On global in time solutions for two-fluid interfaces.

Diego Córdoba ICMat-CSIC (Madrid)

Birs, Calgary, Canada

August, 2018



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Two scenarios



Figure: Two fluids: internal waves

Muskat equation Global existence with arbitrarily large slope.
 with O. Lazar. arXiv 2018

Euler equations Stationary solutions with a splash singularity with A. Enciso and N. Grubic. To appear in arXiv The Muskat problem (Muskat (1934), Saffman & Taylor (1958))



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへ⊙

In this talk:

- Scenario in \mathbb{R}^2
- Finite energy
- No surface tension
- $\blacktriangleright \mu_1 = \mu_2$

We consider:

1. Open curves vanishing at infinity

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} (z(\alpha, t) - (\alpha, 0)) = 0,$$

2. Periodic curves in the space variable

$$z(\alpha + 2k\pi, t) = z(\alpha, t) + 2k\pi(1, 0).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

3. Closed curves \Rightarrow Unstable regime.

Incompressible porous media equation

Two-dimensional mass balance { equation in porous media (2D IPM)

$$\begin{array}{l} \rho_t + u \cdot \nabla \rho = 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{\kappa} u = -\nabla p - (0, g\rho) \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Incompressible porous media equation

Two-dimensional mass balance { equation in porous media (2D IPM) }

$$\begin{array}{l} \rho_t + u \cdot \nabla \rho = 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{\kappa} u = -\nabla p - (0, g\rho) \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \end{array}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Remark: let $\mu = \kappa = g = 1$

•
$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(-2\frac{y_1y_2}{|y|^4}, \frac{y_1^2 - y_2^2}{|y|^4}\right) \rho(x-y) dy - \frac{1}{2} \left(0, \rho(x)\right),$$

$$||\rho||_{L^p}(t) = ||\rho||_{L^p}(0) \quad p \in [1,\infty] \Longrightarrow ||u||_{L^p}(t) \le C \quad p \in (1,\infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla) \nabla^{\perp} \rho = (\nabla u) \nabla^{\perp} \rho.$$

We consider

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} \rho^1 & x \in \Omega^1(t) \\ \rho^2 & x \in \Omega^2(t) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\partial \Omega^{j}(t) = \{ z(\alpha, t) = (z_{1}(\alpha, t), z_{2}(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We consider

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} \rho^1 & x \in \Omega^1(t) \\ \rho^2 & x \in \Omega^2(t) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\partial \Omega^{j}(t) = \{ z(\alpha, t) = (z_{1}(\alpha, t), z_{2}(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Darcy's law:

$$u = -\nabla p - (0, \rho) \Rightarrow \nabla^{\perp} \cdot u = -\partial_{x_1} \rho.$$

$$\nabla^{\perp} \cdot u(x,t) = -(\rho^2 - \rho^1)\partial_{\alpha} z_2(\alpha,t)\delta(x - z(\alpha,t)).$$

We consider

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} \rho^1 & x \in \Omega^1(t) \\ \rho^2 & x \in \Omega^2(t) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\partial \Omega^{j}(t) = \{ z(\alpha, t) = (z_{1}(\alpha, t), z_{2}(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Darcy's law:

$$u = -\nabla p - (0, \rho) \Rightarrow \nabla^{\perp} \cdot u = -\partial_{x_1} \rho.$$

$$\nabla^{\perp} \cdot u(x,t) = -(\rho^2 - \rho^1)\partial_{\alpha} z_2(\alpha,t)\delta(x - z(\alpha,t)).$$

Biot-Savart:

$$u(x,t) = -\frac{\rho^2 - \rho^1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta, t))^{\perp}}{|x - z(\beta, t)|^2} \partial_{\alpha} z_2(\beta, t) d\beta,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

for $x \neq z(\alpha, t)$.

We consider

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} \rho^1 & x \in \Omega^1(t) \\ \rho^2 & x \in \Omega^2(t) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\partial \Omega^{j}(t) = \{ z(\alpha, t) = (z_{1}(\alpha, t), z_{2}(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Darcy's law:

$$u = -\nabla p - (0, \rho) \Rightarrow \nabla^{\perp} \cdot u = -\partial_{x_1} \rho.$$

$$\nabla^{\perp} \cdot u(x,t) = -(\rho^2 - \rho^1)\partial_{\alpha} z_2(\alpha,t)\delta(x - z(\alpha,t)).$$

Biot-Savart:

$$u(x,t) = -\frac{\rho^2 - \rho^1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(x - z(\beta, t))^{\perp}}{|x - z(\beta, t)|^2} \partial_{\alpha} z_2(\beta, t) d\beta,$$

for $x \neq z(\alpha, t)$.

 $\|u\|_{L^2}(t)<\infty.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

It yields

$$z_t(lpha) \,=\, rac{
ho^2 -
ho^1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{z_1(lpha) - z_1(eta)}{|z(lpha) - z(eta)|^2} (\partial_lpha z(lpha) - \partial_eta z(eta)) deta.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Contour equation as a graph

• The equation for a graph $z(\alpha, t) = (\alpha, f(\alpha, t))$.

$$\alpha_t = \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\partial_\alpha \alpha - \partial_\beta \beta)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + (f(\alpha) - f(\beta))^2} d\beta$$

(0 = 0)

$$f_t(\alpha) = \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\partial_{\alpha} f(\alpha) - \partial_{\beta} f(\beta))}{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + (f(\alpha) - f(\beta))^2} d\beta$$

with initial data

$$z_1(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$$

$$z_2(\alpha, 0) = f(\alpha, 0) = f_0(\alpha).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The linearized equation

$$f_t^L(\alpha,t) = -rac{
ho^2 -
ho^1}{2} \Lambda(f^L)(\alpha,t), \qquad \Lambda = (-\Delta)^{1/2}.$$

Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{f^L}(\xi,t) = \widehat{f_0}(\xi,t) \exp\Big(-\frac{\rho^2 - \rho^1}{2}|\xi|t\Big).$$

ρ² > ρ¹ stable case,
 ρ² < ρ¹ unstable case.

Local existence theory

For a general interface

$$\partial \Omega^{j}(t) = \{ \mathbf{z}(\alpha, t) = (z_{1}(\alpha, t), z_{2}(\alpha, t)), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

after taking k derivatives $(k \ge 3)$ it can be shown that

$$\partial_t \partial_{\alpha}^k \mathbf{z}(\alpha, t) = -\underbrace{(\rho^2 - \rho^1) \frac{\partial_{\alpha} z_1(\alpha, t)}{|\partial_{\alpha} \mathbf{z}(\alpha, t)|^2}}_{\sigma(\alpha, t) \equiv R - T} \Lambda \partial_{\alpha}^k \mathbf{z}(\alpha, t) + \text{l.o.t.}$$

Thus we can distinguish three regimes:

- Stable regime: σ > 0 ⇒ the denser fluid is always below. The Muskat problem is locally well-posed in time in Sobolev's spaces.
- Fully unstable regime: σ < 0 ⇒ the denser fluid is always above. The Muskat problem is ill-posed in Sobolev's spaces.
- Partial unstable regime: σ has not a defined sign ⇒ there is a part of the interface where the denser fluid is above.

Energy estimates for the stable regime $\rho^2 > \rho^1$

For k = 3:

$$\frac{d}{dt}||f||_{H^3}^2 = -\int \sigma(\alpha)\partial_{\alpha}^3 f(\alpha)\Lambda\partial_{\alpha}^3 f(\alpha)d\alpha + \text{Controlled Quantities}$$

Then, since $\sigma > 0$, yields

$$-\int \sigma(\alpha)\partial_{\alpha}^{3}f(\alpha)\Lambda\partial_{\alpha}^{3}f(\alpha) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\int \sigma(\alpha)\Lambda\left(\partial_{\alpha}^{3}f(\alpha)\right)^{2}d\alpha$$
$$\leq -\frac{1}{2}\int\Lambda\sigma(\alpha)\left(\partial_{\alpha}^{3}f(\alpha)\right)^{2}$$

Finally we obtain

 $\frac{d}{dt}||f||_{H^3}^2 \le C||f||_{H^3}^m$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Local existence results in the stable regime

- D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Local existence in H³ (and ill-posedness for ρ² < ρ¹).
- A. Cheng, R. Granero and S. Shkoller (2016). Local existence in H^2 .
- P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, R. Shvydkoy and V. Vicol (2017). Local existence in W^{2,p} for p>1.

▶ B-V. Matioc (arxiv). Local existence in $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

•
$$\int f(\alpha,t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

•
$$\int f(\alpha, t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

• Maximum principle for the L^2 -norm

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^{2}\right) d\alpha d\beta = ||f_{0}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$

•
$$\int f(\alpha, t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

• Maximum principle for the L^2 -norm

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2\right) d\alpha d\beta = ||f_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

Compare with the linear case

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + \int_{0}^{T} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \right)^{2} d\alpha d\beta}_{= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \Lambda f(x) dx = ||\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\cdot,t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}} dt = ||f_{0}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$

•
$$\int f(\alpha, t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

• Maximum principle for the L^2 -norm

$$||f(\cdot,t)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2\right) d\alpha d\beta = ||f_0||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

Compare with the linear case

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} + \int_{0}^{T} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} \right)^{2} d\alpha d\beta}_{= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \Lambda f(x) dx = ||\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\cdot,t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}} dt = ||f_{0}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\log\left(1+\left(\frac{f(\alpha)-f(\beta)}{\alpha-\beta}\right)^2\right)d\alpha d\beta \leq C||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^1}$$

•
$$\int f(\alpha, t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

• Maximum principle for the L^2 -norm

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2\right) d\alpha d\beta = ||f_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

• Maximum principle: $||f||_{L^{\infty}}(t) \leq ||f||_{L^{\infty}}(0)$.

Periodic case:

$$\|f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_0 d\alpha \|_{L^{\infty}}(t) \le \|f_0 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_0 d\alpha \|_{L^{\infty}} e^{-Ct}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Flat at infinity: $||f||_{L^{\infty}}(t) \leq \frac{||f_0||_{L^{\infty}}}{1+Ct}$.

•
$$\int f(\alpha, t) d\alpha = \int f_0(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

• Maximum principle for the L^2 -norm

$$||f(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \left(\frac{f(\alpha) - f(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta}\right)^2\right) d\alpha d\beta = ||f_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$$

• Maximum principle: $||f||_{L^{\infty}}(t) \leq ||f||_{L^{\infty}}(0)$.

Periodic case:

$$\|f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_0 d\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}}(t) \le \|f_0 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_0 d\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}} e^{-Ct}.$$

Flat at infinity: $||f||_{L^{\infty}}(t) \leq \frac{||f_0||_{L^{\infty}}}{1+Ct}$.

Maximum principle: If $||f_{\alpha}||_{L^{\infty}}(0) < 1$ then $||f_{\alpha}||_{L^{\infty}}(t) \leq ||f_{\alpha}||_{L^{\infty}}(0)$.

 D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.

 D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo and R. Strain (2013). Global Lispschitz solutions if ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} < 1.</p>

- D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.
- ▶ D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo and R. Strain (2013). Global Lispschitz solutions if ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} < 1.</p>
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, L. Piazza and R. Strain (2016). Global existence in H³ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{f}_0(\xi)| d\xi < \frac{1}{3} \qquad (\Rightarrow ||\partial_\alpha f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < 1)$$

- D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo and R. Strain (2013). Global Lispschitz solutions if ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} < 1.</p>
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, L. Piazza and R. Strain (2016). Global existence in H³ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{f}_0(\xi)| d\xi < \frac{1}{3} \qquad (\Rightarrow ||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < 1)$$

P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, R. Shvydkoy and V. Vicol (2017). (1) Criterion for blow-up with ||∂_αf||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ C. (2) Global existence in W^{2,p} with initial data f₀ ∈ W^{2,p} and ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ ε.

- D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo and R. Strain (2013). Global Lispschitz solutions if ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} < 1.</p>
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, L. Piazza and R. Strain (2016). Global existence in H³ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{f}_0(\xi)| d\xi < \frac{1}{3} \qquad (\Rightarrow ||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < 1)$$

- P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, R. Shvydkoy and V. Vicol (2017). (1) Criterion for blow-up with ||∂_αf||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ C. (2) Global existence in W^{2,p} with initial data f₀ ∈ W^{2,p} and ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ ε.
- ▶ B-V. Matioc (arxiv2016). Global existence in $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$ with small initial data in $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$.

- D.C. and F. Gancedo (2007). Global existence and gain of analyticity from a perturbation of flat interface.
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo and R. Strain (2013). Global Lispschitz solutions if ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} < 1.</p>
- D. C., P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, L. Piazza and R. Strain (2016). Global existence in H³ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi| |\hat{f}_0(\xi)| d\xi < \frac{1}{3} \qquad (\Rightarrow ||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < 1)$$

- P. Constantin, F. Gancedo, R. Shvydkoy and V. Vicol (2017). (1) Criterion for blow-up with ||∂_αf||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ C. (2) Global existence in W^{2,p} with initial data f₀ ∈ W^{2,p} and ||∂_αf₀||_{L[∞](ℝ)} ≤ ε.
- ▶ B-V. Matioc (arxiv2016). Global existence in $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$ with small initial data in $H^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$.

S. Cameron (arxiv2017). Global classical solutions if $||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < 1$.

What happens if $||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} > 1$ (with finite energy)?

 Numerical simulations of Turning (i.e. shift of stability) by Maria López-Fernández



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

What happens if $||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} > 1$ (with finite energy)?

 Numerical simulations of Turning (i.e. shift of stability) by Maria López-Fernández



► Theorem (2012): $\exists f_0 \in H^4$ and a T^* st $lim_{t \to T^*} ||\partial_{\alpha} f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$ (joint work with A. Castro, C. Fefferman, F. Gancedo and M. López-Fernandez).

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

What happens if $||\partial_{\alpha} f_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} > 1$ (with finite energy)?

 Numerical simulations of Turning (i.e. shift of stability) by Maria López-Fernández



- ► Theorem (2012): $\exists f_0 \in H^4$ and a T^* st $lim_{t \to T^*} ||\partial_{\alpha}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} = \infty$ (joint work with A. Castro, C. Fefferman, F. Gancedo and M. López-Fernandez).
- Numerical evidence of turning with $||\partial_{\alpha}f_0||_{L^{\infty}} = 22$ by J. Gómez-Serrano. Is there a turning for $||\partial_{\alpha}f_0||_{L^{\infty}} = 1 + \epsilon$?

What happens after Turning?

In the stable regime a solution of Muskat becomes immediately real-analytic and then passes to the unstable regime in finite time. Moreover, the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem shows that a real-analytic Muskat solution continues to exist for a short time after the turnover.

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

What happens after Turning?

- In the stable regime a solution of Muskat becomes immediately real-analytic and then passes to the unstable regime in finite time. Moreover, the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem shows that a real-analytic Muskat solution continues to exist for a short time after the turnover.
- Breakdown of smoothness: There exist interfaces of the Muskat problem such that after turnover their smoothness breaks down (is not C^4). Joint work with A. Castro, C. Fefferman and F. Gancedo.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

What happens after Turning?

- In the stable regime a solution of Muskat becomes immediately real-analytic and then passes to the unstable regime in finite time. Moreover, the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem shows that a real-analytic Muskat solution continues to exist for a short time after the turnover.
- Breakdown of smoothness: There exist interfaces of the Muskat problem such that after turnover their smoothness breaks down (is not C⁴). Joint work with A. Castro, C. Fefferman and F. Gancedo.
- Double shift of stability: Turning stable-unstable-stable (also unstable-stable-unstable). Joint work with J. Gómez-Serrano and A. Zlatos.

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

F. Deng, Z. Lei and F.Lin (2017). Global existence for arbitrarily large monotonic initial data (Not in L²).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- ▶ F. Deng, Z. Lei and F.Lin (2017). Global existence for arbitrarily large monotonic initial data (Not in *L*²).
- S. Cameron (2018 updated version of the arxives): Global existence for

 $(sup_x f_0(x))(sup_y - f_0(y)) < 1$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- ▶ F. Deng, Z. Lei and F.Lin (2017). Global existence for arbitrarily large monotonic initial data (Not in *L*²).
- S. Cameron (2018 updated version of the arxives): Global existence for

 $(sup_x f_0(x))(sup_y - f_0(y)) < 1$

Theorem

Assume $f_0 \in H^{5/2}$ with $||f_0||_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}$ small enough, then, there exists a unique strong solution f which verifies $f \in L^{\infty}([0,T], H^{3/2}) \cap L^2([0,T], \dot{H}^{5/2})$, for all T > 0. Joint work with O. Lazar.

Main steps of the proof:

The proof is based on the use of a new formulation of the Muskat equation that involves oscillatory terms as well as a careful use of Besov space techniques.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

$$f_t(t,x) = \frac{\rho}{\pi} P.V. \int \partial_x \Delta_\alpha f \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta} \cos(\delta \Delta_\alpha f) \, d\delta \, d\alpha$$
$$f(0,x) = f_0(x).$$
where $\Delta_\alpha f \equiv \frac{f(x,t) - f(x-\alpha,t)}{\alpha}.$

• A priori estimates in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^2 = \int \mathcal{H}f_{xx} \int \partial_{xx} \Delta_{\alpha} f \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta} \cos(\delta \Delta_{\alpha} f(x)) \, d\delta \, d\alpha \, dx - \int \mathcal{H}f_{xx} \int (\partial_x \Delta_{\alpha} f)^2 \int_0^\infty \delta e^{-\delta} \sin(\delta \Delta_{\alpha} f(x)) \, d\delta \, d\alpha \, dx = I_1 + I_2$$

We can estimate

$$|I_2| \le ||f||_{\dot{H}^2}^2 ||f||_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}$$

and the most singular term is I_1

$$|I_1| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2}^2 (\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}) - \pi \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \pi \frac{K^2}{1 + K^2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

where $K = \|f_x\|_{L^{\infty}L^{\infty}}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• A priori estimates in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^2 = \int \mathcal{H}f_{xx} \int \partial_{xx} \Delta_{\alpha} f \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta} \cos(\delta \Delta_{\alpha} f(x)) \, d\delta \, d\alpha \, dx - \int \mathcal{H}f_{xx} \int (\partial_x \Delta_{\alpha} f)^2 \int_0^\infty \delta e^{-\delta} \sin(\delta \Delta_{\alpha} f(x)) \, d\delta \, d\alpha \, dx = I_1 + I_2$$

We can estimate

$$|I_2| \le ||f||_{\dot{H}^2}^2 ||f||_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}$$

and the most singular term is I_1

$$|I_1| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2}^2 (\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^2 + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}) - \pi \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \pi \frac{K^2}{1+K^2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2$$

where $K = \|f_x\|_{L^{\infty}L^{\infty}}$.
Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_{t}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^{2} + \frac{\pi}{1+K^{2}}\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{2}}^{2} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{2}}^{2}\left(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}^{2} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}\right)$$

Similar a priori estimates in $\dot{H}^{5/2}$:

Lemma

Let T > 0 and $f_0 \in \dot{H}^{5/2} \cap \dot{H}^{3/2}$ so that $\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}} < C(\|f_{0,x}\|_{L^{\infty}})$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}}^2(T) &+ \frac{\pi}{1+M^2} \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{H}^3}^2 \, ds \\ &\lesssim \|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^{5/2}} + \left(\|f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T],\dot{H}^{3/2})} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T],\dot{H}^{3/2})}^2\right) \int_0^T \|f\|_{\dot{H}^3}^2 \, ds \end{split}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where M is the space-time Lipschitz norm of f.

Two fluids. Euler equations



In each domain, the fluid flow is governed by the incompressible, irrotational Euler equations; that is, the respective velocities u^{j} and the corresponding pressures p^{j} satisfy

$$\rho_j(\partial_t u^j + u^j \cdot \nabla) u^j = -\nabla p^j - g\rho_j e_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_j, \tag{1a}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u^j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^\perp u^j = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_j,$$
 (1b)

$$p^1 - p^2 = -\sigma K$$
 on $\partial \Omega$ (1c)

$$(\partial_t z - u^j) \cdot (\partial_\alpha z)^\perp = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$
 (1d)

where $\partial \Omega(t) = \{z(\alpha, t) = (z_1(\alpha, t), z_2(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Free boundary problem: one fluid



Figure: Turnover and a Splash singularity.

A. Castro, D.C., C.Fefferman, F. Gancedo and J. Gómez-Serrano (2011)

Main ideas of the proof of the Splash

- ▶ The water wave equations are invariant under time reversal.
- We can choose initially the normal component of the velocity on the interface.
- Solving the equations backwards in time (prove local existence).



$$P(w) = \left(\tan\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}, \quad w \in \mathbb{C},$$

Further Results

Splat



At time t_2 , the interface self-intersects along an arc, but u and $\partial \Omega$ are otherwise smooth.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- Surface tension
- Non trivial vorticity by D. Coutand and S. Shkoller (2012)
- Viscosity (see also D. Coutand and S. Shkoller)

Squeezing a fluid



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Can we squeeze an incompressible fluid?

Two fluids

Two incompressible fluids with nonzero densities cannot form a splash. Ch. Fefferman, A. Ionescu, V. Lie (see also D. Coutand and S. Shkoller)

Sketch of Proof: Consider the vorticity $\nabla \times u(x,t) = \omega(\alpha,t)\delta(x-z(\alpha,t))$.

► If

$$|\partial_{\alpha}^{k} z(\alpha, t)| \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)$$

and

$$max\{|\partial_x^\beta u^I(x,t)|: x \in \Omega^I(t), |\beta| \le 3$$

remain bounded as $t \to T_*$, then $|\omega(\alpha, t)|$ remains bounded as $t \to T_*$, because ω satisfies a variant of Burgers equation.

If |ω(α, t)| remains bounded as t → T_{*}, then, because the interface moves with the fluid, the function F(t) = 1/C_{CA(t)} satisfies

$$\left|\frac{dF}{dt}\right| \leq Const.|F|ln(|F|+2)$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Hence, F(t) remains bounded as $t \to T_*$, so the splash cannot form.

Stationary Splash solutions with two fluids

► Theorem: Let us fix the density of the second fluid $\rho_2 > 0$. Then for any sufficiently small upper fluid density $\rho_1 > 0$ and g > 0, there is some positive surface tension coefficient for which there exists a stationary solution two-fluid Euler equations such that the interface $\partial\Omega$ has a Splash singularity. The regularity of $\partial\Omega$ and ω is $C^{2,\alpha}$ and C^{α} with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.



Figure: Two fluids: internal waves

The idea of the proof is to perturb a family of exact stationary water waves introduced by Crapper (1957). Joint work with A. Enciso and N. Grubic.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stationary solutions

In each domain, the fluid flow is governed by the stationary, incompressible, irrotational Euler equations; that is, the respective velocities v^{j} and the corresponding pressures p^{j} satisfy

$$\rho_j(v^j \cdot \nabla)v^j = -\nabla p^j - g\rho_j e_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_j, \tag{2a}$$

$$\nabla \cdot v^j = 0$$
 and $\nabla^{\perp} v^j = 0$ in Ω_j , (2b)

$$v^{j} \cdot (\partial_{\alpha} z)^{\perp} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,$$
 (2c)

$$p^1 - p^2 = -\sigma K$$
 on $\partial \Omega$. (2d)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

We assume that the interface satisfies periodicity conditions

$$z_1(\alpha + 2\pi) = z_1(\alpha) + 2\pi, \quad z_2(\alpha + 2\pi) = z_2(\alpha)$$

and is symmetric with respect to the y-axis:

$$z_1(-\alpha) = -z_1(\alpha), \quad z_2(-\alpha) = z_2(\alpha).$$

Stationary solutions

To fix the parametrization, we use the hodograph transform with respect to the lower fluid. Then, as song as there is no self-intersections: A stationary solution of the two-fluid system is reduced to finding 2π -periodic functions $\omega(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha) - (\alpha, 0)$ satisfying

$$2|\partial_{\alpha}z|^2 M(z) + \epsilon \,\omega(\omega - 2) = 2, \tag{3a}$$

$$2BR(z,\omega) \cdot \partial_{\alpha} z + \omega = 2, \qquad (3b)$$

$$BR(z,\omega)\cdot\partial_{\alpha}^{\perp}z=0, \qquad (3c)$$

where BR and M are given by

$$BR(z,\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha,t) - z(\beta,t))^{\perp}}{|z(\alpha,t) - z(\beta,t)|^2} \omega(\alpha,t) d\alpha$$
$$M(z) = -\frac{2\rho_2}{\rho_2 - \rho_1} qK(z) - 2gz_2 + 1,$$

 $q := \frac{\sigma}{\rho_2}, \epsilon := \frac{2\rho_1}{\rho_2 - \rho_1}, K(z)$ is the curvature of the interface.

Stationary solutions when $\epsilon = 0$ and g = 0

- The system decouples and we recover the pure capillary waves (Levi-Civita 1925).
- This problem admits a family of exact solutions depending on the parameter q. In fact, Crapper has shown that the family of functions

$$z_A(\alpha) = \alpha + \frac{4i}{1 + Ae^{-i\alpha}} - 4i.$$

are solutions. Parameter A depends on q via

$$q = \frac{1 + A^2}{1 - A^2}.$$

and it actually suffices to consider $A \ge 0$, since the transformation $A \mapsto -A$ corresponds to a translation $\alpha \to \alpha + \pi$.

• We solve for ω by inverting

$$2BR(z_A,\omega)\cdot\partial_{\alpha}z_A+\omega=2$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stationary solutions



Figure: Interface at different values of the parameter A.

For $A = A_0$, the curve $z_A(\alpha)$ exhibits a splash, while for A slightly larger than A_0 the curve intersects at exactly two points, and the intersection is transverse.

Invert the operator $\omega + 2BR(z, \omega) \cdot \partial_{\alpha} z$

G. Baker, D. Meiron and S. Orszag (1982): Let z ∈ H³ and assume z is a curve without self-intersections. Then A(z)(ω) = 2BR(z,ω) · ∂_αz defines a compact linear operator

$$\mathcal{A}(z): H^1 o H^1$$

whose adjoint T^* , acting on ω , is described in terms of the Cauchy integral of ω along the curve *z* whose eigenvalues are strictly smaller than 1 in absolute value. In particular, the operator $1 + \mathcal{A}(z)$ is invertible.

► A. Cordoba, D.C. and F. Gancedo (2010): Control of the norm of the inverse operators $(I - \eta A(z))^{-1}$, $|\eta| \leq 1$ in terms of the chord-arc condition and the regularity of *z*. The arguments rely upon the boundedness properties of the Hilbert transforms associated to $C^{1,\alpha}$ curves, for which we need precise estimates obtained with arguments involving conformal mappings, Hopf maximum principle and Harnack inequalities.

Results

By the Implicit function Theorem

- There are almost-splash stationary solutions to the Euler equations with two fluids.
- ▶ The existence of stationary splash singularities for one fluid.



Figure: At times t=0 and t=T>0.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In the case of two fluids



- When the Chord-arc fails there is difficulties to invert the operator within the framework of the usual Sobolev spaces.
- V. Maz'ya developed techniques to treat the case of cusp domains within the class of weighted spaces depending on the order of the cusp μ where the interface approaches the splash point (cusp tip x = 0) as (x, x^{1+μ}) with μ > 0.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

In the case of two fluids

Let

$$w_{\beta}(x) := |x|^{\beta}$$

be the weight function for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and *x* in some interval $I \in \mathbb{R}$ containing the origin. Then for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u \in W_{p,\beta}^k : \iff w_{\beta+j}(x)\partial_x^j u \in L^p, j \le k.$$

We adapted Maz'ya technique to show that 1 + A(z) actually has values in a smaller Banach space; i.e. we show

▶
$$1 + \mathcal{A}(z) : W^1_{p,\beta} \to X_{\beta,\mu}$$
 continuous on a closed subspace $X_{\beta,\mu} \subset W^1_{p,\beta}$,

▶ $1 + \mathcal{A}(z) : W^1_{p,\beta} \to X_{\beta,\mu}$ invertible by using conformal maps.

Finally, after adjusting the Banach space for *z*, we show that we can use the implicit function theorem on the perturbed equations defined on these new weighted Sobolev spaces.

Motivation: work in progress



Figure: At times t=0 and t=T>0.

- Goal: to prove local existence starting from a Splash
- Obtain a priori estimates for a carefully chosen energy functional within the weighted Sobolev spaces.
- Choose an initial data that opens the Splash.

Joint work with A. Enciso, C. Fefferman and N. Grubic.

THANK YOU

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●