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## Problem
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\end{array}\right.
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$$

(we use the conventional notation $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{N}\right)$ for a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ ).

The function $f:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz or $C^{1}$ and we deal only with nonnegative solutions.
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As for the case $f(0)<0$, only results for $N=2$ are known:

- H. Berestycki, L. Caffarelli and L. Nirenberg ( 1997): f Lipschitz and $u$ positive $\Longrightarrow$ $u$ is monotone.
- A. Farina, B. Sciunzi (2016): $f$ locally Lipschitz $\Longrightarrow$
- Either $u>0$ and $u$ is monotone;
- Or $u(x)=u_{0}\left(x_{N}\right)$, where $u_{0}$ is the unique one-dimensional solution of the problem verifying $u_{0}(0)=u_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0$.
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and is not one-dimensional.
Therefore for symmetry it is usually assumed that

$$
M=\sup _{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}} u<+\infty
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- A. Farina, E. Valdinoci (2010):
$u$ positive, $N=3$ and $f$ locally Lipschitz or $N=4,5$ and special $f$ 's $\Longrightarrow u$ is symmetric.
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## Conjecture A (BCN, ASNP 1997)

If $u$ is positive, then $f(M)=0$ and therefore $u$ is one-dimensional.
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## Conjecture-Now-Theorem for a special case (BCN 1997)

$u_{0}$ is the only nonnegative solution of this problem.

This conjecture has been proved for $N=2,3$ (Farina-Soave, Farina-Sciunzi).
For $N \geq$ 4. C. Cortázar, M. Elgueta, J. García-Melián. (2016).

Some extension are know for p-Laplacian, fully nonlinear elliptic operator, etc...
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Previous cases for positive monotone nonlinearity $f$ :

- M. M. Fall, T. Weth (2016): (Green function in the half space)
- A. Q., A. Xia (2015). ABP-Strip domain + truncation
- W. Chen, Y. Fang, R. Yang (2015) for $f(t)=t^{p}$ (Green function in the half space).


## Main Results Monotonicity

## Theorem

Assume $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $u$ be a bounded, nonnegative, nontrivial classical solution of (1). Then $u$ is positive and

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{N}}>0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}
$$

## Results one dimensional case

When $s=1$, however, the corresponding problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-u^{\prime \prime}=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+},  \tag{2}\\
u(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Easy to see that there exists a bounded solution of (2) if and only if $\rho=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}$verifies $f(\rho)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)<F(\rho) \text { for all } t \in[0, \rho) \tag{F}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is the primitive of $f$ vanishing at zero, $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau$.
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Assume $f$ is locally Lipschitz and $\rho>0$ is such that $f(\rho)=0$ and condition ( F ) is verified. Then there exists a unique positive solution $u$ of $(*)(N=1)$ with the property
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Moreover, $u$ is strictly increasing and defining $\ell_{0}:=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{u(x)}{x^{s}}$ then
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\begin{equation*}
\ell_{0}=\frac{(2 F(\rho))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+s)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
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Finally, all bounded positive solutions of $(*)(N=1)$ are of the above form.
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## Main Results

## Theorem

Assume $f$ is locally Lipschitz and let $u$ be a bounded positive solution of $(*)$. Suppose in addition that $\rho=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$ verifies $f(\rho)=0$. Then $f$ verifies ( F ) and $u$ is one-dimensional.

Remark:If $f(\rho) \leq 0$ then $f(\rho)=0$

## Ideas of the Proof.

Key points

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(\rho)-F(u(a))=\frac{c(1, s)}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(u(a)-u(y))^{2}}{|a-y|^{1+2 s}} d y \\
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## Ideas of the Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\delta, M} & =\frac{1}{2} \iint_{A_{\delta, M}}\left(\frac{(u(x)-u(y))^{2}}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}}\right)_{x} d y d x+\frac{1+2 s}{2} \iint_{A_{\delta, M}^{3}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^{2}}{(x-y)^{2+2 s}} d y d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\partial A_{\delta, M}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^{2}}{|x-y|^{1+2 s}} d y+\frac{1+2 s}{2} \iint_{A_{\delta, M}^{3}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))^{2}}{(x-y)^{2+2 s}} d y d x .
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## Ideas of the Proof.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\rho)=\mathcal{K}(s) \ell_{0}^{2}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{K}(s)=\frac{c(1, s)}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2 s}-\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left((t+1)^{s}-1\right)^{2}}{|t|^{1+2 s}} d t+\int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{2 s}-\left((t+1)^{s}-1\right)^{2}}{t^{1+2 s}} d t\right. \\
&\left.+(1+2 s) \int_{1}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(t^{s}-\tau^{s}\right)^{2}}{(t-\tau)^{2+2 s}} d \tau d t\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$
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