## Transcendence Theory of Drinfeld Modules

Jing Yu

National Taiwan University

BIRS Workshop on *t*-motives September 28, 2009 Let p be a fixed prime; q a fixed power of p.

$$\begin{split} A &:= \mathbb{F}_q[\theta] & \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ k &:= \mathbb{F}_q(\theta) & \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ k_\infty &:= \mathbb{F}_q((1/\theta)) & \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \bar{k} \text{ inside } \overline{k_\infty} & \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \mathbb{C}_\infty &:= \widehat{\overline{k_\infty}} & \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ |f|_\infty &:= q^{\deg f} & \longleftrightarrow |\cdot| \end{split}$$

(日) ト イヨト イヨト

# Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules

Let  $F: x \mapsto x^q$  be the Frobenius endomorphism of  $\mathbb{G}_a/\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\bar{k}[F]$  be the twisted polynomial ring :

$$Fc = c^q F$$
, for all  $c \in \bar{k}$ .

A Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module  $\rho$  of rank r (over  $\bar{k}$ ) is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism  $\rho: \mathbb{F}_q[t] \to \bar{k}[F]$  given by  $(\Delta \neq 0)$ 

$$\rho_t = \theta + g_1 F + \dots + g_{r-1} F^{r-1} + \Delta F^r,$$

Drinfeld exponential  $\exp_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h z^{q^h}, c_h \in \bar{k}$ , on  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  linearizes this *t*-action :



# Drinfeld $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules

Let  $F: x \mapsto x^q$  be the Frobenius endomorphism of  $\mathbb{G}_a/\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\bar{k}[F]$  be the twisted polynomial ring :

$$Fc = c^q F$$
, for all  $c \in \bar{k}$ .

A Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module  $\rho$  of rank r (over  $\bar{k}$ ) is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism  $\rho: \mathbb{F}_q[t] \to \bar{k}[F]$  given by  $(\Delta \neq 0)$ 

$$\rho_t = \theta + g_1 F + \dots + g_{r-1} F^{r-1} + \Delta F^r,$$

Drinfeld exponential  $\exp_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h z^{q^h}, c_h \in \bar{k}$ , on  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  linearizes this *t*-action :

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{C}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{\rho}} & \mathbb{G}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \\ \theta(\cdot) & & & \downarrow^{\rho_{t}} \\ \mathbb{C}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{\rho}} & \mathbb{G}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

Kernel of  $\exp_{\rho}$  is a discrete free  $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -module  $\Lambda_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  of rank r. Moreover

$$\exp_{\rho}(z) = z \prod_{\lambda \neq 0 \in \Lambda_{\rho}} (1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}).$$

The nonzero elements in  $\Lambda_{\rho}$  are the **periods** of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ . They are all transcendental over  $\bar{k}$ (1986). In fact, any  $u \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  such that  $\exp_{\rho}(u) \in \bar{k}$  are transcendental, these are called **Drinfeld logarithms** (of algebraic points) w.r.t  $\rho$ .

Morphisms of Drinfeld modules  $h : \rho_1 \to \rho_2$  are the twisting polynomials  $h \in \bar{k}[F]$  satisfying  $(\rho_2)_t \circ h = h \circ (\rho_1)_t$ .

Isomorphisms from  $\rho_1$  to  $\rho_2$  are given by constant polynomials  $h \in \bar{k} \subset \bar{k}[F]$  such that  $h \Lambda_{\rho_1} = \Lambda_{\rho_2}$ .

Kernel of  $\exp_{\rho}$  is a discrete free  $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ -module  $\Lambda_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  of rank r. Moreover

$$\exp_{\rho}(z) = z \prod_{\lambda \neq 0 \in \Lambda_{\rho}} (1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}).$$

The nonzero elements in  $\Lambda_{\rho}$  are the **periods** of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ . They are all transcendental over  $\bar{k}(1986)$ . In fact, any  $u \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  such that  $\exp_{\rho}(u) \in \bar{k}$  are transcendental, these are called **Drinfeld logarithms** (of algebraic points) w.r.t  $\rho$ .

Morphisms of Drinfeld modules  $h : \rho_1 \to \rho_2$  are the twisting polynomials  $h \in \overline{k}[F]$  satisfying  $(\rho_2)_t \circ h = h \circ (\rho_1)_t$ .

Isomorphisms from  $\rho_1$  to  $\rho_2$  are given by constant polynomials  $h \in \bar{k} \subset \bar{k}[F]$  such that  $h \Lambda_{\rho_1} = \Lambda_{\rho_2}$ .

The endomorphism ring of Drinfeld module  $\rho$  can be identified with

$$R_{\rho} = \{ \alpha \in \bar{k} | \ \alpha \Lambda_{\rho} \subset \Lambda_{\rho} \}.$$

The field of fractions of  $R_{\rho}$ , denoted by  $K_{\rho}$ , is called the field of multiplications of  $\rho$ . One has that  $[K_{\rho}:k]$  always divides the rank of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ .

Drinfeld module  $\rho$  of rank r is said to be without Complex Multiplications CM, if  $K_{\rho} = k$ , and with "full" CM if  $[K_{\rho} : k] = r$ .

If  $\rho$  has CM, there are non-trivial algebraic relations among its periods coming from the endomorphisms.

One goal of transcendence theory for Drinfeld modules is to prove that these are the only source of algebraic relations among periods. The endomorphism ring of Drinfeld module  $\rho$  can be identified with

$$R_{\rho} = \{ \alpha \in \bar{k} | \ \alpha \Lambda_{\rho} \subset \Lambda_{\rho} \}.$$

The field of fractions of  $R_{\rho}$ , denoted by  $K_{\rho}$ , is called the field of multiplications of  $\rho$ . One has that  $[K_{\rho}:k]$  always divides the rank of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ .

Drinfeld module  $\rho$  of rank r is said to be without Complex Multiplications CM, if  $K_{\rho} = k$ , and with "full" CM if  $[K_{\rho} : k] = r$ .

If  $\rho$  has CM, there are non-trivial algebraic relations among its periods coming from the endomorphisms.

One goal of transcendence theory for Drinfeld modules is to prove that these are the only source of algebraic relations among periods. Now let k be any function field with field of constants  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Fix a place and call it  $\infty$ .

Take A to be the ring of functions in k regular away from  $\infty$ .

A Drinfeld A-module  $\rho$  is simply an A-action on  $\mathbb{G}_a$  defined over  $\bar{k}$  which linearizes to the scalar A-action on  $\operatorname{Lie} \mathbb{G}_a$ .

Take any non-constant "t" in A. Then  $\rho$  can be viewed as Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module with "complex multiplications" by A.

For the purpose of transcendence theory, the study of Drinfeld A-modules can thus be reduced to the study of Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules. Now let k be any function field with field of constants  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Fix a place and call it  $\infty$ .

Take A to be the ring of functions in k regular away from  $\infty$ .

A Drinfeld A-module  $\rho$  is simply an A-action on  $\mathbb{G}_a$  defined over  $\overline{k}$  which linearizes to the scalar A-action on  $\operatorname{Lie} \mathbb{G}_a$ .

Take any non-constant "t" in A. Then  $\rho$  can be viewed as Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module with "complex multiplications" by A.

For the purpose of transcendence theory, the study of Drinfeld A-modules can thus be reduced to the study of Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -modules.

# Periods of the 2nd kind

To introduce quasi-periods, we consider certain (bi-)derivations.

A  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear map from  $\delta : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \to \overline{k}[F]F$  is called a derivation of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$  if, for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , the following holds

$$\delta_{ab} = a(\theta)\delta_a + \delta_a\rho_b.$$

Given derivation  $\delta$  of  $\rho$ , there is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear entire function

$$F_{\delta}(z)=\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}b_{h}z^{q^{h}},b_{h}\inar{k}$$
 , on  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  ,

satisfying the following difference equation :

$$F_{\delta}(\theta z) - \theta F_{\delta}(z) = \delta_t(\exp_{\rho}(z)).$$

This  $F_{\delta}(z)$  is quasi-periodic in the sense

$$F_{\delta}(z+\lambda) = F_{\delta}(z) + F_{\delta}(\lambda), \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}.$$
$$\int_{\lambda} \delta := F_{\delta}(\lambda) \text{ is } \mathbb{F}_{q}[\theta] - \text{linear in } \lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}.$$

# Periods of the 2nd kind

To introduce quasi-periods, we consider certain (bi-)derivations.

A  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear map from  $\delta : \mathbb{F}_q[t] \to \overline{k}[F]F$  is called a derivation of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$  if, for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ , the following holds

$$\delta_{ab} = a(\theta)\delta_a + \delta_a\rho_b.$$

Given derivation  $\delta$  of  $\rho,$  there is  $\mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear}$  entire function

$$F_{\delta}(z)=\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}b_{h}z^{q^{h}},b_{h}\inar{k}$$
 , on  $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$  ,

satisfying the following difference equation :

$$F_{\delta}(\theta z) - \theta F_{\delta}(z) = \delta_t(\exp_{\rho}(z)).$$

This  $F_{\delta}(z)$  is quasi-periodic in the sense

$$F_{\delta}(z+\lambda) = F_{\delta}(z) + F_{\delta}(\lambda), \text{ for } \lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}.$$
$$\int_{\lambda} \delta := F_{\delta}(\lambda) \text{ is } \mathbb{F}_{q}[\theta] - \text{ linear in } \lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}.$$

## Periods and quasi-periods

The values  $F_{\delta}(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}$ , are called the **quasi-periods** of  $\rho$  w.r.t. the derivation  $\delta$ . All nonzero quasi-periods are also transcendental over  $\bar{k}$  (1990).

The set of all derivations of  $\rho$  modulo "strictly inner" derivations is a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $r = \operatorname{rank} \rho$ . This gives the de Rham cohomology of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ .

 $\delta$  is called strictly inner derivation if there exists  $m\in ar{k}[F]F$  so that

$$\delta = \delta^{(m)} : a \longmapsto m\rho_a - a(\theta)m, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

Strictly inner derivations only give zero quasi-periods.

Consider the derivation  $\delta^{(1)} : a \mapsto a(\theta) - \rho_a$ , then  $F_{\delta^{(1)}}(z) = z - \exp_{\rho}(z)$ . Hence periods of  $\rho$  are just quasi-periods w.r.t. the 1st kind derivation  $\delta^{(1)}$ .

## Periods and quasi-periods

The values  $F_{\delta}(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}$ , are called the **quasi-periods** of  $\rho$  w.r.t. the derivation  $\delta$ . All nonzero quasi-periods are also transcendental over  $\bar{k}$  (1990).

The set of all derivations of  $\rho$  modulo "strictly inner" derivations is a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $r = \operatorname{rank} \rho$ . This gives the de Rham cohomology of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ .

 $\delta$  is called strictly inner derivation if there exists  $m\in \bar{k}[F]F$  so that

$$\delta = \delta^{(m)} : a \longmapsto m\rho_a - a(\theta)m, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

Strictly inner derivations only give zero quasi-periods.

Consider the derivation  $\delta^{(1)} : a \mapsto a(\theta) - \rho_a$ , then  $F_{\delta^{(1)}}(z) = z - \exp_{\rho}(z)$ . Hence periods of  $\rho$  are just quasi-periods w.r.t. the 1st kind derivation  $\delta^{(1)}$ .

## Periods and quasi-periods

The values  $F_{\delta}(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\rho}$ , are called the **quasi-periods** of  $\rho$  w.r.t. the derivation  $\delta$ . All nonzero quasi-periods are also transcendental over  $\bar{k}$  (1990).

The set of all derivations of  $\rho$  modulo "strictly inner" derivations is a  $\bar{k}$ -vector space of dimension  $r = \operatorname{rank} \rho$ . This gives the de Rham cohomology of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ .

 $\delta$  is called strictly inner derivation if there exists  $m\in \bar{k}[F]F$  so that

$$\delta = \delta^{(m)} : a \longmapsto m\rho_a - a(\theta)m, \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q[t].$$

Strictly inner derivations only give zero quasi-periods.

Consider the derivation  $\delta^{(1)} : a \mapsto a(\theta) - \rho_a$ , then  $F_{\delta^{(1)}}(z) = z - \exp_{\rho}(z)$ . Hence periods of  $\rho$  are just quasi-periods w.r.t. the 1st kind derivation  $\delta^{(1)}$ .

## The period matrix

The de Rham isomorphism says that  $\delta \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto \int_{\lambda} \delta)$  gives a natural isomorphism from the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$  onto a  $\bar{k}$ -structure of the space  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda_{\rho}, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$ .

Let  $\{[\delta_0 = [\delta^{(1)}], [\delta_1], \dots, [\delta_{r-1}]\}$  be a basis of the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$ . Let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$  be a fixed *A*-basis of  $\Lambda_{\rho}$ . Then **period matrix** of  $\rho$  corresponding to this choices of basis is

$$P_{\rho} = \left(\int_{\lambda_i} \delta_j\right)$$
  
= 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & F_1(\lambda_1) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_1) \\ \lambda_2 & F_1(\lambda_2) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_r & F_1(\lambda_r) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_r) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $F_i$  is the quasi-periodic function from the derivation  $\delta_i, i = 1, \dots, r-1.$ 

## The period matrix

The de Rham isomorphism says that  $\delta \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto \int_{\lambda} \delta)$  gives a natural isomorphism from the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$  onto a  $\bar{k}$ -structure of the space  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(\Lambda_{\rho}, \mathbb{C}_{\infty})$ .

Let  $\{[\delta_0 = [\delta^{(1)}], [\delta_1], \dots, [\delta_{r-1}]\}$  be a basis of the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$ . Let  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$  be a fixed A-basis of  $\Lambda_{\rho}$ . Then period matrix of  $\rho$  corresponding to this choices of basis is

$$P_{\rho} = \left( \int_{\lambda_i} \delta_j \right)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & F_1(\lambda_1) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_1) \\ \lambda_2 & F_1(\lambda_2) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_r & F_1(\lambda_r) & \cdots & F_{r-1}(\lambda_r) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $F_i$  is the quasi-periodic function from the derivation  $\delta_i, i = 1, \ldots, r-1.$ 

Analogue of Legendre's relation (Anderson, Gekeler) says  $\det P_{\rho} = \alpha \tilde{\pi}$ , with  $\alpha \neq 0 \in \bar{k}$ . Here  $\tilde{\pi}$  is period of the rank one Carlitz module.

Let  $t, \sigma$  be variables independent of  $\theta$ . Let  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$  be **noncommutative** ring of Laurent polynomials in  $\sigma$  with coefficients in  $\bar{k}(t)$ , subject to the relation

$$\sigma f := f^{(-1)}\sigma$$
 for all  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$ .

Here  $f^{(-1)}$  is the rational function obtained from  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$  by twisting all its coefficients  $a \in \bar{k}$  to  $a^{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

A pre-t-motive M over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a left  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module which is finite-dimensional over  $\bar{k}(t)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{m} \in Mat_{r \times 1}(M)$  be a  $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of M. Multiplying by  $\sigma$  on M is represented by  $\sigma(\mathbf{m}) = \Phi \mathbf{m}$  for some matrix  $\Phi \in \operatorname{SL}(\bar{k}(t))_{\mathbf{a}}$  so

Analogue of Legendre's relation (Anderson, Gekeler) says det  $P_{\rho} = \alpha \tilde{\pi}$ , with  $\alpha \neq 0 \in \bar{k}$ .

Here  $\tilde{\pi}$  is period of the rank one Carlitz module.

Let t,  $\sigma$  be variables independent of  $\theta$ . Let  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$  be **noncommutative** ring of Laurent polynomials in  $\sigma$  with coefficients in  $\bar{k}(t)$ , subject to the relation

$$\sigma f := f^{(-1)}\sigma$$
 for all  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$ .

Here  $f^{(-1)}$  is the rational function obtained from  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$  by twisting all its coefficients  $a \in \bar{k}$  to  $a^{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

A pre-*t*-motive M over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a left  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module which is finite-dimensional over  $\bar{k}(t)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{m} \in Mat_{r \times 1}(M)$  be a  $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of M. Multiplying by  $\sigma$  on M is represented by  $\sigma(\mathbf{m}) = \Phi \mathbf{m}$  for some matrix  $\Phi \in \operatorname{SL}_r(\bar{k}(t))_{\mathbf{x}}$ 

Analogue of Legendre's relation (Anderson, Gekeler) says det  $P_{\rho} = \alpha \tilde{\pi}$ , with  $\alpha \neq 0 \in \bar{k}$ .

Here  $\tilde{\pi}$  is period of the rank one Carlitz module.

Let  $t, \sigma$  be variables independent of  $\theta$ . Let  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$  be **noncommutative** ring of Laurent polynomials in  $\sigma$  with coefficients in  $\bar{k}(t)$ , subject to the relation

$$\sigma f := f^{(-1)}\sigma$$
 for all  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$ .

Here  $f^{(-1)}$  is the rational function obtained from  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$  by twisting all its coefficients  $a \in \bar{k}$  to  $a^{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

A pre-t-motive M over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a left  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module which is finite-dimensional over  $\bar{k}(t)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{m} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times 1}(M)$  be a  $\overline{k}(t)$ -basis of M. Multiplying by  $\sigma$  on M is represented by  $\sigma(\mathbf{m}) = \Phi \mathbf{m}$  for some matrix  $\Phi \in \operatorname{GL}_r(\overline{k}(t))_{\mathbf{z} \to \infty}$ 

Analogue of Legendre's relation (Anderson, Gekeler) says det  $P_{\rho} = \alpha \tilde{\pi}$ , with  $\alpha \neq 0 \in \bar{k}$ .

Here  $\tilde{\pi}$  is period of the rank one Carlitz module.

Let  $t, \sigma$  be variables independent of  $\theta$ . Let  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$  be **noncommutative** ring of Laurent polynomials in  $\sigma$  with coefficients in  $\bar{k}(t)$ , subject to the relation

$$\sigma f := f^{(-1)}\sigma$$
 for all  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$ .

Here  $f^{(-1)}$  is the rational function obtained from  $f \in \bar{k}(t)$  by twisting all its coefficients  $a \in \bar{k}$  to  $a^{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

A pre-t-motive M over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a left  $\bar{k}(t)[\sigma, \sigma^{-1}]$ -module which is finite-dimensional over  $\bar{k}(t)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathsf{Mat}_{r \times 1}(M)$  be a  $\bar{k}(t)$ -basis of M. Multiplying by  $\sigma$  on M is represented by  $\sigma(\mathbf{m}) = \Phi \mathbf{m}$  for some matrix  $\Phi \in \mathsf{GL}_r(\bar{k}(t))$ .

## Motives associated to Drinfeld modules

The category of **pre**-*t*-**motives** over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms an abelian  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -linear tensor category.

Let Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module  $\rho$  of rank r (over  $\overline{k}$ ) be given by

$$\rho_t = \theta + g_1 F + \dots + g_{r-1} F^{r-1} + F^r,$$

We associate to  $\rho$  a dimension r pre-t-motive  $M_{\rho}$  via the matrix

$$\Phi_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ (t-\theta) & -g_1^{1/q} & \cdots & \cdots & -g_{r-1}^{1/q^{r-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Motives associated to Drinfeld modules

The category of **pre**-*t*-**motives** over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  forms an abelian  $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ -linear tensor category.

Let Drinfeld  $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module  $\rho$  of rank r (over  $\overline{k}$ ) be given by

$$\rho_t = \theta + g_1 F + \dots + g_{r-1} F^{r-1} + F^r,$$

We associate to  $\rho$  a dimension r pre-t-motive  $M_{\rho}$  via the matrix

$$\Phi_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ (t-\theta) & -g_1^{1/q} & \cdots & \cdots & -g_{r-1}^{1/q^{r-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

### Anderson generating function

Now fix an A-basis  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r\}$  of the period lattice  $\Lambda_{\rho}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , consider the sequence of *t*-division points:

$$\exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta), \exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta^2), \exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta^3), \dots$$

The Anderson generating functions is: for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

$$f_i(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \exp_{\rho}(\lambda_i/\theta^{j+1})t^j = \lambda_i/(\theta-t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \lambda_i^{q^j}/(\theta^{q^j}-t).$$

We observe that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{t= heta} f_i = -\lambda_i = -\int_{\lambda_i} \delta^{(1)}.$$

Let  $\delta_j$  be the derivation given by  $t \mapsto F^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ . For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_i^{(\ell)}$  be the series obtained from  $f_i$  by changing all coefficients to its  $q^{\ell}$ -th roots, then also

$$f_i^{(j)}(\theta) = \int_{\lambda_i} \delta_j.$$

## Anderson generating function

Now fix an A-basis  $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r\}$  of the period lattice  $\Lambda_{\rho}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , consider the sequence of *t*-division points:

$$\exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta), \exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta^2), \exp_{
ho}(\lambda_i/ heta^3), \ldots$$

The Anderson generating functions is: for  $1 \le i \le r$ ,

$$f_i(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \exp_{\rho}(\lambda_i/\theta^{j+1})t^j = \lambda_i/(\theta-t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \lambda_i^{q^j}/(\theta^{q^j}-t).$$

We observe that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{t= heta} f_i = -\lambda_i = -\int_{\lambda_i} \delta^{(1)} dt$$

Let  $\delta_j$  be the derivation given by  $t \mapsto F^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ . For  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_i^{(\ell)}$  be the series obtained from  $f_i$  by changing all coefficients to its  $q^{\ell}$ -th roots, then also

$$f_i^{(j)}(\theta) = \int_{\lambda_i} \delta_j.$$

# A Frobenius difference equation

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Psi} &:= \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_r \\ f_1^{(1)} & f_2^{(1)} & \cdots & f_r^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^{(r-1)} & f_2^{(r-1)} & \cdots & f_r^{(r-1)} \end{bmatrix}. \\ L &:= \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2^{(-1)} & g_3^{(-2)} & \cdots & g_{r-1}^{(-r+2)} & 1 \\ g_2 & g_3^{(-1)} & g_4^{(-2)} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ g_{r-1} & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

and set  $\Psi := (L^{-1}\{[\widehat{\Psi}^{(1)}]^{-1}\})^{t}$ . Then  $\Psi(\theta)$  gives essentially the period matrix  $P_{\rho}$  of the Drinfeld module  $\rho$ . Moreover

$$\Psi^{(-1)} = \Phi \Psi.$$

# Linear independence (over $\bar{k}$ ) theory

#### Method of Schneider-Lang in positive characteristic.

 $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ -linear functions as functions satisfying algebraic differential equations:

$$f(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h z^{q^h}, c_h \in \bar{k}.$$

#### Method of Baker-Wüstholz for t-modules.

Analogue of Wüstholz subgroup theorem : Let  $G = (\mathbb{G}_a^d, \phi)$  be a *t*-module defined over  $\bar{k}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a point in Lie  $G(\mathbb{C}_{\infty})$  such that  $\exp_G(\mathbf{u}) \in G(\bar{k})$ . Then the smallest vector subspace in Lie G defined over  $\bar{k}$  which is invariant under  $d(\phi_t)$ and which contains  $\mathbf{u}$  must be the tangent space at the origin of a *t*-submodule of G.

#### Method of Schneider-Lang in positive characteristic.

 $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear functions as functions satisfying algebraic differential equations:

$$f(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_h z^{q^h}, c_h \in \bar{k}.$$

#### Method of Baker-Wüstholz for *t*-modules.

Analogue of Wüstholz subgroup theorem : Let  $G = (\mathbb{G}_a^d, \phi)$  be a *t*-module defined over  $\bar{k}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a point in  $\operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{C}_\infty)$  such that  $\exp_G(\mathbf{u}) \in G(\bar{k})$ . Then the smallest vector subspace in  $\operatorname{Lie} G$  defined over  $\bar{k}$  which is invariant under  $d(\phi_t)$  and which contains  $\mathbf{u}$  must be the tangent space at the origin of a *t*-submodule of G.

#### Anderson's *t*-modules

A *t*-module of dimension *d* is a pair  $(\mathbb{G}_a^d, \phi)$ , consisting of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{F}_q[t] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{G}_a^d) \cong \operatorname{Mat}_d(\bar{k}[F]).$$

given by

$$\phi_t = \theta I + N + g_1 F + \dots + g_r F^r,$$

where  $N \in Mat_d(\bar{k})$  is nilpotent.

One also has the exponential map  $\exp_G$  for t-module G:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{G}} & \mathbb{G}^{d}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} \\ \\ d(\phi_{t}) & & & \downarrow \phi_{t} \\ \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{G}} & \mathbb{G}^{d}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

#### Anderson's *t*-modules

A *t*-module of dimension *d* is a pair  $(\mathbb{G}_a^d, \phi)$ , consisting of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{F}_q[t] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{G}_a^d) \cong \operatorname{Mat}_d(\bar{k}[F]).$$

given by

$$\phi_t = \theta I + N + g_1 F + \dots + g_r F^r,$$

where  $N \in Mat_d(\bar{k})$  is nilpotent.

One also has the exponential map  $\exp_G$  for *t*-module *G*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{G}} & \mathbb{G}^{d}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} \\ \\ d(\phi_{t}) & & \downarrow \phi_{t} \\ \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} & \xrightarrow{\exp_{G}} & \mathbb{G}^{d}_{a}(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}^{d}_{\infty} \end{array}$$

The *t*-submodule theorem says that all linear relations satisfied by a logarithmic vector of an algebraic point on *t*-module should come from algebraic relations inside the *t*-module under consideration. Structure of *t*-modules is "rigid". Usually it is possible to analyze the *t*-submodules in question.

Using the *t*-submodule theorem, one obtains:

Let  $\rho$  be Drinfeld module of rank r with field of multiplications  $K_{\rho}$ . Let  $[\delta_1] = [\delta^{(1)}, \ldots, [\delta_r]$  be a basis of the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$ , with corresponding quasi-periodic functions  $F_{\delta_1}, \ldots, F_{\delta_r}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , be logarithms with  $\exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_i) \in \bar{k}$  for each i. Suppose that these  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent over  $K_{\rho}$ . Then the rn + 1 elements,  $1, \mathbf{u}_i, F_{\delta_j}(\mathbf{u}_i), i = 1, \ldots, n, j = 2, \ldots, r$ , are linearly independent over  $\bar{k}$ . The *t*-submodule theorem says that all linear relations satisfied by a logarithmic vector of an algebraic point on *t*-module should come from algebraic relations inside the *t*-module under consideration. Structure of *t*-modules is "rigid". Usually it is possible to analyze the *t*-submodules in question.

Using the *t*-submodule theorem, one obtains:

Let  $\rho$  be Drinfeld module of rank r with field of multiplications  $K_{\rho}$ . Let  $[\delta_1] = [\delta^{(1)}, \ldots, [\delta_r]$  be a basis of the de Rham cohomology of  $\rho$ , with corresponding quasi-periodic functions  $F_{\delta_1}, \ldots, F_{\delta_r}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ , be logarithms with  $\exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_i) \in \bar{k}$  for each i. Suppose that these  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent over  $K_{\rho}$ . Then the rn + 1 elements,  $1, \mathbf{u}_i, F_{\delta_j}(\mathbf{u}_i), i = 1, \ldots, n, j = 2, \ldots, r$ , are linearly independent over  $\bar{k}$ .

## Construction of *t*-modules

First, a *t*-module  $G_{\rho}$  of dimension  $r = \operatorname{rank} \rho$  :

$$(\phi_{\rho})_{t} := \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{t} & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \cdots & 0\\ (\delta_{2})_{t} & \theta F^{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ (\delta_{r})_{t} & 0 & & \cdots & \theta F^{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

•

This has exponential map :

$$\exp_{G_{\rho}} : \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \exp_{\rho}(z_1) \\ z_2 + F_{\delta_2}(z_1) \\ \vdots \\ z_r + F_{\delta_r}(z_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let G be the dirct sum of the trivial t-module  $\mathbb{G}_a$  with n copies of this t-module  $G_{\rho}$ . Then apply the t-submodule theorem to the following logarithmic vector :

## Construction of *t*-modules

First, a *t*-module  $G_{\rho}$  of dimension  $r = \operatorname{rank} \rho$  :

$$(\phi_{\rho})_{t} := \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{t} & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \cdots & 0\\ (\delta_{2})_{t} & \theta F^{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ (\delta_{r})_{t} & 0 & & \cdots & \theta F^{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

This has exponential map :

$$\exp_{G_{\rho}} : \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \exp_{\rho}(z_1) \\ z_2 + F_{\delta_2}(z_1) \\ \vdots \\ z_r + F_{\delta_r}(z_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let G be the dirct sum of the trivial t-module  $\mathbb{G}_a$  with n copies of this t-module  $G_{\rho}$ . Then apply the t-submodule theorem to the following logarithmic vector :

$$\mathbf{u} = (1, \mathbf{u}_1, -F_{\delta_2}(\mathbf{u}_1), \cdots, -F_{\delta_r}(\mathbf{u}_1), \cdots, \mathbf{u}_n, -F_{\delta_2}(\mathbf{u}_n), \cdots, -F_{\delta_r}(\mathbf{u}_n)).$$

The algebraic point  $\exp_G(\mathbf{u})$  corresponding to this vector is

$$(1, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_1), 0, \cdots, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_2), 0, \cdots, \cdots, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_n), 0, \cdots).$$

The hypothesis that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent over  $K_{\rho}$  implies precisely that this algebraic point on G does not fall in any proper *t*-submodule of G.

Extensive efforts of using the *t*-submodule theorem to prove linear independence results by many people in the late 1990's, e.g. A-B-P concerning the independence of geometric Gamma values, lead to a "motivic" way for attacking **algebraic independence** in positive characteristic.

$$\mathbf{u} = (1, \mathbf{u}_1, -F_{\delta_2}(\mathbf{u}_1), \cdots, -F_{\delta_r}(\mathbf{u}_1), \cdots, \mathbf{u}_n, -F_{\delta_2}(\mathbf{u}_n), \cdots, -F_{\delta_r}(\mathbf{u}_n)).$$

The algebraic point  $\exp_G(\mathbf{u})$  corresponding to this vector is

$$(1, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_1), 0, \cdots, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_2), 0, \cdots, \cdots, \exp_{\rho}(\mathbf{u}_n), 0, \cdots).$$

The hypothesis that  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$  are linearly independent over  $K_{\rho}$  implies precisely that this algebraic point on G does not fall in any proper *t*-submodule of G.

Extensive efforts of using the *t*-submodule theorem to prove linear independence results by many people in the late 1990's, e.g. A-B-P concerning the independence of geometric Gamma values, lead to a "motivic" way for attacking algebraic independence in positive characteristic.

## The End. Thank You.



æ

\_\_\_\_ ▶