

Strong approximation for the empirical process in the dependent setting

Florence Merlevède, LAMA, Université Paris-Est-Marne-La-Vallée

(joint work with J. Dedecker and E. Rio)

Hight Dimensional Probability meeting, Banff, October 2011

Introduction

- Let $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of real-valued random variables with common distribution function F . Define the empirical process of X by

$$R_X(s, t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq t} (\mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s} - F(s)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Introduction

- Let $X = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of real-valued random variables with common distribution function F . Define the empirical process of X by

$$R_X(s, t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq t} (\mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s} - F(s)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

- For iid r.v.'s X_i with uniform distribution over $[0, 1]$, Komlós, Major and Tusnády (1975) constructed a continuous centered Gaussian process K_X with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}(K_X(s, t)K_X(s', t')) = (t \wedge t')(s \wedge s' - ss')$$

in such a way that

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1]} |R_X(s, [nt]) - K_X(s, [nt])| = O(\log^2 n) \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Previous results in the dependent case

- Berkes and Philipp (1977)- Yoshihara (1979): If $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 3$, and if F is continuous, there exists a Gaussian process, K_X , continuous such that

$$(*) \quad \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0,1]} |R_X(s, [nt]) - K_X(s, [nt])| = O(\sqrt{n}(\ln(n))^{-\lambda}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. The covariance function Γ_X of K_X is given by $\Gamma_X(s, s', t, t') = \min(t, t')\Lambda_X(s, s')$ where

$$\Lambda_X(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s}).$$

Previous results in the dependent case

- Berkes and Philipp (1977)- Yoshihara (1979): If $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 3$, and if F is continuous, there exists a Gaussian process, K_X , continuous such that

$$(*) \quad \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0,1]} |R_X(s, [nt]) - K_X(s, [nt])| = O(\sqrt{n}(\ln(n))^{-\lambda}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. The covariance function Γ_X of K_X is given by $\Gamma_X(s, s', t, t') = \min(t, t')\Lambda_X(s, s')$ where

$$\Lambda_X(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s}).$$

- Rio (2000): if $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 1$, $\{n^{-1/2}R_X(s, n), s \in \mathbf{R}\}$ converges weakly to G in $D(\mathbb{R})$.

Previous results in the dependent case

- Berkes and Philipp (1977)- Yoshihara (1979): If $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 3$, and if F is continuous, there exists a Gaussian process, K_X , continuous such that

$$(*) \quad \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0,1]} |R_X(s, [nt]) - K_X(s, [nt])| = O(\sqrt{n}(\ln(n))^{-\lambda}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. The covariance function Γ_X of K_X is given by $\Gamma_X(s, s', t, t') = \min(t, t')\Lambda_X(s, s')$ where

$$\Lambda_X(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s}).$$

- Rio (2000): if $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 1$, $\{n^{-1/2}R_X(s, n), s \in \mathbf{R}\}$ converges weakly to G in $D(\mathbb{R})$.
- Berkes, Hörmann and Shauer (2009): They obtained (*) under a S -mixing condition well adapted to function of iid sequences.

Dependence coefficients.

- We define (here $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \leq 0)$)

$$b(X_0, k) = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t | X_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t)|$$

$$b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j) = \sup_{(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s | \mathcal{F}_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s)|$$

$$\beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k) = \mathbb{E}(b(X_0, k)) \text{ et } \beta_{2,Y}(k) = \sup_{i \geq j \geq k} \mathbb{E}(b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j)).$$

Dependence coefficients.

- We define (here $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \leq 0)$)

$$b(X_0, k) = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t | X_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t)|$$

$$b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j) = \sup_{(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s | \mathcal{F}_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s)|$$

$$\beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k) = \mathbb{E}(b(X_0, k)) \text{ et } \beta_{2,Y}(k) = \sup_{i \geq j \geq k} \mathbb{E}(b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j)).$$

- **Dedecker (2010):** If $\beta_{2,Y}(k) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 1$,
 $\{n^{-1/2}R_X(s, n), s \in \mathbf{R}\} \Rightarrow G$ in $D(\mathbf{R})$.

Dependence coefficients.

- We define (here $\mathcal{F}_0 = \sigma(X_i, i \leq 0)$)

$$b(X_0, k) = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t | X_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_k \leq t)|$$

$$b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j) = \sup_{(s, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2} |\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s | \mathcal{F}_0) - \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t, X_j \leq s)|$$

$$\beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k) = \mathbb{E}(b(X_0, k)) \text{ et } \beta_{2,Y}(k) = \sup_{i \geq j \geq k} \mathbb{E}(b(\mathcal{F}_0, i, j)).$$

- Dedecker (2010): If $\beta_{2,Y}(k) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 1$, $\{n^{-1/2}R_X(s, n), s \in \mathbf{R}\} \Rightarrow G$ in $D(\mathbf{R})$.
- Is it possible to obtain a strong approximation result under the condition: $\beta_{2,Y}(k) = O(n^{-a})$ for some $a > 1$?

Strong approximation result

- *Theorem* : If $\beta_{2,X}(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then

Strong approximation result

- *Theorem* : If $\beta_{2,X}(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then
 1. For all $(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the following series converges absolutely

$$\Lambda_X(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s})$$

Strong approximation result

- *Theorem* : If $\beta_{2,X}(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then
 1. For all $(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the following series converges absolutely

$$\Lambda_X(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{X_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{X_k \leq s})$$

2. Let $\Gamma_X(s, s', t, t') = \min(t, t')\Lambda_X(s, s')$. There exists a centered Gaussian process K_X with covariance function Γ_X , whose sample paths are almost surely uniformly continuous with respect to the pseudo metric

$$d((s, t), (s', t')) = |F(s) - F(s')| + |t - t'|,$$

and such that for $\varepsilon = \delta^2 / (22(\delta + 2)^2)$,

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1]} |R_X(s, [nt]) - K_X(s, [nt])| = O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon}) \quad \text{almost surely,}$$

Sketch of proof (1)

- Let P^* the probability on \mathbb{R} whose density wrt P (law of X_0) is

$$\frac{1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b(x, k)}{C(\beta)} \text{ with } C(\beta) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k).$$

Sketch of proof (1)

- Let P^* the probability on \mathbb{R} whose density wrt P (law of X_0) is

$$\frac{1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b(x, k)}{C(\beta)} \text{ with } C(\beta) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k).$$

- Let $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of iid r.v. $\sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ independent of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$

Sketch of proof (1)

- Let P^* the probability on \mathbb{R} whose density wrt P (law of X_0) is

$$\frac{1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b(x, k)}{C(\beta)} \text{ with } C(\beta) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k).$$

- Let $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of iid r.v. $\sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ independent of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$
- $Y_i = F_{P^*}(X_i - 0) + \eta_i(F_{P^*}(X_i) - F_{P^*}(X_i - 0))$ so that $F_{P^*}^{-1}(Y_i) = X_i$ almost surely

Sketch of proof (1)

- Let P^* the probability on \mathbb{R} whose density wrt P (law of X_0) is

$$\frac{1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b(x, k)}{C(\beta)} \text{ with } C(\beta) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k).$$

- Let $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of iid r.v. $\sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ independent of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$
- $Y_i = F_{P^*}(X_i - 0) + \eta_i(F_{P^*}(X_i) - F_{P^*}(X_i - 0))$ so that $F_{P^*}^{-1}(Y_i) = X_i$ almost surely
- Then we have $R_X(\cdot, \cdot) = R_Y(F_{P^*}(\cdot), \cdot)$ and it suffices to study

$$R_Y(s, t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq t} (\mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s} - F_Y(s)), \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Sketch of proof (1)

- Let P^* the probability on \mathbb{R} whose density wrt P (law of X_0) is

$$\frac{1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b(x, k)}{C(\beta)} \text{ with } C(\beta) = 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\sigma(X_0), X_k).$$

- Let $(\eta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence of iid r.v. $\sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ independent of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$
- $Y_i = F_{P^*}(X_i - 0) + \eta_i(F_{P^*}(X_i) - F_{P^*}(X_i - 0))$ so that $F_{P^*}^{-1}(Y_i) = X_i$ almost surely
- Then we have $R_X(\cdot, \cdot) = R_Y(F_{P^*}(\cdot), \cdot)$ and it suffices to study

$$R_Y(s, t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq t} (\mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s} - F_Y(s)), \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

- $\text{Var}(K_Y(u, n) - K_Y(v, n)) \leq C(\beta)n|u - v|$

Sketch of proof (2)

- Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{N+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |R(s, k) - K(s, k)| \\ & \leq \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |R(s, 1) - K(s, 1)| + \sum_{L=0}^N D_L . \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_L := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |(R(s, \ell) - R(s, 2^L)) - (K(s, \ell) - K(s, 2^L))| .$$

Sketch of proof (2)

- Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{1 \leq k \leq 2^{N+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |R(s, k) - K(s, k)| \\ & \leq \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |R(s, 1) - K(s, 1)| + \sum_{L=0}^N D_L . \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_L := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |(R(s, \ell) - R(s, 2^L)) - (K(s, \ell) - K(s, 2^L))| .$$

- It suffices to prove that for any $L \in \{0, \dots, N\}$,

$$D_L = O(2^{L(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)}) \text{ a.s.}$$

Sketch of proof (3)

- For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in [0, 1]$, let $\Pi_K(s) = 2^{-K} \lceil 2^K s \rceil$.

Sketch of proof (3)

- For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in [0, 1]$, let $\Pi_K(s) = 2^{-K} \lfloor 2^K s \rfloor$.
- Let $r(L)$ be an increasing sequence of integers

Sketch of proof (3)

- For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in [0, 1]$, let $\Pi_K(s) = 2^{-K} \lceil 2^K s \rceil$.
- Let $r(L)$ be an increasing sequence of integers
- We have to take care of the quantities

$$D_{L,1} := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |(R(s, \ell) - R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell)) - (R(s, 2^L) - R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L))|$$

$$D_{L,2} := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |(K(s, \ell) - K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell)) - (K(s, 2^L) - K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L))|$$

and

$$D_{L,3} := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |(R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L)) - (K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L))|$$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (1).

- Let $m(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(L) \leq L$ and set $s_j = j2^{-r(L)}$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (1).

- Let $m(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(L) \leq L$ and set $s_j = j2^{-r(L)}$
- For any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 2^{L-m(L)}\}$,

$$I_{L,\ell} =]2^L + (\ell-1)2^{m(L)}, 2^L + \ell 2^{m(L)}] \cap \mathbb{N}; \quad U_{L,\ell}^{(j)} = \sum_{i \in I_{L,\ell}} (\mathbf{1}_{Y_i \leq s_j} - F_Y(s_j)).$$

$$\text{and } U_{L,\ell} = (U_{L,\ell}^{(1)}, \dots, U_{L,\ell}^{(2^{r(L)})})^T$$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (1).

- Let $m(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(L) \leq L$ and set $s_j = j2^{-r(L)}$
- For any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 2^{L-m(L)}\}$,

$$I_{L,\ell} =]2^L + (\ell-1)2^{m(L)}, 2^L + \ell 2^{m(L)}] \cap \mathbb{N}; \quad U_{L,\ell}^{(j)} = \sum_{i \in I_{L,\ell}} (\mathbf{1}_{Y_i \leq s_j} - F_Y(s_j)).$$

and $U_{L,\ell} = (U_{L,\ell}^{(1)}, \dots, U_{L,\ell}^{(2^{r(L)})})^T$

- Let $\Lambda_{Y,L} = (\Lambda_Y(s_j, s_{j'}))_{j,j'=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}}$ where

$$\Lambda_Y(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{Y_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{Y_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s})$$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (1).

- Let $m(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(L) \leq L$ and set $s_j = j2^{-r(L)}$
- For any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 2^{L-m(L)}\}$,

$$I_{L,\ell} =]2^L + (\ell-1)2^{m(L)}, 2^L + \ell 2^{m(L)}] \cap \mathbb{N}; \quad U_{L,\ell}^{(j)} = \sum_{i \in I_{L,\ell}} (\mathbf{1}_{Y_i \leq s_j} - F_Y(s_j)).$$

and $U_{L,\ell} = (U_{L,\ell}^{(1)}, \dots, U_{L,\ell}^{(2^{r(L)})})^T$

- Let $\Lambda_{Y,L} = (\Lambda_Y(s_j, s_{j'}))_{j,j'=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}}$ where

$$\Lambda_Y(s, s') = \sum_{k \geq 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{Y_0 \leq s}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s'}) + \sum_{k > 0} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{Y_0 \leq s'}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_k \leq s})$$

- Let

$$d_{r(L)}(x, y) = \sup_{j=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}} |x^{(j)} - y^{(j)}|.$$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (2).

- According to Rüschemdorf (1985), there exists a random variable $V_{L,\ell} = (V_{L,\ell}^{(j)})_{j=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}}$ with law $\mathcal{N}(0, 2^{m(L)} \Lambda_{Y,L})$ - that is measurable wrt $\sigma(\delta_{2^{L+\ell}2^{m(L)}}) \vee \sigma(U_{L,\ell}) \vee \mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}$, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,\ell}, V_{L,\ell})) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)})} \left(\mathbb{E}(f(U_{L,\ell}) | \mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}) - \mathbb{E}(f(V_{L,\ell})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (2).

- According to Rüschemdorf (1985), there exists a random variable $V_{L,\ell} = (V_{L,\ell}^{(j)})_{j=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}}$ with law $\mathcal{N}(0, 2^{m(L)} \Lambda_{Y,L})$ - that is measurable wrt $\sigma(\delta_{2^{L+\ell}2^{m(L)}}) \vee \sigma(U_{L,\ell}) \vee \mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}$, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,\ell}, V_{L,\ell})) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)})} \left(\mathbb{E}(f(U_{L,\ell}) | \mathcal{F}_{2^{L+(\ell-1)}2^{m(L)}}) - \mathbb{E}(f(V_{L,\ell})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- We have then constructed Gaussian random variables $(V_{L,\ell})_{L \in \mathbb{N}, \ell=1,\dots,2^{L-m(L)}}$ in $2^{r(L)}$ that are mutually independent

Proof: construction of the Kiefer process (2).

- According to Rüschemdorf (1985), there exists a random variable $V_{L,\ell} = (V_{L,\ell}^{(j)})_{j=1,\dots,2^{r(L)}}$ with law $\mathcal{N}(0, 2^{m(L)} \Lambda_{Y,L})$ - that is measurable wrt $\sigma(\delta_{2^L+\ell 2^m(L)}) \vee \sigma(U_{L,\ell}) \vee \mathcal{F}_{2^L+(\ell-1)2^m(L)}$, independent of $\mathcal{F}_{2^L+(\ell-1)2^m(L)}$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,\ell}, V_{L,\ell})) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)})} \left(\mathbb{E}(f(U_{L,\ell}) | \mathcal{F}_{2^L+(\ell-1)2^m(L)}) - \mathbb{E}(f(V_{L,\ell})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- We have then constructed Gaussian random variables $(V_{L,\ell})_{L \in \mathbb{N}, \ell=1,\dots,2^{L-m(L)}}$ in $2^{r(L)}$ that are mutually independent
- According to Dudley and Philipp (1983), there exists a Kiefer process K_Y with covariance function Γ_Y such that

$$V_{L,\ell} = \left(K_Y(s_j, 2^L + \ell 2^m(L)) - K_Y(s_j, 2^L + (\ell-1)2^m(L)) \right)_{j=1,\dots,2^{r(L)-1}}.$$

Sketch of proof (4)

- Recall that

$$D_{L,3} := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left| (R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L)) \right. \\ \left. - (K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L)) \right|$$

Sketch of proof (4)

- Recall that

$$D_{L,3} := \sup_{2^L < \ell \leq 2^{L+1}} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \left| (R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - R(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L)) \right. \\ \left. - (K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), \ell) - K(\Pi_{r(L)}(s), 2^L)) \right|$$

- Write that

$$A_{L,3} = \sup_{j \in \{1, \dots, 2^{r(L)}\}} \sup_{k \leq 2^{L-m(L)}} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^k (U_{L,\ell}^{(j)} - V_{L,\ell}^{(j)}) \right|,$$

$$B_{L,3} = \sup_{j \in \{1, \dots, 2^{r(L)}\}} \sup_{k \leq 2^{L-m(L)}} \sup_{\ell \in I_{L,k}} \left| R(s_j, \ell) - R(s_j, 2^L + (k-1)2^{m(L)}) \right|,$$

$$C_{L,3} = \sup_{j \in \{1, \dots, 2^{r(L)}\}} \sup_{k \leq 2^{L-m(L)}} \sup_{\ell \in I_{L,k}} \left| K(s_j, \ell) - K(s_j, 2^L + (k-1)2^{m(L)}) \right|,$$

Sketch of proof (5)

- We have that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{L,3} \geq 2^{L(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}) \leq 2^{L-m(L)} 2^{L(\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2})} \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,1}, V_{L,1}))$$

Sketch of proof (5)

- We have that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{L,3} \geq 2^{L(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}) \leq 2^{L-m(L)} 2^{L(\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2})} \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,1}, V_{L,1}))$$

- **Proposition:** If $\beta_{2,X}(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$ and if $4r(L) \leq m(L) \leq L$, for any $\ell \in \{1 \dots, 2^{L-m(L)}\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,\ell}, V_{L,\ell})) \ll 2^{\frac{m(L)+2r(L)}{(2+\delta) \wedge 3}} L^2 .$$

Sketch of proof (5)

- We have that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{L,3} \geq 2^{L(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}) \leq 2^{L-m(L)} 2^{L(\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2})} \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,1}, V_{L,1}))$$

- *Proposition:* If $\beta_{2,X}(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$ and if $4r(L) \leq m(L) \leq L$, for any $\ell \in \{1 \dots, 2^{L-m(L)}\}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,\ell}, V_{L,\ell})) \ll 2^{\frac{m(L)+2r(L)}{(2+\delta)\wedge 3}} L^2 .$$

- We will choose (for L large enough)

$$2^{2\varepsilon L-1} L^5 \leq 2^{r(L)} \leq 2^{2\varepsilon L} L^5$$

and

$$2^{L(1-2\varepsilon)} L^{-5} \leq 2^{m(L)} \leq 2^{1+L(1-2\varepsilon)} L^{-5}$$

On the proof of the Gaussian approximation

- Let $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda_L)$. Assume that $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \sigma(\eta_i, i \in \mathbb{Z})$.

On the proof of the Gaussian approximation

- Let $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda_L)$. Assume that $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \sigma(\eta_i, i \in \mathbb{Z})$.
- Set $\tilde{N}_L = N_{1,L} + N_{2,L} + \dots + N_{2^m(L),L}$.

On the proof of the Gaussian approximation

- Let $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda_L)$. Assume that $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \sigma(\eta_i, i \in \mathbb{Z})$.
- Set $\tilde{N}_L = N_{1,L} + N_{2,L} + \dots + N_{2^{m(L)},L}$.
- Let $\text{Lip}(d_{r(L)}, \mathcal{F}_{2^L})$ be the set of measurable functions $g : \mathbb{R}^{2^{r(L)}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ wrt the σ -fields $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2^{r(L)}}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2^L}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $g(\cdot, \omega) \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)})$ and $g(0, \omega) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$.

On the proof of the Gaussian approximation

- Let $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda_L)$. Assume that $(N_{i,L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_\infty \vee \sigma(\eta_i, i \in \mathbb{Z})$.
- Set $\tilde{N}_L = N_{1,L} + N_{2,L} + \dots + N_{2^{m(L)},L}$.
- Let $\text{Lip}(d_{r(L)}, \mathcal{F}_{2^L})$ be the set of measurable functions $g : \mathbb{R}^{2^{r(L)}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ wrt the σ -fields $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2^{r(L)}}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2^L}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $g(\cdot, \omega) \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)})$ and $g(0, \omega) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$.
- Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(d_{r(L)}(U_{L,1}, V_{L,1})) \\ = \sup_{g \in \text{Lip}(d_{r(L)}, \mathcal{F}_{2^L})} \mathbb{E}(g(U_{L,1}, \omega)) - \mathbb{E}(g(\tilde{N}_L, \omega)). \end{aligned}$$

On the optimality of the result

- There exists a Markov chain such that $\beta_{2,X}(k) > ck^{-1}$ for some positive constant c such that the finite dimensional marginals of the process $\{(n \ln n)^{-1/2} R_T(\cdot, n)\}$ converge in distribution to those of the degenerated Gaussian process G defined by

$$\text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \quad G(t) = f(t) \mathbf{1}_{t \neq 0} Z,$$

where Z is a standard normal.

On the optimality of the result

- There exists a Markov chain such that $\beta_{2,X}(k) > ck^{-1}$ for some positive constant c such that the finite dimensional marginals of the process $\{(n \ln n)^{-1/2} R_T(\cdot, n)\}$ converge in distribution to those of the degenerated Gaussian process G defined by

$$\text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \quad G(t) = f(t) \mathbf{1}_{t \neq 0} Z,$$

where Z is a standard normal.

- This shows that an approximation by a Kiefer process as in our main result cannot hold for this chain.

With a stronger coefficient!

- Let $\mathbf{X}_k = (X_j, j \geq k)$ and

$$\beta(k) = \left\| \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} P_{\mathbf{X}_k | \mathcal{F}_0}(f) - P_{\mathbf{X}_k}(f) \right\|_1$$

With a stronger coefficient!

- Let $\mathbf{X}_k = (X_j, j \geq k)$ and

$$\beta(k) = \left\| \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} P_{\mathbf{X}_k | \mathcal{F}_0}(f) - P_{\mathbf{X}_k}(f) \right\|_1$$

- If $\beta(n) = O(n^{-1-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 0$, the rate in the strong approximation result should be

$$n^{\frac{1}{(2+\delta) \wedge 3}} (\log n)^{7(d+1)/3}$$

if the variables are in \mathbb{R}^d .

Thank you for your attention!

Thank you for your attention!