Multivariate Algebraic Generating Functions: Asymptotics and Examples

Torin Greenwood Georgia Tech Banff//September 19, 2017 Consider a closed-form generating function F for a multidimensional array $\{a_{n_1,n_2,...,n_d}\}$:

$$F(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d} a_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d} z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d}$$

Goal: Extract information about $\{a_n\}$ as the indices approach infinity.

- The location of singularities of F will determine the exponential decay of [zⁿ]F(z).
- The behavior of *F* near the singularities determines the subexponential behavior.

Generating functions with algebraic singularities common.

- Catalan numbers
- SCFGs, Enumerating RNA secondary structures
- Random colorings in K_n

Previous Results

- Flajolet & Odlyzko (1990) analyzed a large class of univariate algebraic generating functions.
- Gao and Richmond (1992) and Hwang (1996) extended FO results to restricted classes of bivariate functions.
- Drmota (1997) and others looked at distributional results.
- Today: asymptotics for a broad class of algebraic singularities, via the multivariate Cauchy integral formula and Pemantle and Wilson techniques.

Univariate Generating Functions: Example Theorem

Theorem

(Flajolet & Odlyzko, 1990.) Consider a generating function F with $F(z) = O(|1 - z|^{\alpha})$ as $z \to 1$. If F is analytic in the region below, then $[z^n]F(z) = O(n^{-\alpha-1})$.



Proof

Cauchy Integral Formula:

$$[z^n]F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz$$

• Since $F(z) = O(|1 - z|^{\alpha})$, compare:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (1-z)^{\alpha} z^{-n-1} dz$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (1-z)^{\alpha} z^{-n-1} dz$$

Expand $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$ to the contour below:



$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (1-z)^{\alpha} z^{-n-1} dz$$

Both are small away from 1.



$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (1-z)^{\alpha} z^{-n-1} dz$$

 $(1-z)^{\alpha}$ dominates near 1.



$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} F(z) z^{-n-1} dz \quad \text{versus} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} (1-z)^{\alpha} z^{-n-1} dz$$

Comparing the integrals shows $[z^n]F(z) = O(n^{-\alpha-1})$.



Start with:

$$H(\mathbf{x})^{-eta} = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \ge \mathbf{0}} a_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}}$$

Can we estimate $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ as \mathbf{r} approaches infinity, such that $\mathbf{r} \approx \mathbf{s} \cdot \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ as $\mathbf{s} \to \infty$? As before,

- The location of singularities of *H* will determine the exponential behavior of the coefficients.
- The behavior of *H* near the singularities determines the subexponential behavior.

Smooth critical points

- Determining relevant singularities of *H* more complicated for multivariate generating functions.
- We restrict to "smooth minimal critical points" **p** where:
- 1. For coefficients $a_{\mathbf{r}}$ as $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{s}\lambda$ with $\mathbf{s} o \infty$,

$$\lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_1} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_2}$$
$$\lambda_3 \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_1} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_3}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\lambda_n \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_1} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$$

2. $H_{X_1}(\mathbf{p}) \neq 0$ 3. No other singularities of *H* are closer to the origin than \mathbf{p} .

Result

Theorem (G.)

Let $H(\mathbf{x})$ have a smooth, minimal critical point, **p**. Then, as **r** approaches infinity with $\frac{r_i}{r_j} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + O(1)$ for a constant vector λ and all $1 \le i \le j \le d$,

$$[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}}] H(\mathbf{x})^{-\beta} \sim \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^{d-1} \mathbf{p}^{-\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{1}} \left[\frac{r_1^{\beta-1} p_1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left\{ \left(-H_{x_1}(\mathbf{p}) p_1\right)^{-\beta} \right\}_p e^{-\beta(2\pi i\omega)} \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{r_1} \pi\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\right)}} \right]$$

 \mathcal{H} is the Hessian of a (d - 1)-dimensional phase function describing the zero set of H near **p**.

Proof Overview

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^d \int_T H(\mathbf{x})^{-\beta} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}-1} d\mathbf{x}$$

- Determine how to expand the torus, *T*, using Flajolet-Odlyzko as motivation.
- Manipulate $H(\mathbf{x})$ to approximate the integral as a product of a univariate integral and a (d-1)-dimensional Fourier-Laplace integral.
- Estimate the remaining integrals.

Expanding the Torus



 $G(\widehat{\mathbf{x}})$ is a parameterization of the zero set of H near **p**.

Rewrite *H* as a power series near **p**:

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} b_{\mathbf{r}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p})^{\mathbf{r}}$$

As long as $b_{\mathbf{r}} = 0$ for all \mathbf{r} with $|\mathbf{r}| \le 2$ except for coefficients corresponding to $x_1 - p_1$ and $(x_1 - p_1)(x_j - p_j)$, H can be approximated by a function in x_1 alone.

Change of Variables

Choose the change of variables:

$$u_{1} = x_{1} + \sum_{j=2}^{d} k_{j}(x_{j} - p_{j}) + \sum_{j=2}^{d} q_{j}(x_{j} - p_{j})^{2} + \sum_{2 \le j < \ell \le d} m_{j,\ell}(x_{j} - p_{j})(x_{\ell} - p_{\ell})$$
$$u_{j} = x_{j} \text{ for } 2 \le j \le d$$

 k_j, q_j , and $m_{j,\ell}$ are constants in terms of the derivatives of H at **p**.

The Integral after the Change of Variables

After applying the change of variables, we can show

$$\int_{T} H(\mathbf{x})^{-\beta} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{r}-1} d\mathbf{x}$$

is approximately

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\ell}} \left[H_{x_1}(\mathbf{p})(u_1 - p_1)\right]^{-\beta} \left[1 - \frac{\psi(\widehat{\mathbf{u}})}{p_1}\right]^{-r_1 - 1} \mathbf{u}^{-r_1} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}$$

Here, $\psi(\widehat{\mathbf{u}})$ is related to a phase function and defined by

$$\psi(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}) = \sum_{j=2}^{d-1} k_j (u_j - p_j) + \sum_{j=2}^{d-1} q_j (u_j - p_j)^2 + \sum_{2 \le j < \ell \le d} m_{j,\ell} (u_j - p_j) (u_\ell - p_\ell)$$

The Remaining Integrals

$$\int_{U} [H_{X_1}(\mathbf{p}) \cdot (u_1 - p_1)]^{-\beta} u_1^{-r_1 - 1} du$$

is a univariate Cauchy integral representing a binomial coefficient, approximated by:

$$\frac{2\pi I}{\Gamma(\beta)}r_1^{\beta-1}p_1^{-r_1}\left\{(-H_x(\mathbf{p})p_1)^{-\beta}\right\}_P e^{-\beta(2\pi i\omega)}$$

$$\iint_{V} \left[1 - \frac{\psi(\widehat{\mathbf{u}})}{p_{1}} \right]^{-r_{1}-1} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{-\widehat{\mathbf{r}}-\widehat{\mathbf{1}}} \, \mathrm{d}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$$

is a Fourier-Laplace type integral. From Pemantle & Wilson, we can approximate by:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}^{-\widehat{\boldsymbol{r}}-\widehat{\boldsymbol{1}}}\frac{\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}\pi}{r_{1}}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\right)}}$$

Application: RNA Secondary Structures

RNA secondary structures reveal valuable functional information about RNA molecules.



Knudsen-Hein 1999 Grammar: $S \rightarrow LS$ with probability p_1 with probability L q_1 $E \rightarrow$ (F) with probability p_2 LS with probability q_2 L with probability p_3 (F) with probability q_3

Converting KH99 to Probability Generating Functions

Find the GF, S(x₁, x₂, x₃) =
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n_1, n_2, n_3) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} x_3^{n_3}$$

- p(n₁, n₂, n₃): probability of producing a structure with n₁ nucleotides, n₂ base pairs, and n₃ helices
- Production rules become recursions. For example, the rules,

$$F
ightarrow (F)$$
 with probability p_2
LS with probability q_2 ,

become

$$F = p_2 x_1^2 x_2 x_3 F + q_2 LS.$$

KH99 Generating Function

Solving yields

$$S(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p_1 p_3 q_2 x_2 x_1^3 - p_3 x_2 x_1^2 - p_1 q_2 x_1 + 1}{2 p_2 q_3 x_1^2 x_2 x_3} - \frac{\sqrt{H(\mathbf{x})}}{2 p_2 q_3 x_1^2 x_2 x_3}$$

where

 $H(\mathbf{x}) = (p_3 x_1^2 x_2 - 1) \times$ $(p_1^2 p_3 q_2^2 x_1^4 x_2 + 4p_2 q_1 q_2 q_3 x_1^3 x_2 x_3 - 2p_1 p_3 q_2 x_1^3 x_2 - p_1^2 q_2^2 x_1^2 + p_3 x_1^2 x_2 + 2p_1 q_2 x_1 - 1).$

Heitsch and Poznanović used these methods to find distributions of single features.

The asymptotics are often controlled by a smooth minimal critical point, and the results from before apply. For example, let us choose $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda = (6, 2, 1)$.

- This approximates the probability of structures where there are six times as many nucleotides as helices, and twice as many base pairs as helices.
- Using the smooth critical point equations,

H = 0, $2x_1H_{x_1} = x_2H_{x_2}$, $6x_1H_{x_1} = x_3H_{x_3}$ yields the critical point, $\left(\frac{16}{9}, \frac{81}{128}, \frac{4}{27}\right)$. Plugging into the asymptotic formula yields:

$$\left[x_{1}^{r_{1}}x_{2}^{r_{2}}x_{3}^{r_{3}}\right]\sqrt{H} \sim -\frac{64}{\pi^{3/2}r_{1}^{5/2}}\left(\frac{16}{9}\right)^{-r_{1}-1}\left(\frac{81}{128}\right)^{-r_{2}-1}\left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^{-r_{2}-1}$$

as r_1, r_2 , and r_3 approach infinity in the ratio 6 : 2 : 1. For $(r_1, r_2, r_3) = (60, 20, 10)$, the ratio of the approximation to the exact coefficient of *H* is 1.056.

We can plug this approximation back into the formula for S to approximate the probabilities we want.

Analytic Combinatorics:

- Can the results be rewritten in a coordinate-free way?
- What about more general types of algebraic singularities?
- How about non-smooth points?

RNA:

- How well can this approach handle all directions λ and all probabilities p_1, p_2, p_3 simultaneously?
- Can we understand what types of rules control which types of features?