

I'm going to talk about 3 interconnected stories: one in topology, one in algebra, & one in both camps. Today, my focus is more introductory, so I might not get as far as one might like.

The context in which I'll be working is equivariant (stable) homotopy, but I'll assume little beyond what you know about G-spaces.

Def An operad is a collection of objects $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \dots$ s.t. \mathcal{O}_n has an action of Σ_n & we have maps $\mathcal{O}_k \times \mathcal{O}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathcal{O}_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}$ that behave like compositions, and \exists "identity" in \mathcal{O}_1 .

The equivariance here is subtle, but if you think of these as operations & of the Σ_n action as permuting the input, you won't be led astray.

Example ① $\text{Asso}_n = \Sigma_n$ w/ obvious action. \circ is block composition.

② $\text{Com}_n = *$.

③ If X is a space (specrum), $\text{End}(X)_n = \text{Map}(X^n, X)$, and \circ is comp.

④ lets us have actions of operads.

Def If \mathcal{O} is an operad, an \mathcal{O} -algebra structure on X is a map of operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$.

If we unpack this, then we get an alternative description:

Space X + maps $m_n: \mathcal{O}_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow X$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_k \times_{\Sigma_k} (\mathcal{O}_{n_1} \times_{\Sigma_{n_1}} X^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_{n_k} \times_{\Sigma_{n_k}} X^{n_k}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} & \mathcal{O}_{n_1 + \dots + n_k} \times_{\Sigma_{n_1 + \dots + n_k}} X^{n_1 + \dots + n_k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_k \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & X \end{array}$$

Cor: Given any Σ_n -space Y and a Σ_n -equivariant map $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$, get a "twisted multiplication"

$$Y \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow X \quad \text{via} \quad Y \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow X$$

These are natural in X (and Y).

Ex: ① An Asso space is an associative monoid.

② A Comm space is a commutative monoid.

③ A comm Green functor is a Comm algebra in Mackey functors.

④ Neither Mackey nor Tambara functors are easily \mathcal{O} -algebras

Here topology arises: orbits are badly behaved \neq not homotopical.

Def \mathcal{O} is an E_∞ operad if \mathcal{O}_n is a free, contract. Σ_n -space: $\mathcal{O}_n \simeq E\Sigma_n$.

Ex: If U is an ∞ diml inner product space, then $\mathcal{L}(U)_n = \mathcal{L}(U^{\otimes n}, U) \neq \mathcal{D}(U)_n = \text{Emb}(\mathbb{I}^n, \mathbb{D})$ are E_∞ -operads.
 ← structuring \mathcal{O} -space of fibration spectra.

Equivariantly, this is very harsh! Very harsh.
 This is Aaron's question.

Def \mathcal{O} is an N_∞ -operad if $\mathcal{O}_n \simeq E\mathcal{F}_n$, \mathcal{F}_n is a family of s.g. Γ of $G \times \Sigma_n$ s.t. $\Gamma \cap \{e\} \times \Sigma_n = \{e\}$
 $\neq G \times \{e\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Here $E\mathcal{F}_n$ is like $E\Sigma_n$: $(E\mathcal{F}_n)^\Gamma \simeq \begin{cases} * & \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_n \\ \emptyset & \Gamma \notin \mathcal{F}_n \end{cases}$

Ex: If U is a G -universe, then $\mathcal{L}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}(U)$ are both N_∞ operads. We'll come back.

Since $E\mathcal{F}_n$ is a universal space, for any $G \times \Sigma_n$ -space Y , $\text{Map}^{G \times \Sigma_n}(Y, E\mathcal{F}_n) \simeq \begin{cases} * & \text{Stab}(y) \in \mathcal{F}_n \forall y \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

So there is a unique (up to homotopy) map.

Prop If $\Gamma \in G \times \Sigma_n$ has $\Gamma \cap \Sigma_n = \{e\}$, then $\exists H \in \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ $f: H \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ w/ $\Gamma = \Gamma_f = \{(h, f(h)) \mid h \in H\}$.

Pf: $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ is an injection. \square People call these "graph subgroups."
 ← H -set structure on $\Sigma_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$.

Def An H -set T is admissible for \mathcal{O} if $\Gamma_f \in \mathcal{F}_{|T|}$.

Prop: The admissible H -sets are closed under

- ① finite limits
- ② disjoint unions
- ③ "self-induction": G/H admissible, T an admissible H -set,

then $G \ltimes T$ is an admissible G -set.
 ① \neq ③ gives "stability under pullback".

Pf Exercise! All of these follow from the composition on \mathcal{O} . \square

What does this buy us?

Example (Key!) $G \times \Sigma_n / \Gamma_f \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \simeq G \ltimes (\text{Map}(T, L_H^* X))$. (similar for spectra)

Thm If X is an \mathcal{O} -algebra, then for any admissible T , we have a ("unique") map $\text{Map}(T, X) \rightarrow X$, and these are coherently compatible.

Cor: If G/H is admissible, then we have a map $X^H \rightarrow X^G$, a "transfer" $\Rightarrow \pi_k(X)$ is a Mackey functor

Thm If E is an \mathcal{O} -algebra in spectra, then for any admissible T , we have a ("unique") norm map $N^T(E) \rightarrow E$.
 $\Rightarrow \pi_k(E)$ is an incomplete Mackey functor.

From the questions:

Def An indexing system is a full subfunctor $\underline{C} \subseteq \underline{\text{Set}} : \text{Ord}_G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sym}$ s.t.

① $\underline{C}(G/H)$ is a sym. monoidal subcat of $\underline{\text{Set}}^H$.

② $\underline{C}(G/H)$ is closed under finite limits

③ \underline{C} is closed under self-induction.

The collection of such
is a poset \mathcal{I} .

Thm: The assignment $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \underline{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ gives a fully faithful embedding $\text{ho}\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$.

Thm (Gutiérrez-White) This is essentially surjective.