Distribution *L*² Norms on Analytic Functions - the Drury-Arveson Space

Yi Wang

Vanderbilt University

yiwangfdu@gmail.com

August 2, 2021

References



Orr Shalit (2014)

Operator Theory and Function Theory in Drury–Arveson Space and Its Quotients. In: Alpay D. (eds) Operator Theory. Springer, Basel.

Quanlei Fang and Jingbo Xia (2019) Analytical aspects of the Drury-Arveson space. Handbook of analytic operator theory. 203–221

William Arveson (1998)
Subalgebras of C*-algebras III. Multivariable operator theory.
Acta Math. 181 (1998), no. 2, 159–228.

Joaquín Ortega and Joan Fàbrega (2000) Pointwise multipliers and decomposition theorems in analytic Besov spaces. *Math. Z.* 235 (2000), no. 1, 53–81.

N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg and E. Sawyer (2008)
 Carleson measures for the Drury-Arveson Hardy space and other Besov-Sobolev spaces on complex balls.

Adv. Math. 218 (2008), no. 4, 1107-1180.

It all starts with a naive observation...

Recall: For a complex linear space X, an *inner product* is a sesquilinear form that

(a)
$$\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}, \forall x, y \in X;$$

(b) $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0, \forall x \in X;$
(c) $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

A rich source of inner products come from positive measures:

$$\langle f,g
angle = \int far{g}\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

(Let us not worry about condition (c) for the moment)

Observation (continued...)

Also Recall: A measure is a special class of distribution.

A distribution in \mathbb{C}^n is an element in the dual of the test function space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (compactly supported smooth functions). A distribution can be a locally integrable function, a measure, or derivatives of them:

$$\partial^{\alpha} u(\phi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} u(\partial^{\alpha} \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

Observation: For a distribution *u*,

$$u(|\phi|^2) \geq 0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u ext{ is a positive measure.}$$

However, the collection

$$\mathcal{U} := \{ u \in \mathcal{D}^* : u(|\phi|^2) \ge 0, \forall \phi \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \}$$

contains more than positive measures.

Therefore we can talk about " L^2 norms defined by a distribution" on the space of functions. For any $u \in U$ and f holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\operatorname{supp} u$, define

$$||f||_u^2 = u(|f|^2) := \int |f|^2 \mathrm{d}u.$$

Naturally, we can define an inner product by

$$\langle f,g\rangle_u = u(f\bar{g}) := \int f\bar{g}\mathrm{d}u.$$

Distribution L^2 Norm - Examples

In fact, we have already seen such norms...

 $\bullet\,$ Choose a sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ and define

$$u = -\chi_{\epsilon \mathbb{B}_n} + \chi_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \epsilon \mathbb{B}_n}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_u$ is equivalent to the Bergman norm on \mathbb{B}_n .

• Define $u = \Delta + \delta_0$. Then for $f \in Hol(\mathbb{B}_n)$,

$$\|f\|_{u}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \Delta |f|^{2}(z) \mathrm{d}m(z) + |f(0)|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} |\nabla f(z)|^{2} \mathrm{d}m(z) + |f(0)|^{2}.$$

So $\|\cdot\|_u$ is exactly the Dirichlet norm.

• The Besov-Sobolev norms can also be defined this way...(We will come back to this later.)

So are we reinventing the wheel or does this definition give something new?

Let us take a look at the Drury-Arveson space.

The Drury-Arveson space H_n^2 is a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B}_n . There are several equivalent definitions:

• H_n^2 has orthogonal basis $\{z^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$.

$$\|z^{\alpha}\|_{H^2_n}^2 = \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!}.$$

• H_n^2 has reproducing kernels

$$K_z(w) = rac{1}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}, \quad \forall z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

• H_n^2 is the symmetric Fock space.

• Up to an equivalent norm, H_n^2 is a Besov-Sobolev space: take an integer $m > \frac{n-1}{2}$, then $\|\cdot\|_{H_n^2} \approx \|\cdot\|$, where

$$|||f|||^{2} = \sum_{|\alpha| < m} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(0) \right|^{2} + \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(z) \right|^{2} (1 - |z|^{2})^{2m-d} \mathrm{d}m(z).$$

With the previous observation, we add another definition of the Drury-Arveson space...

The Drury-Arveson Space - a different definition

Define the "radial derivative" operator

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \bigg[z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \cdots + z_n \partial_{z_n} + \bar{z_1} \bar{\partial}_{z_1} + \ldots + \bar{z_n} \bar{\partial}_{z_n} \bigg].$$

Denote $d\sigma$ the normalized surface measure of $\mathbb{S}_n = \partial \mathbb{B}_n$.

Define a distribution ν supported on \mathbb{S}_n : for any function ϕ smooth in a neighborhood of \mathbb{S}_n ,

$$\nu(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(R + (n-1)I \right) \left(R + (n-2)I \right) \dots \left(R + I \right) \phi \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

In other words, ν is the following derivative of the surface measure (in the sense of distribution):

$$\nu = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} ((n-1)I - R) \dots (I-R)\sigma.$$

The $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ Norm Agrees with the DA Norm

Theorem

(1) For
$$f \in Hol(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n})$$
,
 $\|f\|_{H_n^2}^2 = \nu(|f|^2)$.
(2) In general, for $f \in H_n^2$,
 $\|f\|_{H_n^2}^2 = \|f\|_{\nu}^2 := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \nu(|f_r|^2) = \lim_{r \to 1^-} \nu(|f_r|^2)$,
where $f_r(z) = f(rz)$.
(3) The Drury-Arveson space can be defined as
 $H_n^2 = \{f \in Hol(\mathbb{B}_n) : \sup_{0 < r < 1} \nu(|f_r|^2) < \infty\}$.

The proof is straightforward, after noticing that $R(z^{\alpha}\bar{z}^{\beta}) = \frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}z^{\alpha}\bar{z}^{\beta}$.

Recall that the Drury-Arveson norm is equivalent to the Besov-Sobolev norm $||| \cdot |||$. With the distribution representation and some integration by parts we can write the DA norm in Besov-Sobolev style.

For example, if n = 2, then

$$\|f\|_{H^2_n}^2 = |f(0)|^2 + \pi^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_2} \frac{1 - \ln |z|^2}{|z|^4} |Nf(z)|^2 \mathrm{d}m(z).$$

Here $N = z_1 \partial_{z_1} + \cdots + z_n \partial_{z_n}$.

The explicit formulas for other dimensions n can also be computed.

Some Further Thoughts - Justifying the Name Drury-Arveson Hardy Space

The $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ definition justifies the name "Drury-Arveson Hardy space" in that ν is supported on the sphere, and the $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ norm is defined as a limit.

To make it more like the Hardy space, we can work on the following problem:

Let us formally denote $\binom{R+n-1}{n-1} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(R + (n-1)I \right) \dots \left(R + I \right)$. For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n})$, we have the vector $(\partial^{\alpha} f(\zeta))_{|\alpha| \leq n-1}$. Then the operation $(\partial^{\alpha} f(\zeta))_{|\alpha| \leq n-1} \mapsto \binom{R+n-1}{n-1} |f|^2(\zeta)$ extends to a Hermitian form.

Recall that $||f||_{\nu}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}_n} {\binom{R+n-1}{n-1}} |f|^2(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$. Thus we can now embed H_n^2 into a subspace of the " L^2 sections" of some vector bundle on \mathbb{S}_n . This resembles the two definitions of the Hardy space: $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{S}_n)$.

Recall that the Multiplier algebra \mathcal{M}_n of \mathcal{H}_n^2 is

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \operatorname{Mult}(\mathcal{H}_n^2) = \{f : \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathbb{C} | fh \in \mathcal{H}_n^2 \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_n^2 \}.$$

Some properties of \mathcal{M}_n :

- (Arveson) $\mathcal{M}_n \subsetneq H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n)$;
- (Arveson) the ball algebra $A(\mathbb{B}_n) \not\subset \mathcal{M}_n$;
- (Fang and Xia) $f \in H^2_n, \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} \|fk_z\| < \infty \not\Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{M}_n.$

A successful characterization of functions in M_n is by Ortega, Fàbrega, and Arcozzi, Rochberg, Sawyer:

• (Ortega & Fàbrega) Let $f \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, and $m > \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$ if and only if the measure

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{f,m} = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |\frac{\partial^{\alpha} f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{2m-n} \mathrm{d}m(z)$$

is a Carleson measure for H_n^2 .

• (Arcozzi, Rochberg & Sawyer) A positive measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n is a Carleson measure if and only if it satisfies both the "simple condition" and the "split tree condition". The conditions are based on their Bergman tree construction.

Continuing with the previous thought: for any $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n})$ corresponds its "boundary section" $\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha}f}{\partial z^{\alpha}}(\zeta)\right)_{|\alpha| \leq n-1}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

For any $g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, the mapping

$$\left(\frac{\partial^{lpha} f}{\partial z^{lpha}}(z)\right)\mapsto \left(\frac{\partial^{lpha} gf}{\partial z^{lpha}}(z)\right)$$

determines a linear transformation.

Question: is there a characterization of $g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ in terms of these linear transformations?

A multiplier $g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ defines a multiplication operator

$$M_g f = gf, \quad \forall f \in H_n^2.$$

In particular we have the multiplication operators of coordinate functions: $M_{z_i}, i = 1, ..., n$.

The *Toeplitz algebra* \mathcal{T}_n is the *C**-algebra generated by $\{I, M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_n}\}$.

Arveson proved that

$$\mathcal{T}_n/\mathcal{K}\cong C(\mathbb{S}_n).$$

Some Further Thoughts - Toeplitz Operators on H_n^2

In the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_n of H_n^2 , the only explicitly defined operators are M_{z_i} , $M_{z_i}^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the combinations of them.

Recall the in the Bergman space version of the Toeplitz algebra, more operators are defined: for a "symbol" $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n)$, we can define the Toeplitz operator

$$T_g f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} g(w) f(w) \overline{K_z(w)} \mathrm{d}m(w).$$

With the distribution ν we are allowed to define the following "Toeplitz operators on H_n^2 ": for a "symbol function" g that is \mathcal{C}^{n-1} in a neighborhood of \mathbb{S}_n , define

$$T_g f(z) = \nu(gf\overline{K_z}), \quad \forall f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_n}), \forall z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

It is easy to see that:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \ T_g = M_g, & \forall g \in \mathcal{M}_n; \\ \bullet \ T_{\bar{g}} = M_g^*, & \forall g \in \mathcal{M}_n; \\ \bullet \ T_{\bar{g}_1g_2} = M_{g_2}^*M_{g_1}, & \forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n. \end{array}$

Thus we can ask a lot of classical questions:

- When is T_g bounded, compact, Schatten class, positive, etc.?
- Properties of commutators
- Spectrum, trace, etc.

Some Further Thoughts - von Neuman/Drury Inequality

A contraction is a bounded operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $||T|| \leq 1$. It is well-known that

(von Neumann's inequality) For every contraction T and every polynomial p,

$$||p(T)|| \le \sum_{|z|\le 1} |p(z)|.$$

A *n*-contraction is a commuting tuple (T_1, \ldots, T_n) of operators on \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \leq I$. We also have the famous Drury's inequality

(Drury's Inequality) Let (T_1, \ldots, T_n) be a *n*-contraction. Then for every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$,

 $\|p(T)\|\leq \|M_p\|_{\mathcal{M}_n}.$

Some Further Thoughts - von Neumann/Drury Inequality

Both inequalities are obtained by dilating the operator (tuple of operators) into some model space, and these model spaces are all tensors of function spaces.

Meanwhile, for commuting tuples of contractions, things are complicated. In particular, Varopoulos gives a commuting triple (R, S, T) and a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3]$ such that

$$\|p(R, S, T)\| > \sup_{|x|, |y|, |z| \le 1} |p(x, y, z)|.$$

Now that we know the Drury-Arveson space is defined by a distribution, it makes sense to ask:

Question: Is there a distribution μ supported on $\overline{\mathbb{D}^n}$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$ defines an analytic function space that serves as a model space for commuting contractions?

Yi Wang (Vanderbilt)

Other interesting questions...

- distribution L^p norms on analytic function spaces?
- Carleson distributions?

Thank you!

• • • • • • • •

æ