Transitions through avoided crossings in diatomic molecules

 $\frac{\text{Benjamin Goddard}^{[1]}}{\text{Volker Betz}^{[2]} \text{ and Stefan Teufel}^{[3]}}$

^[1]Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London
 ^[2]Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt
 ^[3]Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen

Workshop on Mathematical Methods in Quantum Molecular Dynamics 3rd May 2013





European Research Council













Questions and some answers

Assume initial wavefunction lies in upper adiabatic subspace.

- How large is the transition probability into the lower adiabatic subspace?
- **2** What is the precise form of the transmitted wavefunction?

Questions and some answers

Assume initial wavefunction lies in upper adiabatic subspace.

- How large is the transition probability into the lower adiabatic subspace?
- **2** What is the precise form of the transmitted wavefunction?
 - $\bullet\,$ Transitions between components of $\psi_{\rm a}$ are order ε globally.
 - Usually exponentially small in the scattering regime.
 - Under suitable assumptions, there exist unitaries U_n such that the components of the corresponding ψ_n decouple up to errors of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{n+1})$. This is the *n*-th superadiabatic representation.
 - Optimizing over n allows decoupling up to exponentially small (in ε) errors.
 - If V becomes constant sufficiently quickly for $|x| \to \infty$, U_n agrees with U_0 up to errors involving the derivative of V.

Two-level system with one degree of freedom:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x,t)\\ \psi_2(x,t) \end{pmatrix} &= \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + V(x) + d(x)\mathbf{I}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x,t)\\ \psi_2(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with} \\ V(x) &= \rho(x) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta(x) & \sin\theta(x)\\ \sin\theta(x) & -\cos\theta(x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

I is the 2 × 2 unit matrix, x is the nuclear position, $\varepsilon > 0$ is the square root of the mass ratio, and $\psi \in L^2(\mathrm{d}x, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Two-level system with one degree of freedom:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x,t)\\ \psi_2(x,t) \end{pmatrix} &= \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + V(x) + d(x)\mathbf{I}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x,t)\\ \psi_2(x,t) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with} \\ V(x) &= \rho(x) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta(x) & \sin\theta(x)\\ \sin\theta(x) & -\cos\theta(x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

I is the 2 × 2 unit matrix, x is the nuclear position, $\varepsilon > 0$ is the square root of the mass ratio, and $\psi \in L^2(\mathrm{d}x, \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Corresponds to an avoided crossing with gap at least 2δ .

The time scale ensures that the nuclei move a distance of order one in a time of order one.

Adiabatic representation

For

$$U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \\ \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & -\cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t) = U_0(x)\psi(x,t),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t) = H_0\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t), \text{ with} \\ H_0 = U_0HU_0^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} & -\varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) - \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} \\ \varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} & -\rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

For

$$U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \\ \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & -\cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t) = U_0(x)\psi(x,t),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t) = H_0\psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t), \text{ with} \\ H_0 = U_0HU_0^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} & -\varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) - \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} \\ \varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} & -\rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

To leading order, the dynamics decouple: Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

For

$$U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \\ \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & -\cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t) = U_0(x)\psi(x,t),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t) = H_0\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t), \text{ with} \\ H_0 = U_0HU_0^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} & -\varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) - \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} \\ \varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} & -\rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

To leading order, the dynamics decouple: Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

Couplings given to first order by the first off-diagonal terms, since semiclassical wavefunctions oscillate with frequency $1/\varepsilon$.

For

$$U_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \\ \sin\left(\theta(x)/2\right) & -\cos\left(\theta(x)/2\right) \end{pmatrix}, \ \psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x,t) = U_0(x)\psi(x,t),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\mathrm{i}\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t) = H_0\psi_{\mathrm{a}}(x,t), \text{ with} \\ H_0 = U_0HU_0^* = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2\mathbf{I} + \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} & -\varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) - \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} \\ \varepsilon\frac{\theta'(x)}{2} \cdot (\varepsilon\partial_x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta''(x)}{4} & -\rho(x) + d(x) + \varepsilon^2\frac{\theta'(x)^2}{8} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

To leading order, the dynamics decouple: Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

Couplings given to first order by the first off-diagonal terms, since semiclassical wavefunctions oscillate with frequency $1/\varepsilon$.

$$\theta'(x) = \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{x - \mathrm{i}q_c} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{x + \mathrm{i}q_c} + \theta_r(x), \qquad \tau_\delta = 2\int_0^{q_c} \rho(z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Superadiabatic representations

To leading order in $\varepsilon,$

$$i\varepsilon\partial_t\psi_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2 + \rho(x) + d(x) & \varepsilon^{n+1}K_{n+1}^+ \\ \varepsilon^{n+1}K_{n+1}^- & -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\partial_x^2 - \rho(x) + d(x) \end{pmatrix}\psi_n.$$

Coupling elements K_n given by a complicated recursion. Hence

$$\psi_{-,n}(x,t) = -\mathrm{i}\varepsilon^n \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}(t-s)H^-} K_{n+1}^- \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}sH^+} \psi_{+,0} \right)(x) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

or in Fourier space

$$\widehat{\psi_{-,n}}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) = -\varepsilon^n \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^t \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}(t-s)\hat{H}^-} J_{n+1}^- \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}s\hat{H}^+} \widehat{\psi_{+,0}}^{\varepsilon} \right)(k) \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Notation:
$$\hat{f}^{\varepsilon}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2i\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}kq} f(q) dq = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \hat{f}(\frac{k}{\varepsilon}).$$

Integral Formulation

For ϕ on upper level, well away from the crossing, the transmitted wave packet in the *n*-th superadiabatic basis ψ_n^- satisfies

$$\widehat{\psi_n}^{-\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-(k)} \int_{-\infty}^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\eta \, (k+\eta) (1-\frac{2\lambda s}{k+\eta})^{n+1} \left(\frac{k^2-\eta^2}{4\delta}\right)^n \\ \times e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\varepsilon}|k-\eta|} e^{-\frac{i\tau_c}{2\delta\varepsilon}(k-\eta)} e^{\frac{i}{2\varepsilon}\left((k^2-\eta^2-4\delta)s-(k-\eta)\lambda s^2\right)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta),$$

where $\hat{H}^{-}(k)$ is the B-O propagator in the lower level, $\tau_{\delta} =: \tau_r + i\tau_c$ and $d(x) = d_0 + \lambda x + \mathcal{O}(x^2)$.

Idea: The integrand is quickly oscillating so we use a stationary phase argument around s = 0, $\eta = \eta^* = \sqrt{k^2 - 4\delta}$.

Plausible assumptions on the width of the wavepacket $(\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1/2}))$ lead to explicit Gaussian integrals.

Main Result for Gaussian wave packets

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{For } \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta) = \exp\big(-c(\eta - p_{0})^{2}/\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}x_{0}\eta/\varepsilon\big), \\ & \widehat{\psi_{n}^{-\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^{-}}}{2\sqrt{4\alpha_{2,0}\alpha_{0,2} - \alpha_{1,1}^{2}}} \exp\Big[\frac{\alpha_{2,0}\alpha_{0,1}^{2} + \alpha_{0,2}\alpha_{1,0}^{2} - \alpha_{1,0}\alpha_{0,1}\alpha_{1,1}}{\alpha_{1,1}^{2} - 4\alpha_{2,0}\alpha_{0,2}}\Big] \\ & \times (\eta^{*} + k) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\tau_{c}}{2\delta\epsilon}|k - \eta^{*}|} \,\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\tau_{r}}{2\delta\epsilon}(k - \eta^{*})} \,\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi(p_{0})}\,\widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^{*})\chi_{k^{2} > 4\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{2,0} &= -\frac{n_0\varepsilon}{4\delta} - \frac{n_0\eta^{*2}\varepsilon}{8\delta^2} - c \\ \alpha_{1,0} &= -\frac{n_0\eta^{*}\varepsilon^{1/2}}{2\delta} - \frac{2c(\eta^{*}-p_0)}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(k)\tau_c}{2\delta\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \mathrm{i}\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \mathrm{i}\frac{x_0}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \\ \alpha_{1,1} &= -\mathrm{i}\eta^{*} + \frac{2(n_0+1)\lambda\varepsilon}{(k+\eta^{*})^2} \\ \alpha_{0,1} &= -\frac{2(n_0+1)\varepsilon^{1/2}\lambda}{k+\eta^{*}} \\ \alpha_{0,2} &= -\mathrm{i}\frac{2\delta\lambda}{(k+\eta^{*})} - \frac{2(n_0+1)\lambda^2\varepsilon}{(k+\eta^{*})^2} \\ \varphi(p_0) &= -\frac{(n_0+1)^2\varepsilon\lambda_0\delta}{2(n_0+1)^2\lambda^2\varepsilon^2 + 2\delta^2a_0^2} - \frac{1}{2}\arctan\left(\frac{a_0\delta}{(n_0+1)\varepsilon\lambda}\right) + \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda p_0)\frac{\pi}{4} \\ \text{with } n_0 \text{ given by the solution of three quadratic equations.} \end{split}$$

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|k-\eta^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k-\eta^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta\epsilon}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|k-\eta^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k-\eta^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta\epsilon}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.
- $\eta^* = \operatorname{sgn}(k)\sqrt{k^2 4\delta}$ is the classical incoming momentum for outgoing momentum k due to energy conservation.

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|k-\eta^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k-\eta^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.
- $\eta^* = \operatorname{sgn}(k)\sqrt{k^2 4\delta}$ is the classical incoming momentum for outgoing momentum k due to energy conservation.
- $\chi_{k^2>4\delta}$ is also from energy conservation

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|k-\eta^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k-\eta^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta\epsilon}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.
- $\eta^* = \operatorname{sgn}(k)\sqrt{k^2 4\delta}$ is the classical incoming momentum for outgoing momentum k due to energy conservation.
- $\chi_{k^2 > 4\delta}$ is also from energy conservation
- The complex part of τ contributes a Landau-Zener factor, causing the exponential smallness in ε .

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|\mathbf{k} - \boldsymbol{\eta}^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k - \boldsymbol{\eta}^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\eta}^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta\epsilon}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.
- $\eta^* = \operatorname{sgn}(k)\sqrt{k^2 4\delta}$ is the classical incoming momentum for outgoing momentum k due to energy conservation.
- $\chi_{k^2 > 4\delta}$ is also from energy conservation
- The complex part of τ contributes a Landau-Zener factor, causing the exponential smallness in ε .
- $k \sqrt{k^2 4\delta} \approx 2\delta/k^2$, so larger momentum wavepackets are more likely to make the transition.

For any semiclassical ϕ

 $\widehat{\psi_n}^{\varepsilon}(k,t) \approx e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}t\hat{H}^-} \frac{\eta^* + k}{2} e^{-\frac{\tau_c}{2\delta\epsilon}|k-\eta^*|} e^{-i\frac{\tau_r}{2\delta\epsilon}(k-\eta^*)} \widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta^*) \chi_{k^2 > 4\delta\epsilon}$

- Independent of n, uses only local information.
- Nonadiabatic transitions decouple in momentum space.
- $\eta^* = \operatorname{sgn}(k)\sqrt{k^2 4\delta}$ is the classical incoming momentum for outgoing momentum k due to energy conservation.
- $\chi_{k^2 > 4\delta}$ is also from energy conservation
- The complex part of τ contributes a Landau-Zener factor, causing the exponential smallness in ε .
- $k \sqrt{k^2 4\delta} \approx 2\delta/k^2$, so larger momentum wavepackets are more likely to make the transition.
- For large momentum, small momentum uncertainty, gives Landau-Zener transition probability.

Algorithm

- Evolve initial wave packet on upper level using B-O dynamics until centre of mass reaches the transition point.
 [E.g. Strang splitting or Hagedorn wavepackets.]
- Transform resulting wave packet into momentum space and decompose into a linear combination of complex Gaussians.
 [For initial Gaussian, is Gaussian with error order ε^{1/2}. Not required if λ small.]
- Apply formula to each complex Gaussian and take the corresponding linear combination.
- Evolve resulting transmitted wave packet using B-O dynamics on lower level, until the centre of mass reaches the scattering region.
 [As in (1)].

Numerics 1: Gaussian Wavepacket

 $\hat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta) = \exp\left(-c(\eta - p_0)^2/\varepsilon + ix_0\eta/\varepsilon\right)$ $\varepsilon = 1/40; \ p_0 = 4; \ c = 1/2; \ x_0 = 0; \ \tau = -0.15992 + 0.52951i$



Numerics 2: Non-Gaussian Wavepacket



Numerics 3: Gaussian Wavepacket, small ε

$$\widehat{\phi}^{\varepsilon}(\eta) = \exp\left(-c(\eta - p_0)^2/\varepsilon + \mathrm{i}x_0\eta/\varepsilon\right)$$

 $\varepsilon = 1/500; \ p_0 = 3; \ c = 1/2; \ x_0 = 0; \ \tau = -0.02331 + 0.11040i$



Asymptotics for fixed p



- Excellent agreement for wide range of ε .
- Not asymptotically correct for fixed *p*.
- However, small ε and fixed p gives very small transition probability (e.g. $p_0 = 2$, $\varepsilon = 1/50$ gives $\|\psi_-\|_2^2 \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$).
- Actual error much better than we can prove with *a priori* estimates.

We have derived a closed-form approximation to the transmitted wavefunction, which is accurate for a large range of potentials and values of ε .

- Understand the heuristic phase correction and physical interpretation of the results.
- Apply the method to real-life systems.
- Extend the result to higher dimensions (work in progress).
- (Related) Understand the asymptotics of K_n^- .
- Prove rigorous error estimates.