

Singular Optimal Control, Lur'e Equations and Even Matrix Pencils

Timo Reis (TU Hamburg-Harburg)

Workshop on Control and Optimization with
Differential-Algebraic Constraints
Banff International Research Station

10/29/2010

Overview

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion

Outline

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion

Linear-quadratic optimal control problem

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

with (A, B) stabilizable, i.e. $\text{rank}[A - sI, B] = n \quad \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$.

Definition

$\hat{u} : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is called *minimizer* if

$$\mathcal{J}(\hat{u}, x_0) = \inf\{\mathcal{J}(u, x_0) : u \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)\}.$$

The *optimal value* is

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0) = \inf\{\mathcal{J}(u, x_0) : u \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)\}.$$

Usual assumption: $R \in \mathbb{C}^{m,m}$ is invertible.

Equivalent criteria for the existence of a minimizer $\hat{u} \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$:

- The algebraic Riccati equation (ARE)

$$A^*X + XA + Q - (S + XB)R^{-1}(S + XB)^* = 0$$

has at least one solution $X = X^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$.

- The ARE has a *maximal solution* $X_+ = X_+^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$, i.e., for all other solutions X holds $X_+ \geq X$. In this case holds

$$\mathcal{J}(x_0) = x_0^* X_+ x_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{u}(t) = -R^{-1}(S + X_+ B)^* e^{A - BR^{-1}(S + X_+ B)^* t} x_0.$$

Further equivalent criteria for the existence of a minimizer $\hat{u} \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$:

- For all $u \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ holds $\mathcal{J}(u, 0) \geq 0$.
- The *Popov function*

$$\mathcal{P}(i\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega I - A)^{-1} B \\ I \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega I - A)^{-1} B \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive semidefinite ($\mathcal{P}(i\omega) \geq 0$) for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

- The Jordan form of the *Hamiltonian matrix*

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -Q & -A^* \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B \\ S \end{bmatrix} R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} S^* & -B^* \end{bmatrix}$$

has the form

$$HT = T \begin{bmatrix} J_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -J_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where

- $\sigma(J_-) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$,
- $\sigma(J_i) \subset i\mathbb{R}$ and all Jordan blocks of J_i have even size.

Solution of ARE can be obtained via invariant subspaces of the Hamiltonian matrix H .

X is a solution of the ARE if and only if $X = X_2 X_1^{-1}$, where

- $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{A} = H \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$, ($\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is H -invariant),
- $X_1 \in \text{Gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, ($\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular),
- $X_2^* X_1 = X_1^* X_2$ ($\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is Lagrangian).

Characterization of H -invariant Lagrangian subspaces:

Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be an invariant subspace of the Hamiltonian matrix H , i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{A} = H \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{X} is Lagrangian if \tilde{A} has the following properties:

- For all $\lambda, \mu \in \sigma(\tilde{A}) \setminus i\mathbb{R}$ holds $\lambda + \bar{\mu} \neq 0$, and
- The Jordan blocks of \tilde{A} corresponding to the eigenvalues on $i\mathbb{R}$ have half the size as the corresponding Jordan blocks of H .

If, additionally $\sigma(\tilde{A}) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$, then $X_+ = X_2 X_1^{-1}$

Outline

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations**
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion

Example 1:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{x}(t) = -u(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

Observations:

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then for all $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holds $\mathcal{J}(u, x_0) > 0$.
- For $u_n = n \cdot \chi_{[0, n^{-1}]}$ holds $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}(u_n, x_0) = 0$.

Conclusions:

- The optimal value is given by $\mathcal{J}(x_0) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- There exists no minimizer $\hat{u} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Example 2:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

Observations:

- For all $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ holds $\mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = 0$.

Conclusions:

- The optimal value is given by $\mathcal{J}(x_0) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- Every control $\hat{u} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is a minimizer.

Linear-quadratic optimal control problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \quad & \mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} dt \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0. \end{aligned}$$

with (A, B) stabilizable.

Result: (Willems 1972)

The *optimal value* is given by $\mathcal{J}(x_0) = x_0^* X_+ x_0$, where X_+ is the *maximal solution* of the Lur'e equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^* X + XA + Q &= K^* K, \\ XB + S &= K^* L, \\ R &= L^* L. \end{aligned}$$

i.e., X_+ is a solution and all other solutions X fulfill $X_+ \geq X$.

Lur'e equations

$$A^*X + XA + Q = K^*K,$$

$$XB + S = K^*L,$$

$$R = L^*L.$$

Unknowns: $L \in \mathbb{C}^{m,m}$, $K \in \mathbb{C}^{m,n}$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$.

Observation:

If R is invertible, then K and L can be eliminated, such that

$$A^*X + XA + Q - (XB + S)R^{-1}(XB + S)^* = 0. \quad (\text{ARE})$$

Lur'e equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^* X + XA + Q &= K^* K, \\ XB + S &= K^* L, \\ R &= L^* L. \end{aligned}$$

Typical approach for singular R (Regularization):

Perturbed Lur'e equations with $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} A^* X_\varepsilon + X_\varepsilon A + Q &= K_\varepsilon^* K_\varepsilon, \\ X_\varepsilon B + S &= K_\varepsilon^* L_\varepsilon, \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{Reformulation as ARE is possible.} \\ R + \varepsilon I &= L_\varepsilon^* L_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Result: (Trentelman, 1987)

The maximal solutions $(X_\varepsilon)_+$ of the perturbed Lur'e equations fulfill

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (X_\varepsilon)_+ = X_+.$$

Outline

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations**
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion

Lur'e equations

$$A^*X + XA + Q = K^*K,$$

$$XB + S = K^*L,$$

$$R = L^*L.$$

with (A, B) stabilizable.

Necessary characterizations for solvability (Willems, 1972):

- For all $u \in L_2^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ holds $\mathcal{J}(u, 0) \geq 0$.
- The Popov function

$$\mathcal{P}(i\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega I - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (i\omega I - A)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive semidefinite ($\mathcal{P}(i\omega) \geq 0$) for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lur'e equations

$$\begin{aligned}A^*X + XA + Q &= K^*K, \\XB + S &= K^*L, \\R &= L^*L.\end{aligned}$$

with (A, B) stabilizable.

Sufficient characterizations for solvability (Clements, Anderson, Laub, Matson, 1997):

- The Popov function fulfills $\mathcal{P}(i\omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists a $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(i\gamma) > 0$.
- (A, B) is controllable and $\mathcal{P}(i\omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lur'e equations

$$A^*X + XA + Q = K^*K,$$

$$XB + S = K^*L,$$

$$R = L^*L.$$

with (A, B) stabilizable.

Aim: Generalization of the Hamiltonian eigenspace correspondence to Lur'e equations.

Consider the *matrix pencil*

$$\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} Q & \lambda I + A^* & S \\ -\lambda I + A & 0 & B \\ S^* & B^* & R \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+m, 2n+m}.$$

The pencil $\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ is *even*, that is $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}^*$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*$.

Basics of matrix pencils

$$\lambda \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{N,N}.$$

Definition

- $\lambda \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ is called *regular* if there exists some $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\det(s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}) \neq 0$.
- A subspace $\text{im } X_{\mathcal{L}} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ (with $X_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N,k}$ full column rank) is called *deflating subspace* there exist matrices $Y_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n,k}$, $E_{\mathcal{L}}, A_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathbb{C}^{k,k}$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ holds

$$Y_{\mathcal{L}}(sE_{\mathcal{L}} - A_{\mathcal{L}}) = (s\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})X_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Even Kronecker Form (Thompson 1976)

For an even matrix pencil there exists a $W \in \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$W^*(\lambda E - A)W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda))$$

where the pencils $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ are one of the following type:

Even Kronecker Form (Thompson 1976)

For an even matrix pencil there exists a $W \in \text{Gl}_M(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$W^*(\lambda E - A)W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda))$$

where the pencils $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ are one of the following type:

Type 1: Non-imaginary eigenvalues:

$$\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} & \begin{array}{cccc} \lambda - a & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda - a \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{cccc} -\lambda - \bar{a} & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & -\lambda - \bar{a} \end{array} & \end{array} \right]$$

with $a \in \mathbb{C}^-$.

Even Kronecker Form (Thompson 1976)

For an even matrix pencil there exists a $W \in \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$W^*(\lambda E - A)W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda))$$

where the pencils $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ are one of the following type:

Type 2: Imaginary eigenvalues:

$$\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda) = \varepsilon_j \begin{bmatrix} & & & i\lambda + a \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \\ i\lambda + a & 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and

- $\varepsilon_j = 1$ (positive signature), or
- $\varepsilon_j = -1$ (negative signature).

Even Kronecker Form (Thompson 1976)

For an even matrix pencil there exists a $W \in \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$W^*(\lambda E - A)W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda))$$

where the pencils $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ are one of the following type:

Type 3: Infinite eigenvalues:

$$\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda) = \varepsilon_j \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \ddots & i\lambda \\ & & \ddots & \\ 1 & i\lambda & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}$$

with

- $\varepsilon_j = 1$ (positive signature), or
- $\varepsilon_j = -1$ (negative signature).

Even Kronecker Form (Thompson 1976)

For an even matrix pencil there exists a $W \in \text{Gl}_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$W^*(\lambda E - A)W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda))$$

where the pencils $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ are one of the following type:

Type 4: Singular block:

$$\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & & \lambda & 1 \\ \hline -\lambda & & & & & & \\ 1 & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & -\lambda & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem: (R., slight extension of results of Clements, Glover 89)

Let

- (i) (A, B) controllable, or
- (ii) (A, B) stabilizable and the associated even matrix pencil be regular.

Then a maximal solution X_+ exists if and only if the even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil has the following properties:

- All blocks corresponding to the infinite eigenvalues have odd size and negative signature.
- All blocks corresponding to the imaginary eigenvalues have even size and positive signature.

Theorem: (R., slight extension of results of Clements, Glover 89)

Let

- (i) (A, B) controllable, or
- (ii) (A, B) stabilizable and the associated even matrix pencil be regular.

Then a maximal solution X_+ exists if and only if the even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil has the following properties:

- All blocks corresponding to the infinite eigenvalues have odd size and negative signature.
- All blocks corresponding to the imaginary eigenvalues have even size and positive signature.

Theorem: (R., slight extension of results of Clements, Glover 89)

Let

- (i) (A, B) controllable, or
- (ii) (A, B) stabilizable and the associated even matrix pencil be regular.

Then a maximal solution X_+ exists if and only if the even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil has the following properties:

- All blocks corresponding to the infinite eigenvalues have odd size and negative signature.
- All blocks corresponding to the imaginary eigenvalues have even size.

Theorem: (R., slight extension of results of Clements, Glover 89)

Let

- (i) (A, B) controllable, or
- (ii) (A, B) stabilizable and the associated even matrix pencil be regular.

Then a maximal solution X_+ exists if and only if the even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil has the following properties:

- All blocks corresponding to the infinite eigenvalues have odd size and negative signature.
- All blocks corresponding to the imaginary eigenvalues have even size.

Technique for the proof:

Comparison of inertia of the blocks $B_i(i\omega)$ in the even Kronecker form of $i\omega\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A}$ and the fact that there exists some matrix $K(i\omega) \in \text{Gl}_{2n+m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$K^*(i\omega)(i\omega\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})K(i\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\omega I + A^* & 0 \\ -i\omega I + A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{P}(i\omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem: (R.)

Let there exist a deflating subspace $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ of the associated even matrix pencil with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(X_1) &= n, & (\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T \text{ is } 1\text{-regular}), \\ X_2^* X_1 &= X_1^* X_2 & (\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T \text{ is Lagrangian}). \end{aligned}$$

Then a solution of the Lur'e equation is given by $X = X_2 X_1^-$.

Converse direction also holds due to

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & \lambda I + A^* & S \\ -\lambda I + A & 0 & B \\ S^* & B^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ X & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & K^* \\ -I & 0 \\ 0 & L^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A & -B \\ K & L \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem: (R.)

Let there exist a deflating subspace $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ of the associated even matrix pencil with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(X_1) &= n, & (\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T \text{ is } 1\text{-regular}), \\ X_2^* X_1 &= X_1^* X_2 & (\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T \text{ is Lagrangian}). \end{aligned}$$

Then a solution of the Lur'e equation is given by $X = X_2 X_1^-$.

Converse direction also holds due to

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & \lambda I + A^* & S \\ -\lambda I + A & 0 & B \\ S^* & B^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ X & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & K^* \\ -I & 0 \\ 0 & L^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A & -B \\ K & L \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now: Characterization of desired deflating subspaces via even Kronecker form:

Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im} \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im} \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where



Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im } \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im } \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where

- \widetilde{W}_j either contains the first or the second half of the columns of W_j if $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ is a block corresponding to non-imaginary eigenvalues.

Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im } \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im } \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where

- ...
- \widetilde{W}_j contains the second half of the columns of W_j if $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ is a block corresponding to imaginary eigenvalues.

Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im } \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im } \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where

- ...
- ...
- \widetilde{W}_j contains the columns $l, \dots, 2l + 1$ of W_j if $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ is a block of size $2l + 1$ corresponding to infinite eigenvalues.

Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im} \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im} \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where

- ...
- ...
- ...
- \widetilde{W}_j contains the columns $l, \dots, 2l + 1$ of W_j if $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ is a singular block of size $2l + 1$.

Theorem (R.)

Assume that an even Kronecker form of the associated even matrix pencil is given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

Then a deflating subspace $\mathcal{X} = \text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T, X_3^T]^T \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n+m}$ has the property that $\text{im}[X_1^T, X_2^T]^T$ is 1-regular and Lagrangian if and only if

$$\mathcal{X} = \text{im} \widetilde{W}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \text{im} \widetilde{W}_k,$$

where

- ...
- ...
- ...
- ...

If, particularly the second half of the columns of W_j for the blocks $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ corresponding to non-imaginary eigenvalues is chosen, then $X_+ = X_1 X_2^-$.

Outline

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem**
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion

Linear-quadratic optimal control problem

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \mathcal{J}(u, x_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} Q & S \\ S^* & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

and

$$A^* X_+ + X_+ A + Q = K_+^* K_+,$$

$$X_+ B + S = K_+^* L_+,$$

$$R = L_+^* L_+,$$

where X_+ is the maximal solution.

Theorem (Willems, 1972)

The optimal control in the distributional sense is given by \hat{u} satisfying

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + B\hat{u}(t) + \delta_0 x_0,$$

$$0 = K_+ x(t) + L_+ \hat{u}(t).$$

Conclusions from deflating subspace construction of an optimal control:

Corollary (R.)

Let the even Kronecker form of the associated even pencil be given by

$$W^*(\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{A})W = \text{diag}(\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)), \quad W = [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

and let $2l + 1$ be the size of the largest block $\mathcal{B}_j(\lambda)$ corresponding to the infinite eigenvalues. Then an optimal control satisfies

$$\hat{u} \in \text{span}\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_0^{(l-1)}\} \oplus L_2^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Moreover,

- an optimal control is unique if and only if no singular block is contained,
- there exists an optimal control with $\hat{u} \in \text{span}\{\delta_0, \dots, \delta_0^{(l-1)}\} \oplus L_2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ if and only if no block corresponding to imaginary eigenvalues is contained.

Outline

- 1 Regular Optimal Control and Riccati equations
- 2 Singular Optimal Control and Lur'e equations
- 3 Solvability and Solution of Lur'e equations
- 4 Conclusions for the Optimal Control Problem
- 5 Further Results and Conclusion**

Further results:

- Existence of *minimal solutions*, if (A, B) is *anti-stabilizable*, i.e.,

$$\text{rank}[A + sI, B] = n \quad \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}.$$

- Existence of solutions, if (A, B) is *sign-controllable*, i.e.,

$$\max\{\text{rank}[A - sI, B], \text{rank}[A + \bar{s}I, B]\} = n \quad \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}.$$

Conclusion

- Regular LQ optimal control problems lead to Riccati equations
 - Solution via invariant subspaces of Hamiltonian matrices
- Singular LQ optimal control problems lead to Lur'e equations
 - Solution via deflating subspaces of even matrix pencils
 - Conclusions for the uniqueness and structure of the optimal control