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$$
F[u]:=F\left(x, u, D u, D^{2} u\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}
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## Maximum Principle

$\triangleright$ Weak Maximum Principle
$F[u] \geq 0$ in $\Omega, \quad \limsup u(x) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow u \leq 0$ in $\Omega$ $x \rightarrow \partial \Omega$

$$
F[u]:=F\left(x, u, D u, D^{2} u\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

$F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{S}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ continuous and degenerate elliptic, i.e.

$$
F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, X) \leq F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, Y) \text { whenever } X \leq Y \text { in } \mathbb{S}^{N}
$$

e.g. " $+\Delta$ "

## Maximum Principle

$\triangleright$ Weak Maximum Principle
$F[u] \geq 0$ in $\Omega, \quad \limsup u(x) \leq 0 \Longrightarrow u \leq 0$ in $\Omega$

$$
x \rightarrow \partial \Omega
$$

$\triangleright$ Strong Maximum Principle

$$
F[u] \geq 0 \text { in } \Omega, \quad u \leq 0 \text { in } \Omega \Longrightarrow \text { either } u<0 \text { or } u \equiv 0
$$

## A class of degenerate operators

For $X \in \mathbb{S}^{N}$ let $\lambda_{1}(X) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{N}(X) \in \operatorname{spec}(X)$ and $N \geq k \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X)=\lambda_{1}(X)+\ldots+\lambda_{k}(X) \\
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}(X)=\lambda_{N-k+1}(X)+\ldots+\lambda_{N}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

some sort of "truncated Laplacians": $\mathcal{P}_{N}^{ \pm}(X)=\operatorname{Tr}(X)$, arising in:
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& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X)=\lambda_{1}(X)+\ldots+\lambda_{k}(X) \\
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}(X)=\lambda_{N-k+1}(X)+\ldots+\lambda_{N}(X)=-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(-X),
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$\triangleright$ Manifolds of partially positive curvature [Wu, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1987]
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## PDEs

$\triangleright$ Dirichlet Duality and the Nonlinear Dirichlet Problem [Harvey-Lawson, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2009]
$\triangleright$ Some remarks on singular solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. I [Caffarelli-LiNirenberg, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009]

- The Dirichlet problem for the convex envelope [Oberman-Silvestre, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2011]
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$\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(\cdot)$ is a degenerate elliptic operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}(X)=\min _{\operatorname{dim} V=i} \max _{v \in V} \frac{\langle X v, v\rangle}{\|} \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
& X \leq Y \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_{i}(X) \leq \quad \lambda_{i}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(\cdot)$ is a degenerate elliptic operator
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\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}(X)=\min _{\operatorname{dim} V=i} \max _{v \in V} \frac{\langle X v, v\rangle}{|v|^{2}} \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
& X \leq Y \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(\cdot)$ is a degenerate elliptic operator
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\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(\cdot)$ is a degenerate elliptic operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}(X)= \min _{\operatorname{dim} V=i} \max _{v \in V} \frac{\langle X v, v\rangle}{|v|^{2}} \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
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If $k<N$, it is furthermore degenerate in any direction $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e.

$$
\min _{X \in \mathcal{S}^{N}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X+v \otimes v)-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X)\right)=0
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(\cdot)$ is a degenerate elliptic operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{i}(X)=\min _{\operatorname{dim} V=i} \max _{v \in V} \frac{\langle X v, v\rangle}{|v|^{2}} \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
\Downarrow \\
X \leq Y \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(Y)=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

If $k<N$, it is furthermore degenerate in any direction $v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e.

$$
\min _{X \in \mathcal{S}^{N}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X+v \otimes v)-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}(X)\right)=0
$$

just take $X=0$ and use $\operatorname{spec}(v \otimes v)=\{0, \ldots, 0,1\}$

Consider

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u)+\mu u & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{DP}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $f \in C(\Omega), \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the Hamiltonian $H \in C\left(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(x, \xi)| \leq b|\xi| \quad \forall(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{SC1}
\end{equation*}
$$

e.g. $H(x, D u)=b(x)|D u|$ or $H(x, D u)=\langle b(x), D u\rangle$ with $b \in L^{\infty}$
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\begin{equation*}
|H(x, \xi)| \leq b|\xi| \quad \forall(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{SC1}
\end{equation*}
$$

e.g. $H(x, D u)=b(x)|D u|$ or $H(x, D u)=\langle b(x), D u\rangle$ with $b \in L^{\infty}$

## Aims

- Validity (lack of) of the maximum/minimum principle, be it weak or strong (w.l.o.g. $\mu \geq 0$ )
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- Point out differences with respect to the uniformly elliptic case
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The strong minimum principle is closely related to the Hopf lemma and the weak Harnack inequality
Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \quad \gamma>1
$$

The eigenvalues of the Hessian are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} w\right)=\frac{w^{\prime}(|x|)}{|x|}=-2 \gamma\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-1} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-1 \\
& \lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} w\right)=w^{\prime \prime}(|x|)=\underbrace{-2 \gamma\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-1}}_{=\lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} w\right)} \\
& +4|x|^{2} \gamma(\gamma-1)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-2} \\
& \left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)<0 & \text { in } B_{1} \\
w>0 & \text { in } B_{1} \\
w=\partial_{\nu} w=0 & \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{aligned}
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## Strong minimum principle

The strong minimum principle is closely related to the Hopf lemma and the weak Harnack inequality
Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \quad \gamma>1
$$

The eigenvalues of the Hessian are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} w\right)=\frac{w^{\prime}(|x|)}{|x|} & =-2 \gamma\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-1} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, N-1 \\
\lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} w\right)=w^{\prime \prime}(|x|) & =\underbrace{-2 \gamma\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-1}}_{=\lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} w\right)} \\
& +4|x|^{2} \gamma(\gamma-1)\left(1-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then Hopf lemma does not hold for $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$if $k<N$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)<0 & \text { in } B_{1} \\
w>0 & \text { in } B_{1} \\
w=\partial_{\nu} w=0 & \text { on } \partial B_{1}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Likewise for the weak Harnack inequality
Let

$$
u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{N}^{2}
$$

then

$$
D^{2} u=\operatorname{diag}[0, \ldots, 0,1]
$$

and $(k<N)$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)=0 \text { in } B_{2}
$$

Nevertheless for any $p>0$ and any $C>0$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{\left|B_{1}\right|} \int_{B_{1}} u^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \not \subset 0=C \inf _{B_{1}} u
$$

## Maximum and Minimum Principle

Under the assumption (SC 1) and for any $k<N$, the operator

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)
$$

does not satisfy the strong minimum principle in any bounded domain $\Omega$.
On the other hand the weak minimum principle holds true in

$$
\Omega \subseteq B_{R} \quad \text { if } \quad b R \leq k
$$

and the condition $b R \leq k$ is sharp (remember $H(x, D u) \approx b|D u|)$. The strong maximum principle holds true in any bounded domain since the boundary Hopf lemma applies to negative solutions $u$ of

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

## Generalized principal eigenvalues

What about

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu
$$

when $\mu>0$ ?

## Generalized principal eigenvalues

What about

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

when $\mu>0$ ?
Linear uniformly elliptic case [Berestycki-Nirenberg-Varadhan, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 1994]

$$
F[u]:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A(x) D^{2} u\right)+b(x) \cdot D u+c(x) u
$$

the validity of the weak maximum (minimum) principle is related to the positivity of the principal eigenvalue
$\mu_{1}^{+}:=\sup \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w \in W_{\text {loc }}^{2, N}(\Omega), w>0\right.$ and $F[u]+\mu w \leq 0$ in $\left.\Omega\right\}$

The BNV approach has been addressed in the fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic framework
[Busca-Esteban-Quaas, Birindelli-Demengel, Ishii-Yoshimura, Quaas-Sirakov, Armstrong, Patrizi, Ikoma-Ishii...]

$$
\begin{aligned}
F[u] & : \\
\mu_{1}^{+} & :=\sup \left\{\mu, u, D u, D^{2} u\right) \quad \text { homogeneous of degree } 1 \\
\mu_{1}^{-} & :=\sup \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w \in \operatorname{LSC}(\Omega), w>0 \text { and } F[u]+\mu w \leq 0 \text { in } \Omega\} \\
& U S C(\Omega), w<0 \text { and } F[u]+\mu w \geq 0 \text { in } \Omega\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The BNV approach has been addressed in the fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic framework
[Busca-Esteban-Quaas, Birindelli-Demengel, Ishii-Yoshimura, Quaas-Sirakov, Armstrong, Patrizi, Ikoma-Ishii...]
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$\mu_{1}^{-}:=\sup \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w \in U S C(\Omega), w<0$ and $F[u]+\mu w \geq 0$ in $\Omega\}$
In particular
(i) $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ and $\left|\Omega_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right|>0 \Rightarrow \mu_{1}^{ \pm}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)>\mu_{1}^{ \pm}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$
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In particular
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The BNV approach has been addressed in the fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic framework
[Busca-Esteban-Quaas, Birindelli-Demengel, Ishii-Yoshimura, Quaas-Sirakov, Armstrong, Patrizi, Ikoma-Ishii...]
$F[u]:=F\left(x, u, D u, D^{2} u\right) \quad$ homogeneous of degree 1
$\mu_{1}^{+}:=\sup \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w \in \operatorname{LSC}(\Omega), w>0$ and $F[u]+\mu w \leq 0$ in $\Omega\}$
$\mu_{1}^{-}:=\sup \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w \in U S C(\Omega), w<0$ and $F[u]+\mu w \geq 0$ in $\Omega\}$
In particular
(i) $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ and $\left|\Omega_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right|>0 \Rightarrow \mu_{1}^{ \pm}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)>\mu_{1}^{ \pm}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$
(ii) $|\Omega| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mu_{1}^{ \pm} \rightarrow+\infty$
(iii) $\mu<\mu_{1}^{+} \Rightarrow F[\cdot]+\mu$ satisfies the weak maximum principle in $\Omega$ $\mu<\mu_{1}^{-} \Rightarrow F[\cdot]+\mu \cdot$ satisfies the weak minimum principle in $\Omega$
(iv) $\mu_{1}^{+}$and $\mu_{1}^{-}$correspond respectively to a positive and negative principal eigenfunction

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \cdot \\
H(x, t \xi)=t H(x, \xi) \quad t>0  \tag{SC2}\\
|H(x, \xi)-H(y, \xi)| \leq \omega(|x-y|(1+|\xi|))
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \cdot \\
& H(x, t \xi)=t H(x, \xi) \quad t>0 \quad \text { (SC } 2) \\
& |H(x, \xi)-H(y, \xi)| \leq \omega(|x-y|(1+|\xi|)) \quad \text { (SC 3) } \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\sup \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w<0 \text { in } \Omega, \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \geq 0\right\} \\
& \text { and } \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{+}=\sup \left\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \exists w>0 \text { in } \Omega, \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \leq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2}\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \\
H(x, t \xi)=t H(x, \xi) \quad t>0 \quad(\text { SC 2) }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \qquad H(x, \xi)-H(y, \xi) \mid \leq \omega(|x-y|(1+|\xi|)) \quad \text { (SC 3) } \tag{SC2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain. Under the assumptions (SC 2)(SC 3), then the operator

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

satisfies:
i) the weak minimum principle for $\mu<\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}$
ii) the weak maximum principle for $\mu<\bar{\mu}_{k}^{+}$.
...To reach the values $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{+}$(the standard thresholds in the uniformly elliptic case) we shall need some further conditions!

$$
\Omega \subseteq B_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad b R<k
$$

Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(R^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}
$$

Then for any $\mu>0$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \gamma=\gamma(\mu, b, k, R) \text { big enough }
$$

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}=+\infty
$$

$$
\Omega \subseteq B_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad b R<k
$$

Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(R^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}
$$

Then for any $\mu>0$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \gamma=\gamma(\mu, b, k, R) \text { big enough }
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{+}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}=+\infty
$$

$$
\Omega \subseteq B_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad b R<k
$$

Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(R^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}
$$

Then for any $\mu>0$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \gamma=\gamma(\mu, b, k, R) \text { big enough }
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{+}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}=+\infty
$$

## $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$vs $\Delta$

Maximum principle holds true for

$$
\Delta \cdot+\mu \cdot=\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\ldots+\lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\mu \cdot \text { in } \Omega
$$

provided $\mu<\mu_{\Delta}<+\infty$.

$$
\Omega \subseteq B_{R} \quad \text { and } \quad b R<k
$$

Let

$$
w(|x|)=\left(R^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}>0 \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}
$$

Then for any $\mu>0$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+H(x, D w)+\mu w \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \gamma=\gamma(\mu, b, k, R) \text { big enough }
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{+}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}=+\infty
$$

## $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$vs $\Delta$

Maximum principle holds true for

$$
\Delta \cdot+\mu \cdot=\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\ldots+\lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\mu \cdot \text { in } \Omega
$$

provided $\mu<\mu_{\Delta}<+\infty$. Conversely

$$
\mathcal{P}_{N-1}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\mu \cdot=\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\ldots+\lambda_{N-1}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\mu
$$

satisfies the maximum principle for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Instability of $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{+}$

Consider

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\frac{k}{R}|D \cdot| \quad \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{R-\frac{1}{n}}
$$

In this case the condition $b R<k$ reads as

$$
\frac{k}{R}\left(R-\frac{1}{n}\right)<k
$$

## Instability of $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{+}$

Consider

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\frac{k}{R}|D \cdot| \quad \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{R-\frac{1}{n}}
$$

In this case the condition $b R<k$ reads as

$$
\frac{k}{R}\left(R-\frac{1}{n}\right)<k \Longrightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=+\infty
$$

## Instability of $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{+}$

Consider

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+\frac{k}{R}|D \cdot| \quad \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{R-\frac{1}{n}}
$$

In this case the condition $b R<k$ reads as

$$
\frac{k}{R}\left(R-\frac{1}{n}\right)<k \Longrightarrow \bar{\mu}_{k}^{+}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=+\infty
$$

On the other hand $w(|x|)=\left(R^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{\gamma}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+\frac{k}{R}|D w|+\frac{2 \gamma k}{R^{2}} w & \geq 0 & & \text { in } \Omega=\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{n} \\
w & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
w & >0 & & \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Hence this contradicts the maximum principle and

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{+}(\Omega) \leq \frac{2 \gamma k}{R^{2}}
$$

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

But $w(|x|)=\sin |x|+\cos \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)-\frac{k}{\frac{3}{2} \pi}|D w|+0 w & \leq 0 \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{n}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{3}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{n}} \\
w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
w<0 & \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

contradicting the minimum principle. Hence

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

But $w(|x|)=\sin |x|+\cos \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)-\frac{k}{\frac{3}{2} \pi}|D w|+0 w \leq 0 \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{n}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{3}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& w=0 \\
& w<0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
& w \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

contradicting the minimum principle. Hence

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leq 0
$$

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

But $w(|x|)=\sin |x|+\cos \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)-\frac{k}{\frac{3}{2} \pi}|D w|+0 w \leq 0 \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{n}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{3}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& w=0 \\
& w<0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
& w \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

contradicting the minimum principle. Hence

$$
0 \leq \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leq 0
$$

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

But $w(|x|)=\sin |x|+\cos \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)-\frac{k}{\frac{3}{2}}|D w|+0 w & \leq 0 \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{n}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{3}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{n}} \\
w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
w<0 & \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

contradicting the minimum principle. Hence

$$
0 \leq \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leq 0
$$

while

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Omega_{n}\right|=0
$$

## The case $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{-}$

Let $R_{1} \leq 1$ s.t. $B_{R_{1}} \subseteq \Omega$. No blow-up phenomena, being

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} \leq \frac{C(b, k)}{R_{1}^{2}}
$$

But $w(|x|)=\sin |x|+\cos \frac{1}{n}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)-\frac{k}{\frac{3}{2} \pi}|D w|+0 w \leq 0 \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}=B_{\frac{3}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{n}} \backslash \bar{B}_{\frac{3}{2} \pi-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& w=0 \\
& w<0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
& \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

contradicting the minimum principle. Hence

$$
0 \leq \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leq 0
$$

while

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\Omega_{n}\right|=0
$$

$\Omega_{n}$ : domains whose measure goes to zero but whose principal eigenvalue stays equal to zero !!!
...Unusual phenomena again: 2D-narrow domains shrinking to a line with bounded principal eigenvalue
...Unusual phenomena again: 2D-narrow domains shrinking to a line with bounded principal eigenvalue

Let

$$
w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\sin n x_{1}-\sin x_{2}
$$

and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{n}:=\left\{0 \leq \frac{n x_{1}+x_{2}}{2} \leq \pi,-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{n x_{1}-x_{2}}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
...Unusual phenomena again: 2D-narrow domains shrinking to a line with bounded principal eigenvalue

Let

$$
w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\sin n x_{1}-\sin x_{2}
$$

and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{n}:=\left\{0 \leq \frac{n x_{1}+x_{2}}{2} \leq \pi,-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{n x_{1}-x_{2}}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
$\Omega_{n}$ narrow domains in the $x_{1}$-direction s.t.

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=2 \pi \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{n} \rightarrow\{0\} \times\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]
$$

...Unusual phenomena again: 2D-narrow domains shrinking to a line with bounded principal eigenvalue

Let

$$
w\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\sin n x_{1}-\sin x_{2}
$$

and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{n}:=\left\{0 \leq \frac{n x_{1}+x_{2}}{2} \leq \pi,-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \frac{n x_{1}-x_{2}}{2} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$
$\Omega_{n}$ narrow domains in the $x_{1}$-direction s.t.

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=2 \pi \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{n} \rightarrow\{0\} \times\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]
$$

Nevertheless

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} w\right)+1 w \leq 0 & \text { in } \Omega_{n} \\
w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{n} \\
w<0 & \text { in } \Omega_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

violating the minimum principle, hence

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{1}^{-}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \leq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

## On the equivalence $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\mu_{k}^{-}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right)+H(x, D v)+\mu v & \leq 0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \partial \Omega} v & \geq 0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Minumum principle OK if $\mu<\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}\right)$
How reach the value $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$?

## On the equivalence $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\mu_{k}^{-}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right)+H(x, D v)+\mu v & \leq 0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \partial \Omega} v & \geq 0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Minumum principle OK if $\mu<\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}\right)$
How reach the value $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$?
Barrier function: $v \geq-C d(x):=-C \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$

## On the equivalence $\bar{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\mu_{k}^{-}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right)+H(x, D v)+\mu v & \leq 0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \partial \Omega} v & \geq 0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Minumum principle OK if $\mu<\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}\left(\leq \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}\right)$
How reach the value $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$?
Barrier function: $v \geq-C d(x):=-C \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$
Warning : " $\partial \Omega$ flat", e.g. $v(x)=-x_{N}^{\gamma \in(0,1)}$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right) & =0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}:=\left\{x: x_{N}>0\right\} \\
v & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \frac{v(x)}{d(x)} & =-\lim _{x \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} \frac{x_{N}^{\gamma}}{x_{N}}=-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Convexity of $\Omega$ is needed...

## Hula hoop domains

We shall consider a class $\mathcal{C}_{R}$ of convex domains $\Omega$ satisfying the following assumption: there exist $R>0$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$, depending on $\Omega$, such that

$$
\Omega=\bigcap_{y \in Y} B_{R}(y)
$$

## Hula hoop domains

We shall consider a class $\mathcal{C}_{R}$ of convex domains $\Omega$ satisfying the following assumption: there exist $R>0$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$, depending on $\Omega$, such that

$$
\Omega=\bigcap_{y \in Y} B_{R}(y) \Longrightarrow \text { existence of barrier! }
$$

## Hula hoop domains

We shall consider a class $\mathcal{C}_{R}$ of convex domains $\Omega$ satisfying the following assumption: there exist $R>0$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$, depending on $\Omega$, such that

$$
\Omega=\bigcap_{y \in Y} B_{R}(y)
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ existence of barrier!

## Proposition

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain with $C^{2}$-boundary. Let $\kappa_{i}(x)$ denote the principal curvatures of $\partial \Omega$ at $x$ for $i=1, \ldots, N-1$, set

$$
\underline{\kappa}=\min \left\{\kappa_{i}(x): i=1, \ldots, N-1, x \in \partial \Omega\right\},
$$

and assume that $\underline{\kappa}>0$. If $R \geq \frac{1}{\underline{\kappa}}$, then $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$.

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 2)-(SC 3) and $b R<k$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}
$$

and the minimum principle holds true in $\Omega$ for

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

if and only if $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$.

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 2)-(SC 3) and $b R<k$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}
$$

and the minimum principle holds true in $\Omega$ for

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

if and only if $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$.
Step 1. For $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$the operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \cdot$ satisfies the minimum principle

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 2)-(SC 3) and $b R<k$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}
$$

and the minimum principle holds true in $\Omega$ for

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

if and only if $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$.
Step 1. For $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$the operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \cdot$ satisfies the minimum principle
Step 2. There exists a $v \not \equiv 0$ solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right)+H(x, D v)+\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} & v & \leq 0 & \\
\text { in } \Omega \\
v & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
& v \leq 0 & & \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 2)-(SC 3) and $b R<k$, then

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}
$$

and the minimum principle holds true in $\Omega$ for

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu .
$$

if and only if $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$.
Step 1. For $\mu<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}$the operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} \cdot\right)+H(x, D \cdot)+\mu \cdot$ satisfies the minimum principle
Step 2. There exists a $v \not \equiv 0$ solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}\left(D^{2} v\right)+H(x, D v)+\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-} & v \leq 0 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
v & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
& v \leq 0 & & \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Step 3. $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{-}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k}^{-}$

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

( $\mathrm{DP}_{1}$ )

Preliminary considerations $(H \equiv 0, f \equiv 0)$ :
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u$ convex, in particular $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$
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\left\{\begin{align*}
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\end{align*}\right.
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Preliminary considerations $(H \equiv 0, f \equiv 0)$ :
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u$ convex, in particular $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$
(ii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ and $u \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u \equiv 0$, regularity up to $\partial \Omega$ !

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Preliminary considerations $(H \equiv 0, f \equiv 0)$ :
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u$ convex, in particular $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$
(ii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ and $u \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u \equiv 0$, regularity up to $\partial \Omega$ !
(iii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right) \Longrightarrow u \in C^{0, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Preliminary considerations ( $H \equiv 0, f \equiv 0$ ):
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u$ convex, in particular $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$
(ii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ and $u \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u \equiv 0$, regularity up to $\partial \Omega!$
(iii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right) \Longrightarrow u \in C^{0, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$ (iv) $u$ solution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ ?

## Lipschitz regularity $(k=1)$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Preliminary considerations $(H \equiv 0, f \equiv 0)$ :
(i) $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u$ convex, in particular $u \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\text {loc }}(\Omega)$
(ii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ and $u \geq 0 \Longrightarrow u \equiv 0$, regularity up to $\partial \Omega$ !
(iii) $u$ subsolution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right) \Longrightarrow u \in C^{0, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$
(iv) $u$ solution of $\left(\mathrm{DP}_{1}\right)$ ? At least for $\Omega$ unbounded, global regularity does not hold: $u(x)=x_{N}^{\gamma<\alpha} \notin C^{0, \alpha}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{N}\right)$, but it is a solution of

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}, \quad u=0 \text { on } \partial \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

...Again "hula hoop" condition

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 1 ) and $b R<1$, then the solutions $u$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

are Lipschitz continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. The Lipschitz norm of $u$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on $\Omega, b$ and the $L^{\infty}$ norms of $u$ and $f$ (compactness).

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 1 ) and $b R<1$, then the solutions $u$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

are Lipschitz continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. The Lipschitz norm of $u$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on $\Omega, b$ and the $L^{\infty}$ norms of $u$ and $f$ (compactness).

Comparison principle

$$
u(x) \quad v_{y}(x):=u(y)+L\left(|x-y|-|x-y|^{\theta}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}(y) \cap \Omega
$$

where $\theta \in(1,2)$ and $L, \delta$ chosen in such a way $v_{y}$ is a classical strict supersolution of

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u)=f(x) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}(y) \backslash\{y\}
$$

and

$$
u \leq v_{y} \quad \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\delta}(y) \cap \Omega\right)
$$

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 1 ) and $b R<1$, then the solutions $u$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u) & =f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

are Lipschitz continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. The Lipschitz norm of $u$ can be bounded by a constant depending only on $\Omega, b$ and the $L^{\infty}$ norms of $u$ and $f$ (compactness).

Comparison principle

$$
u(x) \leq v_{y}(x):=u(y)+L\left(|x-y|-|x-y|^{\theta}\right) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}(y) \cap \Omega
$$

where $\theta \in(1,2)$ and $L, \delta$ chosen in such a way $v_{y}$ is a classical strict supersolution of

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u\right)+H(x, D u)=f(x) \quad \text { in } B_{\delta}(y) \backslash\{y\}
$$

and

$$
u \leq v_{y} \quad \text { on } \partial\left(B_{\delta}(y) \cap \Omega\right)
$$
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## Theorem

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}_{R}$. If $H$ satisfies (SC 2)-(SC 3) and $b R<1$, then there exists a negative function $\psi_{1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that
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\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}\right)+H\left(x, D \psi_{1}\right)+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{-} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
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Step 1. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n} \nearrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{-}$. Then $\exists u_{n} \in \operatorname{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$ negative solutions of
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\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} u_{n}\right)+H\left(x, D u_{n}\right)+\mu_{n} u_{n}=1 \text { in } \Omega, u_{n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
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Step 2. Compactness yields $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow \infty$
Step 3. Rescaling $v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}} \rightarrow \psi_{1} \in \operatorname{Lip}(\bar{\Omega})$ and passing to the limit

$$
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Step 4. Strong maximum principle yields $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}<0$ in $\Omega$.

## Example: $\Omega=B_{R}$ and $H \equiv 0$

Consider

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}(x)=-\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}|x|\right)
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## Example: $\Omega=B_{R}$ and $H \equiv 0$

Consider

$$
\psi_{1}(x)=-\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}|x|\right)
$$

then
$\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} \psi_{1}(x)\right)=\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right)^{2} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}|x|\right)$

$$
\leq \frac{\lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} \phi_{1}(x)\right)}{}=\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right) \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}|x|\right)}{|x|} \text { for } i=2, \ldots, N
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}^{-}\left(D^{2} \psi_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right)^{2} \psi_{1}=0 \text { in } \Omega, \psi_{1}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

By definition $\mu_{1}^{-} \geq\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right)^{2}$, on the other hand $\psi_{1}$ violates the minimum principle, hence $\mu_{1}^{-} \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right)^{2}$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{-}=\left(\frac{\pi}{2 R}\right)^{2}
$$

## Open questions

- Is the global Lipschitz regularity true for $1<k<N$ ? Or Hölder regularity?
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