorovich relaxation:

lf

$$D_{c}(\mu,\nu) := \min_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi(\mu,\nu) \\ Y \text{-marginal} = \mu \\ Y \text{-marginal} = \nu}} E_{\pi} \left[c(X,Y) \right]$$

$$v = \|x - y\|^{p},$$

$$D_{c}^{1/p}(\mu,\nu) \text{ is the Wasserstein distance of order } p$$

 $\{P: D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, P_{ref}) \le \delta\}$

 $\{P: D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, P_{ref}) \le \delta\}$

Hansen and Sargent '01, '06 Nilim and El Ghaoui '02, '03 lyengar '05 Lim and Shanthikumar '04 Lim et al '05, '06 Jain, Lim and Shanthikumar '10 Ben-Tal et al '13 Lam '13, '16, '17 Csiszár and Breuer '13 Jiang and Guan '12 Hu and Hong '13 Wang, Glynn and Ye '14 Glasserman and Xu '14 Bayrakskan and Love '15 Shapiro '15 Duchi, Glynn and Namkoong '16 Dhara, Das and Natarajan '17 Duchi and Namkoong '17

$$\left\{P: D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, P_{ref}) \leq \delta\right\} \qquad D_{KL}(p\|q) = \begin{cases} \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx & \text{if } p \ll q\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Baseline probability distribution p



$$\left\{P: D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, P_{ref}) \leq \delta\right\} \qquad D_{KL}(p\|q) = \begin{cases} \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx & \text{if } p \ll q\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Baseline probability distribution p

A KL-neighbor of p



$$\left\{P: D_{\mathrm{KL}}(P, P_{ref}) \leq \delta\right\} \qquad D_{KL}(p\|q) = \begin{cases} \int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx & \text{if } p \ll q\\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Baseline probability distribution p

A Wasserstein neighbor of p



DRO literature that considers optimal transport type distances

Pflug & Wozabal '07 Wozabal '12 Pflug & Pichler '14

DRO literature that considers optimal transport type distances

Pflug & Wozabal '07 Wozabal '12 Pflug & Pichler '14

S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn '15 Lee & Mehrotra '15 Esfahani & Kuhn '15 Zhao & Guan '15 Blanchet & M '16 Gao & Kleywegt '16 Hanasusanto & Kuhn '17 Blanchet, Kang & M '17 Blanchet, Kang, Zhang & M '17 Luo & Mehrotra '17 Lee & Raginsky '17 S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn '17 Gao, Chen & Kleywegt '17

Part I: Recovering well-known regularization based ML estimators as specific examples of DRO

Part I: Recovering well-known regularization based ML estimators as specific examples of DRO

Xu, Caramanis & Mannor (2009a, 2009b) Bertsimas & Copenhaver (2017)

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$



Image source: <u>r-bloggers.com</u>

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$

- Optimal least squares finds β that minimizes

$$E_{P_n}\left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \beta^T X_i\right)^2$$



Image source: <u>r-bloggers.com</u>

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$

- Optimal least squares finds β that minimizes

$$E_{P_n}\left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(Y_i - \beta^T X_i \right)^2$$

Image source: r-bloggers.com

• DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right]$

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$



Image source: <u>r-bloggers.com</u>

• DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right]$

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$



Image source: <u>r-bloggers.com</u>

• DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16)

Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x - x'\|_q^2 & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$



Image source: <u>r-bloggers.com</u>

• DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{\substack{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta}} E_P\left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2\right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x - x'\|_q^2 & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then DR-linear regression estimator = $\arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \sqrt{\text{MSE}_n(\beta)} + \sqrt{\delta} \|\beta\|_p \right\}$

• Consider fitting a linear regression model

 $Y_i = \beta^T X_i + \varepsilon_i$ to data points $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$



Image source: r-bloggers.com

• DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{\substack{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta}} E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x - x'\|_q^2 & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then 1/p + 1/q = 1DR-linear regression estimator $= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \sqrt{\text{MSE}_n(\beta)} + \sqrt{\delta} \|\beta\|_p \right\}$ • DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_{c}(P,P_{n}) \leq \delta} E_{P} \left[(Y - \beta^{T} X)^{2} \right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x - x'\|_{q}^{2} & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then 1/p + 1/q = 1DR-linear regression estimator $= \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \sqrt{\text{MSE}_{n}(\beta)} + \sqrt{\delta} \|\beta\|_{p} \right\}$ • DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_{c}(P,P_{n}) \leq \delta} E_{P} \left[(Y - \beta^{T} X)^{2} \right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x - x'\|_{q}^{2} & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then 1/p + 1/q = 1DR-linear regression estimator $= \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \sqrt{\text{MSE}_{n}(\beta)} + \sqrt{\delta} \|\beta\|_{p} \right\}$

• DR logistic regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta} E_P [\text{Logistic Loss}(X,Y;\beta)]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x-x'\|_q & \text{if } y = y' \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then

DR-logistic regression estimator

$$= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Logistic } \log(X_i, Y_i; \beta) + \delta \|\beta\|_p \right\}$$

Image from [Szegedy et al 2015]



• DR logistic regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P [\text{Logistic Loss}(X,Y;\beta)]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y), (x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x-x'\|_q & \text{if } y = y' \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then

DR-logistic regression estimator

$$= \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Logistic } \log(X_i, Y_i; \beta) + \delta \|\beta\|_p \right\}$$
[Szegedy et al 2015]



 $+.007 \times$



=

 \boldsymbol{x}

"panda" 57.7% confidence

 $\operatorname{sign}(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}J(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x},y))$

"nematode" 8.2% confidence $x + \epsilon sign(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y))$ "gibbon" 99.3 % confidence

[Szegedy et al 2015]







=

 \boldsymbol{x}

"panda" 57.7% confidence

 $sign(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}, y))$

"nematode" 8.2% confidence $x + \epsilon sign(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y))$ "gibbon" 99.3 % confidence





NN-WD, Pred:4, $\|\delta\|_2 = 1.7$ NN-DO, Pred:8, $\|\delta\|_2 = 1.7$

S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn (2015)

S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn (2015) Blanchet, Kang & M '16 Blanchet, Kang, Zhang & M '17 S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn '17 Gao, Chen & Kleywegt '17

 $\sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n)\leq\delta} E_P\left[(Y-\beta^T X)^2\right]$ • DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T X)^2 \right]$ Theorem (Blanchet, Kang & M '16) Suppose $c((x,y),(x',y')) = \begin{cases} \|x-x'\|_q^2 & \text{if } y = y', \\ \infty & \text{if } y \neq y' \end{cases}$. Then 1/p + 1/q = 1DR-linear regression estimator $= \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \sqrt{\text{MSE}_n(\beta)} + \sqrt{\delta} \|\beta\|_p \right\}$

$$\sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n)\leq\delta} E_P\left[(Y-\beta^T X)^2\right]$$

Duality Theorem (Blanchet & M '16)

$$\sup_{P:D_{c}(P,P_{ref})\leq\delta}\int fdP = \inf_{\lambda\geq0}\left\{\lambda\delta + E_{P_{ref}}\left[\sup_{\Delta}f(X+\Delta) - c(X+\Delta,X)\right]\right\}$$

Esfahani & Kuhn '15, Zhao & Guan '15 Gao & Kleywegt '16

$$\sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n)\leq\delta} E_P\left[(Y-\beta^T X)^2\right]$$

Duality Theorem (Blanchet & M '16)

$$\sup_{P:D_{c}(P,P_{ref})\leq\delta}\int fdP = \inf_{\lambda\geq0}\left\{\lambda\delta + E_{P_{ref}}\left[\sup_{\Delta}f(X+\Delta) - c(X+\Delta,X)\right]\right\}$$

Esfahani & Kuhn '15, Zhao & Guan '15 Gao & Kleywegt '16

General assumption:

cost *c* is lower semicontinuous cost can be infinity *f* is upper semicontinuous

$$\sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n)\leq\delta} E_P\left[(Y-\beta^T X)^2\right]$$

Duality Theorem (Blanchet & M '16)

$$\sup_{P:D_{c}(P,P_{ref})\leq\delta}\int fdP = \inf_{\lambda\geq0}\left\{\lambda\delta + E_{P_{ref}}\left[\sup_{\Delta}f(X+\Delta) - c(X+\Delta,X)\right]\right\}$$

Esfahani & Kuhn '15, Zhao & Guan '15 Gao & Kleywegt '16

General assumption:

cost *c* is lower semicontinuous cost can be infinity *f* is upper semicontinuous Applications in risk analysis data driven optimization stochastic control machine learning,

- DR-linear regression (with q -norm cost) = ℓ_p -regularized linear regression
 - $q{=}1$ case exactly recovers $\sqrt{\text{Lasso}}$
 - q=2 case recovers ridge regression
- DR-logistic regression (with q -norm cost) = ℓ_p -reg. logistic regression

- DR-linear regression (with q -norm cost) = ℓ_p -regularized linear regression
 - $q{=}1$ case exactly recovers $\sqrt{\rm Lasso}$
 - q=2 case recovers ridge regression
- DR-logistic regression (with q -norm cost) = ℓ_p -reg. logistic regression DRO with optimal transport costs recovers many other regularized

estimators....

- DR-hinge loss minimization = Support Vector Machines
- DR-quantile regression (with q -norm cost) = ℓ_p -reg. quantile regression
- Group lasso, LAD-Lasso
- Generalized adaptive ridge regression

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO) ✓

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO) ✓

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

- [Esfahani & Kuhn '15]
- [Kuhn & Hanasusanto '17]
 - [Luo & Mehrotra '17]
- [Sinha, Namkoong & Duchi '17]

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO) ✓

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

convex
$$\ell(\beta^T X)$$
 or $\max_{i=1,...,K} \ell_i(\beta^T X)$

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO) ✓

→ Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

Utility maximization Newsvendor models

Part II: Fast iterative schemes for optimal transport DRO (work in progress)



ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take $c(x, y) = (x - y)^T A(x - y)$

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

• Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

$$\nabla f_1, \quad \nabla f_2, \quad \dots, \quad \nabla f_{n-1}, \quad \nabla f_n$$

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

• Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

• SGD scheme: $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \lambda_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_k \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} - \alpha_k \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_I / \partial \beta \\ \partial f_I / \partial \lambda \end{bmatrix} (\beta_k, \lambda_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$

$$\nabla f_1, \quad \nabla f_2, \quad \dots, \quad \nabla f_{n-1}, \quad \nabla f_n$$

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x, y) = (x - y)^T A(x - y)$$

• Applying the Duality theorem,
$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$
• SGD scheme:
$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \lambda_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_k \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} - \alpha_k \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_I / \partial \beta \\ \partial f_I / \partial \lambda \end{bmatrix} (\beta_k, \lambda_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

• After T iterations, error = O(1/T) if F is strongly convex error = $O(1/\sqrt{T})$ if F is convex

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

$$f_i(\beta,\lambda) := \sup_{\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \ell \left(Y_i, \beta^T X_i + \gamma_i \sqrt{\delta} \beta^T A^{-1} \beta \right) - \lambda \sqrt{\delta} \left(\gamma_i^2 \beta^T A^{-1} \beta - 1 \right) \right\}$$

First order oracle information can be evaluated just with function evaluations of $\ell(\cdot)$ and $\ell'(\cdot)$, which is what ERM also requires

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

$$f_{i}(\beta,\lambda) := \sup_{\gamma_{i} \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \ell \left(Y_{i}, \beta^{T} X_{i} + \gamma_{i} \sqrt{\delta} \beta^{T} A^{-1} \beta \right) - \lambda \sqrt{\delta} \left(\gamma_{i}^{2} \beta^{T} A^{-1} \beta - 1 \right) \right\}$$
$$\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \lambda} = -\sqrt{\delta} \left(\gamma_{i}^{2} \beta^{T} A^{-1} \beta - 1 \right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \beta} = \ell'(Y_{i}, \beta^{T} \tilde{X}_{i}) \tilde{X}_{i}$$

First order oracle information can be evaluated just with function evaluations of $\ell(\cdot)$ and $\ell'(\cdot)$, which is what ERM also requires

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x, y) = (x - y)^T A(x - y)$$

• Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

• SGD scheme

me:
$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \lambda_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_k \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} - \alpha_k \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_I / \partial \beta \\ \partial f_I / \partial \lambda \end{bmatrix} (\beta_k, \lambda_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

	ERM	DRO
Per-iteration complexity	O(d)	O(Ld)
# Iterations		
Complexity		

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x, y) = (x - y)^T A(x - y)$$

• Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

Theorem

Suppose $\ell(X;\beta) = \max_{i=1,...,K} \ell_i(\beta^T X)$, where $\ell_i \in C^2$ are locally strongly convex.

Then for all $\delta < \delta_0$, the function F is strongly convex with parameter = $c\sqrt{\delta}$.

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x, y) = (x - y)^T A(x - y)$$

Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

Theorem

Suppose $\ell(X;\beta) = \max_{i=1,...,K} \ell_i(\beta^T X)$, where $\ell_i \in C^2$ are locally strongly convex.

Then for all $\delta < \delta_0$, the function F is strongly convex with parameter $= c\sqrt{\delta}$.

Further, F is strongly convex in β as long as $\ell_i \in C^2$ are convex.

ERM:

$$\min_{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \longrightarrow \min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$$

• Take
$$c(x,y) = (x-y)^T A(x-y)$$

Applying the Duality theorem,

$$\inf_{\beta,\lambda} \left\{ F(\beta,\lambda) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\beta,\lambda) \right\}$$

•••

• SGD scheme: $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{k+1} \\ \lambda_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \beta_k \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix} - \alpha_k \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_I / \partial \beta \\ \partial f_I / \partial \lambda \end{bmatrix} (\beta_k, \lambda_k), \quad k = 1, 2, .$$

	ERM	DRO	
Per-iteration complexity	O(d)	O(Ld)	
# Iterations	$O(\varepsilon^{-2})$	$O(\varepsilon^{-1}\delta^{-1/2})$	when strong
Complexity	$O(d\varepsilon^{-2})$	$O(Ld\varepsilon^{-1}\delta^{-2})$	convexity holds

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

→ A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO) ✓

↓ Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

How do we specify the parameters for the ambiguity model?

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

As a flexible & scalable approach towards data-driven optimization under uncertainty

A number of popular ML algorithms that employ regularization
 → can be exactly recast as particular examples of (OT-DRO)

↓ Can we utilise (OT-DRO) for larger class of models with the ability to handle large data sets?

How do we specify the parameters for the ambiguity model?

→ choosing the radius

Part III: Specifying parameters of the optimal transport neighborhood



 P_{tru}



Concentration inequalities by Fournier & Guillin (2015) S-Abadeh, Esfahani & Kuhn '15, Lee and Mehrotra '15, Gao and Kleywegt '16

DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$

Given P, $\beta_{(P)} := \text{optimal } \beta \text{ satisfying}$

$$E_P\left[\left(Y-\beta_{(P)}^T X\right)X\right]=\mathbf{0}$$



DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$

Given P, $\beta_{(P)} := \text{optimal } \beta \text{ satisfying}$

$$E_P\left[\left(Y-\beta_{(P)}^T X\right)X\right]=\mathbf{0}$$

 β_* is the optimal β satisfying

$$E_{P_{true}}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{*}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\right)\boldsymbol{X}\right]=\boldsymbol{0}$$



DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$

Given P, $\beta_{(P)} := \text{optimal } \beta \text{ satisfying}$

$$E_P\left[\left(Y-\beta_{(P)}^T X\right)X\right]=\mathbf{0}$$

 β_* is the optimal β satisfying

$$E_{P_{true}}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{*}^{T}\boldsymbol{X}\right)\boldsymbol{X}\right]=\boldsymbol{0}$$

Criteria for optimal selection:

Plausible β 's:

 $\beta_* \in \{\beta_{(P)}: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta\}$


Plausible β 's:

Criteria for optimal selection:

 $\beta_* \in \{\beta_{(P)}: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta\}$

Specifying radius of the ambiguity models DR linear regression: $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$ $R_n(\beta_*) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P, P_n) : E_P\left[(Y - \beta_*^T X) X \right] = 0 \right\}$ P_n $\left\{ Q: \operatorname{Eq}\left[(Y - \beta_*^T X) Y \right] = 0 \right\}$ P_{true}

Plausible β 's:

Criteria for optimal selection:

 $\beta_* \in \{\beta_{(P)} : D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta\}$

Specifying radius of the ambiguity models $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$ DR linear regression: $R_n(\beta_*) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P, P_n) : E_P\left[(Y - \beta_*^T X) X \right] = 0 \right\}$ P_n Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16] $\left\{ Q: \operatorname{EQ}\left[(Y - \beta_*^T X) Y \right] = 0 \right\}$ If $Y = \beta_*^T X + \epsilon$, P_{true} $nR_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R}$

Plausible β 's:

Criteria for optimal selection:

 $\beta_* \in \{\beta_{(P)} : D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta\}$

Specifying radius of the ambiguity models
DR linear regression:
$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$$

$$R_n(\beta_*) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P \left[(Y - \beta^T_* X) X \right] = 0 \right\}$$
Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16]
If $Y = \beta^T_* X + \epsilon$,
 $nR_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R}$ $\{Q: E_Q [(Y - \beta^T_* X) X] = 0\}$

Choose
$$\delta = \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{n}$$
 where η_{α} is such that $P\left\{\bar{R} \leq \eta_{\alpha}\right\} = 1 - \alpha$.

Optimality condition: $E[h(W; \beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$ RWP function: $R_n(\beta) = \inf \{D_c($

 $E[h(W;\beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$ $R_n(\beta) = \inf \{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P[h(W,\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \}$



Optimality condition: $E[h(W; \beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$ $R_n(\beta) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P, P_n) : E_P[h(W, \beta)] = \mathbf{0} \right\}$ RWP function: Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16] If we take $c(u, v) = ||u - v||_a^{\rho}$, P_n `, δ $n^{\rho/2}R_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R},$ $\left\{ Q: \mathbf{E}Q\left[(Y - \beta_*^T X) Y \right] = 0 \right\}$ P_{true}

Choose
$$\delta = \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{n}$$
 where η_{α} is such that $P\left\{\bar{R} \leq \eta_{\alpha}\right\} = 1 - \alpha$.

Optimality condition: RWP function:

$$E[h(W;\beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$$

$$R_n(\beta) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P[h(W,\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

 ℓ_{ρ} -lin reg: $\rho = 2$

Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16]

$$c(u, v) = ||u - v||_q^{\rho},$$
$$n^{\rho/2} R_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R},$$

_ D π

$$\bar{R} \leq \frac{\pi}{\pi - 2} \|Z\|_q^2,$$

$$\ell_{p} - \log \text{ reg: } \rho = 1$$

$$\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} ||Z||_q,$$

$$\bar{R} = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^r} \left\{ \rho \zeta^T Z - (\rho - 1) E \left\| \zeta^T D_w h(W, \beta_*) \right\|_p^{\rho/(\rho - 1)} \right\}$$

Optimality condition: RWP function:

$$E[h(W;\beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$$

$$R_n(\beta) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P[h(W,\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16]

If we take $c(u, v) = ||u - v||_q^{\rho}$,

$$n^{\rho/2}R_n(\beta_*) \stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow} \overline{R},$$

 ℓ_p -lin reg: $\rho = 2$ $\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} \frac{\pi}{\pi - 2} \|Z\|_q^2,$

$$\ell_{p}-\log$$
 reg: $\rho=1$

$$\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} ||Z||_q,$$

$$\bar{R} = \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^r} \left\{ \rho \zeta^T Z - (\rho - 1) E \left\| \zeta^T D_w h(W, \beta_*) \right\|_p^{\rho/(\rho - 1)} \right\}$$

Optimality condition: RWP function:

$$E[h(W;\beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$$

$$R_n(\beta) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P[h(W,\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

 ℓ_{ρ} -lin reg: $\rho = 2$

Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16]

$$c(u, v) = ||u - v||_q^{\rho},$$
$$n^{\rho/2} R_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R},$$

$$\ell_p - \log \text{reg:} \rho = 1$$

$$\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} ||Z||_q,$$

 $\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} \frac{\pi}{\pi-2} \|Z\|_q^2,$

$$nR_n(\beta_*) \leq \frac{\pi}{\pi-2} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2d)}{\sqrt{n}} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{n}}\right)$$

Optimality condition: RWP function:

$$E[h(W;\beta_*)] = \mathbf{0}$$

$$R_n(\beta) = \inf \left\{ D_c(P,P_n) : E_P[h(W,\beta)] = \mathbf{0} \right\}$$

Theorem: [Blanchet, Kang & M '16]

If we take $c(u, v) = ||u - v||_q^{\rho}$,

$$n^{\rho/2}R_n(\beta_*) \xrightarrow{D} \overline{R},$$

 ℓ_p -lin reg: $\rho = 2$ $\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} \frac{\pi}{\pi - 2} \|Z\|_q^2,$

$$\ell_{p}-\log$$
 reg: $\rho=1$

$$\bar{R} \stackrel{D}{\leq} ||Z||_q,$$

$$nR_n(\beta_*) \leq \frac{\pi}{\pi - 2} \frac{\Phi^{-1} \left(1 - \alpha/2d\right)}{\sqrt{n}} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{n}}\right)$$

Application to machine learning: No cross-validation!



DR linear regression:

 $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{P: D_c(P, P_n) \leq \delta} E_P \left[\left(Y - \beta^T X \right)^2 \right]$



Limit result based radius choice vs cross-validation vs zero radius (OLS) in diabetic data set of 142 training samples with 64 predictors









$$\min_{\Lambda \in PSD} \sum_{\substack{(X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{M} \\ (X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{N}}} d_{\Lambda}^2 (X_i, X_j)} \\ s.t. \sum_{\substack{(X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{N} \\ \mathcal{N}}} d_{\Lambda}^2 (X_i, X_j) \ge \bar{\lambda}.$$





$$\min_{\Lambda \in PSD} \sum_{\substack{(X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{M} \\ (X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{M}}} d_{\Lambda}^2 (X_i, X_j)} s.t. \sum_{\substack{(X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{N} \\ (X_i, X_j) \in \mathcal{N}}} d_{\Lambda}^2 (X_i, X_j) \ge \bar{\lambda}.$$

Take $c(x,y) = (x-y)^T \Lambda(x-y)$

 $\min_{\beta \in B} \sup_{P:D_c(P,P_n) \le \delta} E_P \left[\ell(Y_i, \beta^T X_i) \right]$

Comparison of test error performance between L1-regularized logistic regression and metric-learning DRO



Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

A flexible & attractive approach that allows

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

A flexible & attractive approach that allows

to recast useful machine learning algorithms exactly as specific instances of (OT-DRO)

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

A flexible & attractive approach that allows

to recast useful machine learning algorithms exactly as specific instances of (OT-DRO)

a statistically principled approach towards selecting the radius of ambiguity region

Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

A flexible & attractive approach that allows



Optimal mass transportation based DRO:

A flexible & attractive approach that allows



[Szegedy et al '15]







=

 \boldsymbol{x}

"panda" 57.7% confidence

 $\operatorname{sign}(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}J(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{x},y))$

"nematode" 8.2% confidence $x + \epsilon sign(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y))$ "gibbon" 99.3 % confidence

[Szegedy et al '15]







 \boldsymbol{x}

"panda" 57.7% confidence



"nematode" 8.2% confidence $x + \epsilon sign(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y))$ "gibbon" 99.3 % confidence





=

NN-WD, Pred:4, $\|\delta\|_2 = 1.7$ NN-DO, Pred:8, $\|\delta\|_2 = 1.7$

"With 4 parameters, I can fit an elephant, and with 5, I can make him wiggle his trunk"

-von Neumann







[Evtimov et al 2015]



[Evtimov et al 2015]





Some preprints

Quantifying distributional model risk via optimal transport J Blanchet and K Murthy 2016 - https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.01446

Robust Wasserstein Profile Inference and its applications to Machine learning J Blanchet, Y Kang and K Murthy 2016 - <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05627</u>

Data-driven optimal cost selection for Distributionally Robust Optimization J Blanchet, Y Kang, F Zhang and K Murthy 2017 - <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1705.07152.pdf</u>

Stochastic gradient descent for Optimal transport DRO J Blanchet, K Murthy and F Zhang (To be available soon)