Painlevé equations from Nakajima-Yoshioka blow-up relations

Anton Shchechkin HSE & Skoltech

based on work in progress with Mikhail Bershtein

2 September 2018

l

Object of our interest: Painlevé III_3 equation, its *q*-deformation and their solutions.

- Toda-like form of these equations is a two bilinear equations on two functions: τ and τ₁. It is symmetric under τ ↔ τ₁.
- For the Painlevé III₃

$$D^{2}_{[\log z]}(\tau,\tau) = -2z^{1/2}\tau_{1}^{2}$$

$$D^{2}_{[\log z]}(\tau_{1},\tau_{1}) = -2z^{1/2}\tau^{2}$$
(1)

where second Hirota differential $D^2_{[\log z]}(\tau, \tau) = 2\tau''\tau - \tau'^2$, $f' = z \frac{df}{dz}$ • *q*-deformation

$$\overline{\tau}_{\underline{\tau}} = \tau^2 - z^{1/2} \tau_1^2 \overline{\tau_1} \underline{\tau_1} = \tau_1^2 - z^{1/2} \tau^2$$
(2)

where $\overline{\tau(z)} = \tau(qz), \underline{\tau(z)} = \tau(q^{-1}z).$

Gamayun-lorgov-Lisovyy in 2012-2013 proposed power series representation for the τ function of the (continuous) Painlevé equations. τ function — Fourier transformation of Nekrasov functions

$$\tau_j(\sigma, s|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + j/2} s^n \mathcal{Z}(\sigma + n|z)$$
(3)

- $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma|z)$ is a certain Nekrasov function which depends on the Painlevé equation we take. It is a power series of z depending on vacuum expectation value σ and possibly other parameters.
- s and σ play role of the integration constants of the Painlevé equation.

• We take
$$j = 0$$
 for τ and $j = 1$ for τ_1 .

• We have some bilinear equation on some au function, schematically

$$\langle \tau, \tau \rangle = 0$$
 (4)

- We take the Gamayun-Iorgov-Lisovyy formula as the ansatz with some function $\mathcal{Z}(\sigma|z)$.
- We collect terms with the power s^m . Due to the structure of the ansatz, the relations with s^m is equivalent to the relations with s^{m-1}

$$\langle \tau, \tau \rangle = 0, \quad \tau = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^n \mathcal{Z}(\sigma + n | z) \Leftrightarrow \langle \tau, \tau \rangle|_{s^m} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle \tau, \tau \rangle|_{s^0} = 0$$
 (5)

 s⁰ relations is some relation on Z(σ|z). In our cases Z(σ|z) is some Nekrasov function or via the AGT some conformal block.

Instanton partition functions

• Nekrasov instanton partition function for pure gauge U(r) YM is defined as the equivariant volume of the instanton moduli space

$$\mathcal{Z}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \overrightarrow{a}; z) = \sum_{N=0}^{+\infty} z^N \int_{\mathcal{M}(r,n)} 1$$
(6)

- This integral localizes on the fixed points of action of the *r* + 2-dimensional torus. Fixed points are labeled by *r*-tuple of the Young diagrams.
- Example for the 4D SU(2) case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{inst}(a_{1}, a_{2}; \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2} | z) &= \sum_{\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}} \frac{z^{|\lambda^{(1)}| + |\lambda^{(2)}|}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{2} \mathsf{N}_{\lambda^{(i)}, \lambda^{(j)}}(a_{i} - a_{j}; \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2})}, \\ \mathsf{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(a; \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}) &= \\ &= \prod_{s \in \lambda} (a - \epsilon_{2}(a_{\mu}(s) + 1) + \epsilon_{1}l_{\lambda}(s)) \prod_{s \in \mu} (a + \epsilon_{2}a_{\lambda}(s) - \epsilon_{1}(l_{\mu}(s) + 1)) \end{aligned}$$
(7)

Structure of the Nekrasov function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{cl} \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop} \mathcal{Z}_{inst},\tag{8}$$

- Z_{inst} is given by the Nekrasov formula. Case 5D differs from the case 4D in the Nekrasov formula by $a \mapsto 1 q^a$.
- Classical part $\mathcal{Z}_{cl} = z^{\Delta(\sigma)}$.
- \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop} is given by the double gamma functions with periods $\epsilon_{1,2}$ but when $\epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ it is expressed in G function (or its *q*-deformation by *q*-Pochhammers in 5D case)

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1-loop,\epsilon_1=-\epsilon_2} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{G}(1-2\sigma)\mathsf{G}(1+2\sigma)}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop,\epsilon_1=-2\epsilon_2} = \mathcal{Z}_{1-loop,\epsilon_1=-\epsilon_2}^{1/2}$$
(9)

Different choice of \mathcal{Z} :

- 4D SU(2) Nekrasov function with ε₁ + ε₂ = 0 (or Vir c = 1 conformal block) give the solution of continuous Painlevé equations PVI, V, III's (Gamayun-lorgov-Lisovyy, 2012-2013) proved by different methods.
- 5D SU(2) Nekrasov function with ε₁ + ε₂ = 0 q-deformed Painlevé III₃ equation (Bershtein-S.,2016) and q-deformed Painlevé VI equation (Jimbo-Nagoya-Sakai, 2017)
- Other choices lead to generalization for the isomonodromic problems of higher rank, larger number of punctures, generalization of Toda-like equations with larger number of nodes, quantization of the *τ* function.... (Bershtein, Gavrylenko, Marshakov, lorgov, Lisovyy 2015-2018)

In case of q-deformed Painlevé $\rm III_3$ we use conjectural bilinear relation on 5D Nekrasov functions

$$\sum_{2n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(\sigma+n|q^{-1}z)\mathcal{Z}(\sigma-n|qz) = (1-z^{1/2})\sum_{2n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(\sigma+n|z), \mathcal{Z}(\sigma-n|z)$$
(10)

One of the aims of this talk is to present the proof of this relation which before was checked numerically up to the power z^{12} . The continuous limit $z \mapsto R^4 z, q = e^R, R \to 0$ give us

$$\sum_{2n\in\mathbb{Z}} D^2(\mathcal{Z}(\sigma+n|z),\mathcal{Z}(\sigma-n|z)) = -z^{1/2} \sum_{2n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}(\sigma+n|z),\mathcal{Z}(\sigma-n|z)$$
(11)

We have proved these relations by the representation theory of Super Virasoro algebra when we proved the result of Gamayun-Iorgov-Lisovyy for the continuous Painlevé equation.

There are blow-up relations on 4D and 5D partition functions [Nakajima, Yoshioka 2003,2005]. They express instanton partition function on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^2} - \mathbb{C}^2$ blowed up in the point as a bilinear relation on \mathbb{C}^2 instanton partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}^2}}(a|\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2|z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(a+\epsilon_1 n|\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1|z) \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(a+\epsilon_2 n|\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2,\epsilon_2|z) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}^2}}(a|\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2|z) = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(a|\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2|z)$$
(13)

There are also differential (for 4D) and q-difference (for 5D) Nakajima-Yoshioka relations.

Take particular case $\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2=0$ in Nakajima-Yoshioka relations . Then in CFT terms c=1 partition function is a bilinear combination of c=-2

$$\mathcal{Z}_{c=1}(\sigma|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Z}_{c=-2}(\sigma + n|z/4) \mathcal{Z}_{c=-2}(\sigma - n|z/4)$$
(14)

Then it is natural to make Fourier transformation

$$\tau(\sigma, s|z) = \tau^{-}(\sigma, s|z)\tau^{+}(\sigma, s|z)$$
(15)

where

$$\tau^{\pm}(\sigma, s|z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} s^{n/2} (\pm i)^{n^2} \mathcal{Z}_{c=-2}(\sigma + n|z/4)$$
(16)

Differential Nakajima-Yoshioka relations

$$D^{1}_{[\log z]}(\tau^{-},\tau^{+}) = z^{1/4}\tau_{1}, \qquad D^{2}_{[\log z]}(\tau^{-},\tau^{+}) = 0$$

$$D^{3}_{[\log z]}(\tau^{-},\tau^{+}) = z^{1/4}\tau'_{1}, \qquad D^{4}_{[\log z]}(\tau^{-},\tau^{+}) = -2z\tau$$
(17)

The $PIII_3$ equation follow from these equations. Namely, first and second equations imply that

$$z\frac{d}{dz}\tau^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta \pm \zeta')\tau^{\pm}$$
(18)

where $\zeta = \tau'/\tau$ is a Hamiltonian of the PIII₃ equation. From the fourth equation

$$(\zeta'' - \zeta')^2 = 4\zeta'^2(\zeta - \zeta') - 4z\zeta'$$
(19)

which is Hamiltonian form of PIII₃ equation.

q-difference Nakajima-Yoshioka relations

$$\overline{\tau^+ \underline{\tau}^-} - \underline{\tau^+ \overline{\tau^-}} = -2z^{1/4}\tau_1,$$

$$\overline{\tau^+ \underline{\tau}^-} + \underline{\tau^+ \overline{\tau^-}} = 2\tau$$
(20)

Proposition

Take (20) and $\tau = \tau^+ \tau^-$. Then τ and τ_1 satisfy Toda-like equation

$$\overline{\tau}\underline{\tau} = \tau^2 - z^{1/2}\tau_1^2 \tag{21}$$

Proof.

Proof is extremely elementary. We substitute au_1 and au in different ways

$$\overline{\tau^+\tau^-}\underline{\tau^+\tau^-} = \frac{1}{4}(\overline{\tau^+}\underline{\tau^-} + \underline{\tau^+}\overline{\tau^-})^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\overline{\tau^+}\underline{\tau^-} - \underline{\tau^+}\overline{\tau^-})^2$$
(22)

- Nakajima-Yoshioka relations are proven, so we obtain the proof of the conjectured bilinear relation (10) in *q*-case automatically.
- There is, of course, differential analogue of this Proposition.

- Riemann-Hilbert problem: [lorgov, Lisovyy, Teschner, 2014] give naturaly relate $\tau_{c=1}$ to the Riemann-Hilbert problem. Their arguments works for the case $b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, in particular for c = -2.
- Central charge of symplectic fermions is c = -2. We expect that $\tau_{c=-2}$ is a conformal block for the symplectic fermions.
- Classical conformal block $c = \infty$ is related to the Painlevé equations [Litvinov, Lukyanov, Nekrasov, Zamolodchikov, 2013]. There is a relation between $\tau_{c=-2}$ and classical conformal blocks.
- For special resonance values of parameters $\tau_{c=1}$ is expressed by the determinant of hypergeometric functions [Morozov, Mironov, 2017]. Similarly $\tau_{c=-2}$ is a Pfaffian.

[Bonelli-Grassi-Tanzini, 2017]:

$$\tau(\kappa,\hbar,\xi) = Z_{CS}(\hbar,\xi) \det(1+\kappa\rho_{\mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1}),$$
(23)

where ρ is inverse operator to the Hamiltonian of the relativistic Toda chain

$$\rho_{\mathbb{P}^{1}\times\mathbb{P}^{1}} = (e^{\rho} + e^{-\rho} + e^{x} + m_{\mathbb{P}^{1}\times\mathbb{P}^{1}}e^{-x})^{-1}$$
(24)

This determinant is the grand canonical partition function for topological strings on local $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Spectral determinant for the special value of z is a generating function for the ABJ partition functions.

$$\overline{\tau^+}\underline{\tau^-} + \underline{\tau^+}\overline{\tau^-} = \tau = \tau^+\tau^- \tag{25}$$

are "quantum Wronskian" relations in ABJ theory [Grassi-Hatsuda-Marino, 2014]

q-deformed $c = -2 \tau$ function admits cluster structure as the $c = 1 \tau$ function does [Bershtein, Gavrylenko, Marshakov, 2017]

$$\overline{\tau_0^+} = \frac{\tau_0^+ \tau_0^- - z^{1/4} \tau_1^+ \tau_1^-}{\frac{\tau_0^-}{2}}$$

$$\overline{\tau_0^-} = \frac{\tau_0^+ \tau_0^- + z^{1/4} \tau_1^+ \tau_1^-}{\frac{\tau_0^+}{2}}$$
(26)

The quiver is surprisingly just the same as for the q-Painlevé VI equation.

$c = -2 \ au$ function: Chern-Simons terms generalization

- In the work Bershtein, Gavrylenko, Marshakov, 2018 the generalizations of Toda-like equations were considered. The generalizations were in two directions – the number of nodes bigger than 2 and Toda-like equations corresponding to the Nekrasov functions modified by the Chern-Simons term.
- We at the moment failed to obtain Toda-like equations from Nakajima-Yoshioka relations for the number of nodes larger then 2 but for the case of 2 nodes we have obtained Chern-Simons generalization.
- Each summand of instanton partition function obtain a multiplier in power k

$$\mathsf{T}_{\lambda} = \prod_{(i,j)\in\lambda} u^{-1} q_1^{1-i} q_2^{1-j} \tag{27}$$

- The level of additional Chern-Simons is 0 ≤ k ≤ r only in this case instanton partition function converge.
- k = 2 is equivalent to the k = 0 and k = 1 correspond to the Painlevé A⁽¹⁾₇ equation.

As it is for c=1 au function there exist limit $\sigma \to 0$ and "algebraic" solution for the $\sigma=1/4, s=\pm 1$

• It is known that $au(1/4,\pm 1|z)=z^{1/16}e^{\mp\sqrt{z}}.$ One can find that

$$\tau^{+} = e^{2iz^{1/4}} z^{1/32} e^{2\sqrt{z}}, \quad \tau^{-} = e^{2iz^{1/4}} z^{1/32} e^{2\sqrt{z}}$$
(28)

• Logarithmic limit for c = 1 is $s = e^{2\Omega\sigma}, \sigma \to 0$. It also could be applied for the $c = -2 \tau$ function

Thank you for the attention!

- We have graded Lie algebra (for example, Virasoro algebra) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$.
- We take highest weight vector $|v_{\lambda}\rangle$, s.t. $U(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ act on it by zero and $U(\mathfrak{h})$ act as on eigenvector.
- Verma module $U(\mathfrak{n})^- |v_\lambda
 angle$
- Whittaker vector in the Verma module

$$|W(z)\rangle = \sum_{N=0}^{+\infty} z^{N} |N\rangle, \quad deg(|N\rangle) = N$$
 (29)

s.t.

$$g|W(z)\rangle = \beta_g z^{deg(g)}|W(z)\rangle$$
(30)

Conformal block

$$\mathcal{Z}(z) = \langle W(1) | W(z) \rangle \tag{31}$$

Nakajima-Yoshioka blow-up relations on 4D Nekrasov functions have representation-theoretic interpretation (Bershtein, Feigin, Litvinov, 2013).

- Introduce vertex operator algebra *Urod* as a sum of Heisenberg algebra Fock modules $\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}F_{k\sqrt{2}}$ but with modified stress-energy tensor.
- There are Vir \oplus Vir subalgebra with $b_1^2 + b_2^{-2} = -1$ in the $U(Urod \otimes Vir)$, moreover there is a decomposition of the Verma module

$$U_1 \otimes \mathbb{L}_{P,b} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{L}_{P_1 + kb_1, b_1} \otimes \mathbb{L}_{P_2 + kb_2^{-1}, b_2}$$
(32)

• Take Whittaker vector $v_{1/\sqrt{2}} \otimes |W(z)\rangle$ in l.h.s. Then it decompose into sum of Vir \oplus Vir Whittaker vectors in r.h.s. Squaring this relation we obtain Nakajima-Yoshioka blow-up relation.