Log-correlated Gaussian fields and linear statistics of $\beta\text{-ensembles}$

Christian Webb

Aalto University, Finland

Beta Ensembles: Universality, Integrability, and Asymptotics April 12, 2016

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへで

1/24

Outline of the talk

- What are log-correlated Gaussian fields?
- Examples of log-correlated fields in RMT.
- Connection between log-correlated fields in RMT and CLTs for linear statistics.
- A sketch of a proof for a CLT for the circular β -ensemble.

What is a log-correlated Gaussian field?

Basically a centered Gaussian process X(x) on a subset of \mathbb{R}^d with a logarithmic singularity in its covariance:

$$\mathbb{E}X(x)X(y) \sim -\log|x-y|, \quad \text{as} \quad x \to y.$$



Doesn't make sense as an honest random function.

• Let $A_k \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and

$$X(heta) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[A_k e^{ik heta} + A_k^* e^{-ik heta}
ight].$$

Then formally $\mathbb{E}X(\theta)X(\theta') = -\frac{1}{2}\log|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|.$

• Let $A_k \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and

$$X(heta) = rac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^\infty rac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left[A_k e^{ik heta} + A_k^* e^{-ik heta}
ight] \, .$$

Then formally $\mathbb{E}X(\theta)X(\theta') = -\frac{1}{2}\log|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|.$

• Let $B_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d. and for $x \in (-1,1)$

$$Y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} B_k T_k(x),$$

where $T_k(\cos \theta) = \cos k\theta$ (Chebyshev polynomial). Then formally $\mathbb{E}Y(x)Y(y) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2|x-y|)$.

• Let $C_k \sim N(0,1)$ be i.i.d., $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ nice enough, and $\Delta \phi_k = -\lambda_k \phi_k$ on D with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then define the GFF:

$$Z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(x),$$

Again formally $\mathbb{E}Z(x)Z(y) = G_D(x,y) \sim -\log |x-y|$ as $x \to y$.

 Let C_k ~ N(0,1) be i.i.d., D ⊂ ℝ² nice enough, and Δφ_k = −λ_kφ_k on D with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then define the GFF:

$$Z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(x),$$

Again formally $\mathbb{E}Z(x)Z(y) = G_D(x,y) \sim -\log|x-y|$ as $x \to y$.

All of these series converge almost surely in suitable spaces of generalized functions (e.g. Sobolev spaces) and one can make precise sense of everything above.

Why care about log-correlated fields?

• Universal objects - show up as asymptotic fluctuations in various models: RMT, growth models, combinatorial models, number theory, lattice models, ...

Why care about log-correlated fields?

- Universal objects show up as asymptotic fluctuations in various models: RMT, growth models, combinatorial models, number theory, lattice models, ...
- Play a critical role in the mathematics of 2-d quantum gravity (Liouville quantum gravity) - conjectured to be related to a suitable scaling limit of random planar maps.

Why care about log-correlated fields?

- Universal objects show up as asymptotic fluctuations in various models: RMT, growth models, combinatorial models, number theory, lattice models, ...
- Play a critical role in the mathematics of 2-d quantum gravity (Liouville quantum gravity) - conjectured to be related to a suitable scaling limit of random planar maps.
- Can be used to construct conformally invariant random planar curves (SLE type objects).

Characteristic polynomial of the CUE

Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$X_{N}(\theta) = \log |\det(I - e^{-i\theta}U_{N})|$$

= $-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[e^{-ik\theta}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}U_{N}^{k}}{\sqrt{k}} + e^{ik\theta}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}U_{N}^{-k}}{\sqrt{k}}\right].$

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 万 < で 7/24

Characteristic polynomial of the CUE

Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$X_{N}(\theta) = \log |\det(I - e^{-i\theta}U_{N})|$$

= $-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[e^{-ik\theta}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}U_{N}^{k}}{\sqrt{k}} + e^{ik\theta}\frac{\operatorname{Tr}U_{N}^{-k}}{\sqrt{k}}\right]$

Theorem (Diaconis and Shahshahani '94)

For any fixed K, $(TrU_N^k/\sqrt{k})_{k=1}^K \xrightarrow{d} (A_k)_{k=1}^K$, where $A_k \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ i.i.d..

・ロ ・ ・ 「日 ・ ・ ミ ト ・ ミ ・ つ へ で 7/24

Characteristic polynomial of the CUE

Let $U_N \sim CUE(N)$, and

$$egin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{N}}(heta) &= \log |\det(I-e^{-i heta}U_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -rac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\left[e^{-ik heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{k}}{\sqrt{k}}+e^{ik heta}rac{\mathrm{Tr}\,U_{\mathcal{N}}^{-k}}{\sqrt{k}}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Diaconis and Shahshahani '94)

For any fixed K, $(TrU_N^k/\sqrt{k})_{k=1}^K \xrightarrow{d} (A_k)_{k=1}^K$, where $A_k \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ i.i.d..

Thus (Hughes, Keating, and O'Connell '01), $X_N \xrightarrow{d} X$ (in a suitable space).

・ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の < で 7/24</p>

Characteristic polynomial of the GUE

Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (with a suitable normalization). For $x \in (-1, 1)$, on the event that $\sigma(H_N) \subset (-1, 1)$, one has

$$egin{aligned} & Y_{\mathcal{N}}(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_{\mathcal{N}})| \ & = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{rac{1}{k}} T_k(x) \mathrm{Tr} \left[rac{2}{\sqrt{k}} T_k(H_{\mathcal{N}})
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

・ロト ・ 一部 ト ・ 注 ト 注 ・ う へ や 8/24

Characteristic polynomial of the GUE

Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (with a suitable normalization). For $x \in (-1, 1)$, on the event that $\sigma(H_N) \subset (-1, 1)$, one has

$$egin{aligned} &Y_{\mathcal{N}}(x) = \log |\det(xI - H_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{rac{1}{k}} \mathcal{T}_k(x) \mathrm{Tr} \left[rac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{T}_k(H_{\mathcal{N}})
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Johansson '98)

After centering, the random variables $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}T_k(H_N)\right]$ converge jointly in law to i.i.d. standard Gaussians for $k \leq K$ fixed.

Characteristic polynomial of the GUE

Let $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (with a suitable normalization). For $x \in (-1, 1)$, on the event that $\sigma(H_N) \subset (-1, 1)$, one has

$$egin{aligned} Y_{\mathcal{N}}(x) &= \log |\det(xI - \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{rac{1}{k}} \mathcal{T}_k(x) \mathrm{Tr} \left[rac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \mathcal{T}_k(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}})
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Johansson '98)

After centering, the random variables $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}T_k(H_N)\right]$ converge jointly in law to i.i.d. standard Gaussians for $k \leq K$ fixed.

Fyodorov, Khoruzhenko, and Simm '13: $Y_N(x) - \mathbb{E}Y_N(x) \xrightarrow{d} Y(x)$ (in a suitable space).

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble

Let $W_N \sim \text{Ginibre}(N)$ (with a suitable normalization). For |z| < 1, on the event that $\sigma(W_N) \subset \mathbb{U} := \{|w| < 1\}$,

$$egin{aligned} Z_{\mathcal{N}}(z) &= \log |\det(zI - W_{\mathcal{N}})| \ &= -2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \phi_k(z) \mathrm{Tr} rac{\phi_k(W_{\mathcal{N}})}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} - \mathrm{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{k} \overline{z}^k \mathrm{Tr} W_{\mathcal{N}}^k. \end{aligned}$$



9/24

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble

Theorem (Rider and Virág '06)

After centering, $Trf(W_N)$ converges in law to $N(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k| |\widehat{f}(k)|^2.$$

<ロト < @ ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の < で 10/24

Characteristic polynomial of the Ginibre ensemble

Theorem (Rider and Virág '06)

After centering, $Trf(W_N)$ converges in law to $N(0, \sigma^2)$,

$$\sigma^2 = rac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{U}}|
abla f|^2 + rac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|k||\widehat{f}(k)|^2.$$

From this, after centering, Z_N converges in law (in a suitable space) to Z with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\widetilde{Z}(z)\widetilde{Z}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}\log|z-w|.$$

□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

The eigenvalue counting function for the GUE

For $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (normalized as before) and

$$\widetilde{X}_N(x) = Im \log \det(xI - H_N) = \pi \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}(x < \lambda_j).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

11/24

The eigenvalue counting function for the GUE

For $H_N \sim GUE(N)$ (normalized as before) and

$$\widetilde{X}_N(x) = Im \log \det(xI - H_N) = \pi \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{1}(x < \lambda_j).$$

As

$$\int \widetilde{X}_N(x) f'(x) dx = \pi \sum_{j=1}^N f(\lambda_j)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

11/24

the CLT implies again that after centering, in the bulk of the spectrum, \widetilde{X}_N converges to a log-correlated field \widetilde{X} .

• Idea: look at $\lambda_j - \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$ "globally".

- Idea: look at $\lambda_j \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$ "globally".
- Let γ_j be the classical locations: for $\sigma(y) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-y^2}$

$$\int_{-1}^{\gamma_j} \sigma(x) dx = \frac{j}{N}$$

- Idea: look at $\lambda_j \mathbb{E}\lambda_j$ "globally".
- Let γ_j be the classical locations: for $\sigma(y) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-y^2}$

$$\int_{-1}^{\gamma_j} \sigma(x) dx = \frac{j}{N}.$$

• Then define the "fluctuation field": for $x \in (\gamma_{j-1}, \gamma_j]$,

$$\widetilde{Y}_{N}(x) = N\sigma(\gamma_{j}) \left[\lambda_{j} - N \int_{\gamma_{j-1}}^{\gamma_{j}} y\sigma(y) dy
ight].$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ < つ < ↔ ↔ ↓ 2/24</p>



< □ > < @ > < 볼 > < 볼 > 볼 · ♡ < ♡ 13/24

One can then show that

$$\int \widetilde{Y}_N(x)f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N [f(\lambda_j) - \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_j)] + error,$$

which implies $Y_N \to c\widetilde{X}$ in a suitable sense (for some constant c > 0).

One can then show that

$$\int \widetilde{Y}_{N}(x)f'(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} [f(\lambda_{j}) - \mathbb{E}f(\lambda_{j})] + error,$$

which implies $Y_N \to c\tilde{X}$ in a suitable sense (for some constant c > 0). Moral of the story: CLTs equivalent to log-correlated fields describing global fluctuations.

Log-correlated fields relevant to Liouville quantum gravity and the construction of SLE type curves through random measures of the form $e^{X(x)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}X(x)^2}dx$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

15/24

Log-correlated fields relevant to Liouville quantum gravity and the construction of SLE type curves through random measures of the form $e^{X(x)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}X(x)^2}dx$.

Rigorously defined through a limiting procedure.

Log-correlated fields relevant to Liouville quantum gravity and the construction of SLE type curves through random measures of the form $e^{X(x)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}X(x)^2}dx$.

Rigorously defined through a limiting procedure.

Theorem (W '14, Berestycki, W, Wong '16)

For small enough $\gamma > 0$, $\frac{e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}d\theta$ (CUE) and $\frac{e^{\gamma Y_{N}(x)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma Y_{N}(x)}}dx$ (GUE) converge to chaos measures.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

15/24

Log-correlated fields relevant to Liouville quantum gravity and the construction of SLE type curves through random measures of the form $e^{X(x)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}X(x)^2}dx$.

Rigorously defined through a limiting procedure.

Theorem (W '14, Berestycki, W, Wong '16)

For small enough $\gamma > 0$, $\frac{e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma X_{N}(\theta)}}d\theta$ (CUE) and $\frac{e^{\gamma Y_{N}(x)}}{\mathbb{E}e^{\gamma Y_{N}(x)}}dx$ (GUE) converge to chaos measures.

Proof through RHP estimates (due to Deift, Its, and Kraosvsky; and others).





 CLTs proven in great generality and with different methods for one-cut regular β-ensembles - even in the discrete case (Diaconis and Shahshahani; Johansson; Pastur; Shcherbina; Rider and Virág; Ameur, Hedenmalm, and Makarov; Borot and Guionnet; Dumitriu and Paquette; Döbler and Stolz; Forrester and Witte; Jiang and Matsumoto; Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet,...).

- CLTs proven in great generality and with different methods for one-cut regular β-ensembles - even in the discrete case (Diaconis and Shahshahani; Johansson; Pastur; Shcherbina; Rider and Virág; Ameur, Hedenmalm, and Makarov; Borot and Guionnet; Dumitriu and Paquette; Döbler and Stolz; Forrester and Witte; Jiang and Matsumoto; Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet,...).
- Log-correlated objects appearing generically in β -ensembles (though 2d for $\beta \neq 2$ open?).

- CLTs proven in great generality and with different methods for one-cut regular β-ensembles - even in the discrete case (Diaconis and Shahshahani; Johansson; Pastur; Shcherbina; Rider and Virág; Ameur, Hedenmalm, and Makarov; Borot and Guionnet; Dumitriu and Paquette; Döbler and Stolz; Forrester and Witte; Jiang and Matsumoto; Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet,...).
- Log-correlated objects appearing generically in β -ensembles (though 2d for $\beta \neq 2$ open?).
- Chaos measures and behavior of the maximum of the fields universal?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

17/24

- CLTs proven in great generality and with different methods for one-cut regular β-ensembles - even in the discrete case (Diaconis and Shahshahani; Johansson; Pastur; Shcherbina; Rider and Virág; Ameur, Hedenmalm, and Makarov; Borot and Guionnet; Dumitriu and Paquette; Döbler and Stolz; Forrester and Witte; Jiang and Matsumoto; Borodin, Gorin, and Guionnet,...).
- Log-correlated objects appearing generically in β -ensembles (though 2d for $\beta \neq 2$ open?).
- Chaos measures and behavior of the maximum of the fields universal?
- I can't prove it, but the following came out of an attempt.

• Assume that $W \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and W' is a "small perturbation" of this preserving the law: $W' \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\mathbb{E}(WW') = \sigma^2(1 - \epsilon)$.

- Assume that W ~ N(0, σ²) and W' is a "small perturbation" of this preserving the law: W' ~ N(0, σ²) and E(WW') = σ²(1 − ε).
- Then one has

$$\mathbb{E}(W' - W|W) = -\epsilon W \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2 | W] = 2\epsilon\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$
(2)

$$\mathbb{E}|W' - W|^3 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2}) \tag{3}$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ りへぐ

18/24

- Assume that W ~ N(0, σ²) and W' is a "small perturbation" of this preserving the law: W' ~ N(0, σ²) and E(WW') = σ²(1 − ε).
- Then one has

$$\mathbb{E}(W' - W|W) = -\epsilon W \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)^2 | W] = 2\epsilon\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$
⁽²⁾

$$\mathbb{E}|W' - W|^3 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2}) \tag{3}$$

• Perhaps if this nearly holds, W is nearly Gaussian?

Theorem (Meckes '09;Döbler and Stolz '11)

Assume: $(W, W_t) \in \mathbb{C}^{2d}$ exchangeable, $Z \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, I_{d \times d})$, \exists deterministic $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ positive definite, and random $R \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $S, T \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$:

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}(W_t - W | W) = -\Lambda W + R \tag{1}$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}((W_t - W)(W_t - W)^* | W) = 2\Lambda \Sigma + S$$
⁽²⁾

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}((W_t - W)(W_t - W)^T | W) = T$$
(2')

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} |W_t - W|^3 = 0.$$
(3)

 $\Rightarrow d(W, \sqrt{\Sigma}Z) \lesssim ||\Lambda^{-1}||_{op} \big[\mathbb{E}||R||_2 + ||\Sigma^{-1/2}||_{op} \mathbb{E}(||S||_{HS} + ||T||_{HS})\big].$

A CLT for the $\mathrm{C}\beta\mathrm{E}$

• Fulman '10: apply to linear statistics of the CUE (and other classical groups) with W_t coming from heat kernel dynamics on the group.

A CLT for the $\mathrm{C}\beta\mathrm{E}$

- Fulman '10: apply to linear statistics of the CUE (and other classical groups) with W_t coming from heat kernel dynamics on the group.
- Döbler and Stolz '11: multivariate generalization.

A CLT for the $\mathrm{C}\beta\mathrm{E}$

- Fulman '10: apply to linear statistics of the CUE (and other classical groups) with W_t coming from heat kernel dynamics on the group.
- Döbler and Stolz '11: multivariate generalization.

Theorem (W '15)
Let
$$(e^{ix_j})_{j=1}^N \sim C\beta E(N)$$
 ($\beta > 0$), $T_K = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikx_j}\right)_{k=1}^K$, and
 $G_K = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}j}Z_j\right)_{j=1}^K$ ($Z_j \sim N_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ i.i.d.). Then
 $d(T_K, G_K) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{K^{7/2}}{N}\right).$

<ロト < @ ト < 臣 > < 臣 > 臣 の < @ 20/24

Remarks

- Gives a (far from optimal?) rate of convergence for the CLT.
- K can increase with N!
- Implies CLTs for smooth functions through Fourier expanding.
- For the proof, only need to estimate (mixed) moments up to order 4.
- Not strong enough to estimate maximum of X_N : would need to have $K \sim N$ for this.

• Take
$$W = T_K$$
, and $W_t = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikx_j(t)}\right)_{k=1}^K$, where
$$dx_j(t) = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \cot \frac{x_j(t) - x_i(t)}{2} dt + \sqrt{2} dB_j(t)$$

(circular DBM) started from (x_j) .

• Take
$$W = T_K$$
, and $W_t = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikx_j(t)}\right)_{k=1}^K$, where
 $dx_j(t) = rac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \cot rac{x_j(t) - x_i(t)}{2} dt + \sqrt{2} dB_j(t)$

(circular DBM) started from (x_j) .

• (W, W_t) exchangeable as C β E is reversible for the dynamics.

• Take
$$W = T_K$$
, and $W_t = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikx_j(t)}\right)_{k=1}^K$, where
 $dx_j(t) = \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \cot \frac{x_j(t) - x_i(t)}{2} dt + \sqrt{2} dB_j(t)$

(circular DBM) started from (x_j) .

- (W, W_t) exchangeable as C β E is reversible for the dynamics.
- Limits of the conditional expectations can be expressed in terms of the generator of cDBM

$$L_{eta} = rac{eta}{2} \sum_{j} \sum_{i
eq j} \cot rac{x_j - x_i}{2} \partial_{x_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N \partial_{x_j}^2$$

acting on power sums $p_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{ikx_j}$ (and their products).

e.g.
$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}(W_t-W|W)=(L_\beta p_k(x))_{k=1}^K.$$

・ロト < 部 ト < 主 ト く 主 ト 主 の < で 23/24
</p>

e.g.
$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t}\mathbb{E}(W_t-W|W)=(L_\beta p_k(x))_{k=1}^K.$$

Doing the calculations:

$$\Lambda_{k,l} = \delta_{k,l} N k \frac{\beta}{2}$$

$$\Sigma_{k,l} = \frac{2}{\beta} k \delta_{k,l}$$
(1)
(2)

$$R_{k} = -k^{2} \left[\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right] p_{k}(x) - k \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} p_{l}(x) p_{k-l}(x)$$
(3)

$$S_{k,l} = (1 - \delta_{k,l}) 2k l p_{k-l}(x)$$
(4)

$$\mathcal{T}_{k,l} = -2klp_{k+l}(x). \tag{5}$$

- One concludes by bounding relevant moments.
- Best ones I know of (for the $C\beta E$): Jiang and Matsumoto '11.
- Weaker ones would suffice if you're happy with weaker K.
- Approach should work for other models too (works at least for the Gaussian β -ensemble).