Para-PF

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Conclusions

A Proximal Average Suitable for Nonconvex Functions

Warren L. Hare



OKANAGAN

Nov 5th, 2009



Recently, researchers have examine the question of how to smoothly transform one function into another. This is, given functions f_0 and f_1 , how can we build a "well-behaved" parameterized function F(x, p) such that $F(x, 0) = f_0(x)$ and $F(x, 1) = f_1(x)$? For convex functions the idea of a "proximal average" has been shown to be highly effective. We explore the proximal average, provide some previous results regarding convex functions, and develop a method to extend these results to non-convex functions. In doing so we develop a new version of the proximal average, which is more complicated but provides stronger stability results.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Introduction, Convex Proximal Average
- Proximal Envelopes, NC Proximal Average
- O Parametric Prox-Regularity
- Conclusions



Introduction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conclusions

Introduction



Given f_0 and f_1 how can we create

 $F(x,\lambda)$

such that

$$F(x,0) = f_0(x)$$
 $F(x,1) = f_1(x)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

and F is well behaved in λ ?

One Reason and Approach

One reason is multi-objective optimization

 $\min_{x} \{f_0(x) \text{ and } f_1(x)\}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conclusions

One Reason and Approach

One reason is multi-objective optimization

 $\min_{x} \{ f_0(x) \text{ and } f_1(x) \}$

The common method in this case to set

$$F(x,\lambda) = (1-\lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Conclusions

One Reason and Approach

One reason is multi-objective optimization

 $\min_{x} \{ f_0(x) \text{ and } f_1(x) \}$

The common method in this case to set

$$F(x,\lambda) = (1-\lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x)$$

But if

$$\mathrm{dom} f_0 \cap \mathrm{dom} f_1 \neq \mathrm{dom} f_i$$

this does not "behave well"



An alternate approach

In 2008, Bauschke, Lucet, & Trienis proposed the Proximal Average

$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,\lambda) = \left((1-\lambda) \left(f_0 + \frac{1}{2}q \right)^* + \lambda \left(f_1 + \frac{1}{2}q \right)^* \right)^* (x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x)$$

where

$$f^*(y) := \sup_x \{ \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \}$$

and

$$q(x) = ||x||^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Theorem:

Let f_0 and f_1 be proper **convex** lsc. Then for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

• $\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}$ is a well-defined and convex

$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,0) = f_0(x) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,1) = f_1(x)$$

(a) $\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}$ is epi-continuous in λ

 $F \text{ is Epi-cont in } \lambda \text{ if} \\ epiF(\cdot, \lambda_k) = \{(x, \alpha) : \alpha \ge F(x, \lambda_k)\} \\ \text{converges setwise to } epiF(\cdot, \lambda) \text{ as } \lambda_k \to \lambda. \end{cases}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conclusions

Proximal Average for nonconvex functions

Can a Proximal Average work if f_i are nonconvex?



Proximal Envelopes and the NC-Proximal Average

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Relation to Proximal Envelopes

The Proximal Envelope* and Proximal Point Mapping

$$e_r f(x) := \inf_y \left\{ f(y) + \frac{r}{2} |y - x|^2 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_r f(x) := \operatorname{argmin}_y \left\{ f(y) + \frac{r}{2} |y - x|^2 \right\}$$

We call r the prox-parameter and x the prox-center

f is **prox-bounded** if $e_r f$ is well-defined for some r > 0

threshold of prox-boundedness = greatest lower bound on such r

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Relation to Proximal Envelopes

The Proximal Envelope* and Proximal Point Mapping

$$e_r f(x) := \inf_y \left\{ f(y) + \frac{r}{2} |y - x|^2 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_r f(x) := \operatorname{argmin}_y \left\{ f(y) + \frac{r}{2} |y - x|^2 \right\}$$

We call r the prox-parameter and x the prox-center

f is **prox-bounded** if $e_r f$ is well-defined for some r > 0

threshold of prox-boundedness = greatest lower bound on such *r*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

* Moreau Envelope, Yosida Regularization, Tikhonov Regularization, etc...



Relation to Proximal Envelopes

Proximal Envelopes are related to conjugate functions via

$$\left(f+\frac{r}{2}q\right)^{*}(rx)=\left(-e_{r}f+\frac{r}{2}q\right)(x)$$

Using this, we see

$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,\lambda) = \left((1-\lambda) \left(f_0 + \frac{1}{2}q \right)^* + \lambda \left(f_1 + \frac{1}{2}q \right)^* \right)^* (x) - \frac{1}{2}q(x)$$
$$= -e_1(-(1-\lambda)e_1f_0 - \lambda e_1f_1)(x)$$

So

$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,0) = -e_1(-e_1f_0)(x)$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,1) = -e_1(-e_1f_1)(x)$



$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,0) = -e_1(-e_1f_0)(x)$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,1) = -e_1(-e_1f_1)(x)$
Why/when does $f_i = -e_1(-e_1f_i)$?



$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,0) = -e_1(-e_1f_0)(x)$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}(x,1) = -e_1(-e_1f_1)(x)$
Why/when does $f_i = -e_1(-e_1f_i)$?

[Rockafellar & Wets, '98]

$$-e_r(-e_rf) = f \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f + \frac{r}{2}q$$
 is convex and lsc



This suggests a new, broader, form for the proximal average

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_r (-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$



[Rockafellar & Wets, '98] Let f be proper, lsc, and prox-bounded with threshold rIf $r_2 > r_1 > r$, then

$$-e_{r_2}(-e_{r_1}f)\in\mathcal{C}^{1+}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



These two insights suggest a new NC-Proximal Average:

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_{r+\lambda(1-\lambda)}(-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$



$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_{r+\lambda(1-\lambda)}(-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$

Implies

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,i) = -e_r(-e_r f_i)$$
 for $i = 0,1$

so

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,i) = f_i$$
 if $f_i + \frac{r}{2}q$ is convex and lsc



$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_{r+\lambda(1-\lambda)}(-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$

"
$$r_2 > r_1$$
" when $\lambda \in (0,1)$

SO

has

if f_i is proper, lsc, and prox-bounded, then for fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^{1+}$$
 as a function of x



$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_{r+\lambda(1-\lambda)}(-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$

 $r + \lambda(1-\lambda) > r ext{ when } \lambda \in (0,1)$

SO

has

if f_i is proper, lsc, and prox-bounded, then for fixed $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^{1+}$$
 as a function of x



$$f$$
 is **lower**- C^2 on O if
for all $x \in O$ there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $f + \frac{\rho}{2}q$ is convex on O



Basic Results Revisited

Theorem:

 f_0, f_1 lsc, proper, prox-bounded

r be greater than the threshold of prox-boundedness for f_0 and f_1 Then

- for all $\lambda \in [0,1] \mathcal{PA}$ is proper (in x)
- **2** for all $\lambda \in (0,1)$ $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}$ is lower- \mathcal{C}^2 and \mathcal{C}^{1+} (in x)
- **3** if $f_i + \frac{r}{2}q$ is convex, then $\mathcal{PA}_r(x, i) = f_i(x)$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Parametric Prox-regularity



In this talk we will assume regularity, so

$$\partial f(\bar{x}) := \{w : f(x) \ge f(\bar{x}) + \langle w, x - \bar{x} \rangle + o(|x - \bar{x}|)$$



f is **prox-regular** (**PR**) at \bar{x} for $\bar{v} \in \partial f(\bar{x})$ if *f* is locally lsc at \bar{x} and there exist $\rho > 0$ such that

$$f(x') \geq f(x) + \langle v, x' - x \rangle - rac{
ho}{2} |x' - x|^2$$

whenever x, x' near \bar{x} , f(x) near $f(\bar{x})$, $v \in \partial f(x)$ near \bar{v}

 $\mathsf{Convex} \Rightarrow \mathsf{lower-}\mathcal{C}^2 \Rightarrow \mathsf{prox-regular}$



 $f(x, \lambda)$ is parametrically prox-regular (para-**PR**) at \bar{x} with **compatible parameterization in** λ at $\bar{\lambda} \in \text{dom} f(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ for $\bar{v} \in \partial_x f(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$ if there exits $\rho > 0$ such that

$$f(x',\lambda) \geq f(x,\lambda) + \langle v,x'-x
angle - rac{
ho}{2} |x'-x|^2$$

whenever x, x' near \bar{x} , λ near $\bar{\lambda}$, $f(x, \lambda)$ near $f(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$, $v \in \partial f(x)$ near \bar{v}



Example of Parametric PR

Lemma:

 f_0 , f_1 lower- C^2 near \bar{x} Define

$$F(x,\lambda) := (1-\lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x)$$

Then F is para-PR at \bar{x} with compatible parameterization in λ at any $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1]$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Example of Parametric PR

Lemma:

 f_0 , f_1 lower- C^2 near \bar{x} Define

$$F(x,\lambda) := (1-\lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x)$$

Then F is para-PR at \bar{x} with compatible parameterization in λ at any $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1]$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Question: True for f, g PR?



Lemma:

 f_0 , f_1 lsc, proper, prox-bounded r be greater than the threshold of prox-boundedness Then

$$F(x,\lambda) := -(1-\lambda)e_rf_0(x) - \lambda e_rf_1(x)$$

is para-PR at any \bar{x} with compatible parameterization in λ at any $\bar{\lambda} \in [0,1]$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

 $(F_{\lambda}(x) = F(x, \lambda))$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Tool

Theorem [H. & Poliquin, '07] $F(x, \lambda)$ prox-bounded and para-**PR** at \bar{x} with compatible parameterization in λ at $\bar{\lambda}$ Suppose:

•
$$(0, y) \in \partial^{\infty} F(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}) \Rightarrow y = 0,$$

• $(0, \lambda') \in D^*(\partial_x F)(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda} | \bar{v})(0) \Rightarrow \lambda' = 0,$
• there exists $\rho > 0$ such that
 $(x', \lambda') \in D^*(\partial_x F)(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda} | \bar{v})(v'), v' \neq 0 \Rightarrow \langle x', v' \rangle > -\rho | v' |^2,$
• $\partial_x F(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ has a continuous selection near $\bar{\lambda}$,
Then for \bar{r} and K sufficiently large
 $\mathcal{P}_r F_{\lambda}(x)$ is single-valued, with
 $|\mathcal{P}_r F_{\lambda}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{r'} F_{\lambda'}(x')| \leq K |(r(x - \bar{x}) - r'(x' - \bar{x}), \lambda - \lambda', r - r')|,$
near $(\bar{x} + (1/r)\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{r})$

Stability of NC-Proximal Average

 f_0 , f_1 lsc, proper, prox-bounded Suppose $\mathcal{P}_r f_0$ and $\mathcal{P}_r f_1$ are Lipschitz with

$$lip\{r(\lambda \mathcal{P}_r f_0 + (1-\lambda)\mathcal{P}_r f_1 - I)\} \leq r,$$

then, for r sufficiently large and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ we have

i.
$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_r$$
 is \mathcal{C}^{1+} in x ,

ii. \mathcal{PA}_r is locally Lipschitz continuous in λ , and

iii. $\nabla_x \mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}_r$ is locally Lipschitz continuous in λ .

If for either i = 0 or i = 1 one has that $f_i + \frac{r}{2}q$ is convex, then $\mathcal{PA}_r(x, i) = f_i(x)$ for all x

Cororllary: If $f_0 + \frac{r}{2}q$ and $f_1 + \frac{r}{2}q$ are convex then all of the above holds

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○



Introductio

Proximal Envelopes

Para-PR

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Conclusions

Pretty Pictures

$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}$ and \mathcal{PA} of $f_0(x) = |x|, f_1(x) = -|x|$



* Figures thanks to Yves Lucet

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}}$ and \mathcal{PA} of $f_0(x) = |x|, f_1(x) = |x|$



* Figures thanks to Yves Lucet

Outline





Conclusions and Future Directions



- Smoothly transforming one function into another is more challenging than it looks.
- One method is the Proximal Average

$$\widehat{\mathcal{PA}} = -e_1(-(1-\lambda)e_1f_0 - \lambda e_1f_1)(x)$$

• Another method is the NC-Proximal Average

$$\mathcal{PA}(x,\lambda) = -e_{r+\lambda(1-\lambda)}(-(1-\lambda)e_rf_0 - \lambda e_rf_1)(x)$$

• This NC-Proximal Average enjoys stronger stability, but may have other drawbacks



One research direction

What is

 $\min \mathcal{PA}_r? \quad \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{PA}?$



Conclusions



What is

$\min \mathcal{PA}_r? \quad \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{PA}?$

Example:

Let $f_0 = i_A$ and $f_1 = i_B$, where A and B are convex sets. Then

$$\min_{y} \mathcal{PA}_{r} = \min_{y} \left\{ \frac{r}{2} \left((1 - \lambda) \operatorname{dist}^{2}(y, A) + \lambda \operatorname{dist}^{2}(y, B) \right) \right\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

CMS: Windsor Dec 6th, 2009



Introductio

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conclusions

Thank You



- Rockafellar & Wets, "Variational Analysis", *Springer*, New York, (1998)
- Bauschke, Lucet, & Trienis, "How to transform one convex function continuously into another," SIAM Review, 50(1), 115–132, (2008)
- Hare, "The Proximal Average of Nonconvex Functions: A Proximal Stability Perspective" *SIAM J. Optimization*, 20(2), 650–666, (2009)