### Deep Learning: A Bayesian Perspective

Vadim Sokolov

George Mason University Joint work with Nick Polson

Synthesis of Statistics, Data Mining and Environmental Science in Pursuit of Knowledge Discovery October 31, 2017

<ロ > < 母 > < 主 > < 主 > 三 の Q @ 1/42

### Chicago Data

Speed, occupancy and flow, averaged over 5 minutes 1500 highway loop-detectors around Chicago area Approx 50Mb per sensor (75Gb total)



### Non-recurrent traffic patterns

#### Chicago Bears game

#### Impact on I-55 north bound travel



# Non-recurrent traffic conditions

#### Weather and Accidents

### Impact of light snow and accidents travel times Snow in DC area on January 21, 2016



# Non-recurrent traffic conditions

Impact of people protesting on a bridge over a highway Interstate I-55, 20 miles away from Chicago on February 27, 2016



#### Snapshot at 2:11 PM

### Relations are Highly Nonlinear

Shockwawe effect in traffic flows



### Forecast Fitting



< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ = ⑦ < ℃ 7/42

### Why do we care about DL?

Input space (X) includes numerical, text (word2vec), images, videos Vectors,

matrices and tensors, ...

Google's translation algorithm  $\sim$  1-2 billion parameters Alexa's speech recognition: 100 million parameters Networks will get larger and more efficient Google Waymo

Advances in computing speed (Nvidia) lets us train and implement Deep Learning in real-time.

Google Waymo's Lidar processes 6MB Data per second ...

### Multi-Layer Deep Models

NN models one layer!! Key is to use multi "deep" layers Learn weight and connections in hidden layers

Predicting House Prices ...



### Multi-Layer Faces



<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > 10/42

Deep Learning

Training & Prediction

### Kolmogorov-Arnold

There are no multivariate functions just superpositions of univariate ones

Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_L$  be given univariate activation functions. We set

$$F(X) = (f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_L)(X)$$

$$f_l = \sigma_l \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} W_{lj} X_j + b_l \right) = \sigma_l (W_l X_l + b_l), \quad 1 \le l \le L,$$

Our deep predictor has hidden units  $N_l$  and depth L. Put simply, we model a high dimensional mapping F via the superposition of univariate semi-affine functions.

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Kolmogorov-Arnold Example

Interaction terms,  $x_1x_2$  and  $(x_1x_2)^2$ , and max functions, max $(x_1, x_2)$  can be expressed as nonlinear functions of semi-affine combinations. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 x_2 &= \frac{1}{4} (x_1 + x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (x_1 - x_2)^2 \\ \max(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{2} |x_1 + x_2| + \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2| \\ (x_1 x_2)^2 &= \frac{1}{4} (x_1 + x_2)^4 + \frac{7}{4 \cdot 3^3} (x_1 - x_2)^4 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3^3} (x_1 + 2x_2)^4 - \frac{2^3}{3^3} (x_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_2)^4 \end{aligned}$$

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q C 12/42

### Shallow Learner

Our traditional model

$$\hat{Y} = f_1^{W_1,b_1}(f_2(W_2X+b_2)) = f_1^{W_1,b_1}(Z)$$

PCA:  $Z = f_2(X) = W^{\top}X + b$ PPR:  $Z = f_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} f_i(W_{i1}X_1 + \ldots + W_{ip}X_p)$ Examples: Principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares (PLS), reduced rank regression (RRR), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), project pursuit regression (PPR), and logistic regression

# Deep Learning Predictors

Smart conditional averaging

The competitors: Trees, RF, GP.



Few points will be neighbors in a high dimensional input space.

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の Q C 14/42

### Whats wrong with Kernels?

2D image of 1000 uniform samples from a 50-dimensional ball  $B_{50}$ .





### ReLU

Affine transformation defines a plane ReLU:  $f(x) = \max(0, x)$  "fires up" if point X in on the "right" side of this plane

Bias terms allow for hyperplanes not to go through 0.



# Example: Three-Layer Network

It takes 3 neurons to define 8 regions in 2D



Hyperplanes defined by three neurons with ReLU activation functions

$$\hat{Y}(X) = \sum_{k \in K} w_k(X) \hat{Y}_k(X),$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Tree vs DL example

$$Y = \operatorname{softmax}(w^0 Z^2 + b^0)$$
  
$$Z^2 = \tanh(w^2 Z^1 + b^2) \quad Z^1 = \tanh(w^1 X + b^1).$$



An advantage of deep architectures is that the number of hyper-planes grow exponentially with the number of layers.  $\hfill \equiv$ 

∽ ९ ೕ 18/42

### Academic Curiosity? ... but it works so well!!



### Still a niche? ... becoming mainstream



Worldwide. 9/3/06 - 9/3/17.

Deep learning

Deep learning
 Boosting
 Regression analysis



Worldwide. 9/3/06 - 9/3/17.

### Training, Validation, and Testing

Given the training dataset  $D = \{Y^{(i)}, X^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^T$  of input-output pairs and a loss function  $\mathcal{L}(Y, \hat{Y})$ , we compute

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}} = (\hat{\mathcal{W}}_0, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{W}}_L) \ \text{and} \ \hat{b} = (\hat{b}_0, \dots, \hat{b}_L)$$

by solving

$$\operatorname{arg\,min}_{W,b} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \mathcal{L}(Y_i, \hat{Y}^{W,b}(X_i)).$$

For the  $L_2$ -norm for a traditional least squares

$$\mathcal{L}(Y_i, \hat{Y}(X_i)) = \|Y_i - \hat{Y}(X_i)\|_2^2,$$

our target function becomes the mean-squared error (MSE).

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### **Back-Propagation**

Stochastic gradient descent adapted to a deep learning setting.

Proximal Newton Algorithm:  $\nabla \mathcal{L}$  available for deep learners.

One caveat of back-propagation is the multi-modality of the system to be solved (and the resulting slow convergence properties).

Deep learning methods heavily rely on the availability of large computational power: NVIDIA GPU and Google's TPU.



<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < C 22/42

### Tensor Processing Unit

**The problem**: Deep Learning is typically applied to large datasets.

A driverless car processes 6GB data per second.

Applications need computational speed

**The solution**: A specialized processor called Tensor Processing Unit (TPU, GPU, CPU)

Processing advances tied to TPU not CPU Google TPU 2.0 and Nvidia TeslaV100



### Image recognition has improved



#### Machines are becoming better than humans

### Application: Identifying Skin Cancer

Dataset: 130,000 images of skin lesions/2,000 different diseases Test data: 370 high-quality, biopsy-confirmed images Better performance than 23 Stanford dermatologists 10,000 hours no match for deep learning and large datasets



<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < C 25/42

### Application: Training A New Rembrandt

Analyze all 346 of Rembrandt's paintings Identify all geometric patterns used by Rembrandt. Reassemble into a fully formed face and bust



### Google: $\alpha$ Go

Supervised and Reinforcement Learning Value Function and Tree Search Inconvenient Convenient Actions executed awkwardly Fullyobserved Incomplete information Discrete action space Imperfectsimulator Perfectsimulator Longer tasks, hard to assess Relativelyshort game value Trial-and errorexperi-Hard to practice millions of ence times Largehuman datasets Small human data sources

# Google Data Center Cooling Costs Reduced by 40%

# Monitoring real-time conditions and adjusting data center climate control based on past experience



<ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト 三 の Q C 28/42

### What is Wrong with DL

#### Point estimates

#### No model selection mechanism

#### No regularization mechanism

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < C 29/42

### What is Bayes?

Incorporate prior knowledge about unknown  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  before data  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is observed

Understand uncertainty about  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  after data is observed

$$p(\theta|X) = \frac{p(x|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int p(x|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

Posterior  $p(\theta|X)$  has all the information about  $\theta$  we can extract from X, given the prior



# Bayesian Learning

Given training data D = (X, Y), the goal is to build a model  $p(y|x, \theta, X, Y)$ Define prior  $p(\theta)$ Find posterior (training)

$$p(\theta|X,Y) = \frac{p(Y|\theta,X)p(\theta)}{\int p(x|\theta,Y)p(\theta)d\theta}$$

Predict using total probability

$$p(y_{\mathsf{new}}|x_{\mathsf{new}}, X, Y) = \int p(y_{\mathsf{new}}|x_{\mathsf{new}}, \theta) p(\theta|X, Y) d\theta$$

Bayes predictor averages over all of the models parametrized by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 

*equation* = intractable

### Probabilistic Interpretation

In a traditional probabilistic setting, view the output Y as a random variable generated by a probability model  $p(Y|Y^{W,b}(X))$  with conditioning is on the predictor  $\hat{Y}(X)$ .

The loss function is then

$$\mathcal{L}(Y, \hat{Y}) = -\log p(Y|Y^{\hat{W},\hat{b}}(X)),$$

the negative log-likelihood.

When predicting the probability of congestion, we have a multinomial logistic regression model with cross-entropy loss function.

### Bayes + DL

Bayesian inference for DL: reparameterization

Calculate Monte Carlo gradients using variational inference.

The variation inference approximates the posterior  $p(\theta \mid X, Y)$  with a variation distribution  $q(\theta \mid \phi)$ ,  $\theta = (W, b)$ .

$$\mathsf{KL}(q \mid\mid p) = \int q(\theta \mid D, \phi) \log rac{q(\theta \mid D, \phi)}{p(\theta \mid D)} d\theta.$$

<ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < で 33/42

### Variational Inference

KL requires intractable 
$$\log p(\theta \mid D)$$
  
Useful identity

$$\log p(D) = \mathsf{ELBO}(\phi) + \mathsf{KL}(q \mid\mid p)$$

The sum does not depend on  $\phi$ , thus minimizing KL( $q \parallel p$ ) is the same that maximizing

$$\mathsf{ELBO}(\phi) = \int q(\theta \mid D, \phi) \log \frac{p(Y \mid X, \theta) p(\theta)}{q(\theta \mid D, \phi)} d\theta$$

 $\mathsf{ELBO}(\phi) o \max_{\phi}$  is solved using stochastic gradient descent.

(ロ) (日) (日) (三) (三) (三) (34/42)

### Gradient of ELBO

To calculate the gradient, it is convenient to write the ELBO as

$$\mathsf{ELBO}(\phi) = \int q(\theta \mid D, \phi) \log p(Y \mid X, \theta) d\theta - \int q(\theta \mid D, \phi) \log \frac{q(\theta \mid D, \phi)}{p(\theta)} d\theta$$

 $\nabla_{\phi} \int q(\theta \mid D, Y, \phi) \log p(Y \mid X, \theta) d\theta = \nabla_{\phi} E_{\theta \sim q} \log p(Y \mid X, \theta)$ 

Is not a expectation!

### Reparametrization

Reparametrization trick represents  $\theta$  as a value of a deterministic function,  $\theta = g(\epsilon, X, \phi)$ , where  $\epsilon \sim r(\epsilon)$  does not depend on  $\phi$ . Now, the derivative is given by

$$\nabla_{\phi} E_q \log p(Y \mid X, \theta) = \int r(\epsilon) \nabla_{\phi} \log p(Y \mid g(\epsilon, x, \phi)) d\epsilon = E_{\epsilon} [\nabla_g \log p(Y \mid g(\epsilon, X, \phi)) \nabla_{\phi} g(\epsilon, X, \phi)].$$

The reparametrization is trivial in the case when  $q(\theta \mid D, \phi) = N(\theta \mid \mu(D, \phi), \Sigma(D, \phi))$ , than  $\theta = \mu(D, \phi) + \epsilon \Sigma(D, \phi), \ \epsilon \sim N(0, I).$ 

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Bayesian Regularisation

Typically we find MAP (poor man's version of Bayes) estimator via

$$\log p(Y|X, \theta) + \log p(\theta) \to \max_{\theta}$$

Via VI we search for distribution over  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 

$$\int q(\theta|D,\phi) \log p(Y|X,\theta) d\theta - \mathrm{KL}(q(\theta|\phi)||p(\theta)) \to \max_{\phi}$$

Equivalent to adding noise to the DL parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  at each iteration

### Normal Dropout

Dropout is a model selection technique designed to avoid over-fitting in the training process.

Normal dropout add normal noise to  $\theta$  at each iteration.

. . .

The dropout architecture becomes

$$D_i^{(l)} \sim N(1, \sigma^2),$$
  

$$W^{(l)} = W^{(l)} \star D^{(l)},$$
  

$$Z_i^{(l)} = W_i^{(l)} X^{(l)} + b_i^{(l)}.$$

(ロ) (日) (日) (三) (三) (三) (38/42)

# Bayesian Regularization for DL

Take  $q(\theta | \alpha, \gamma) = N(\theta | \alpha, \gamma \alpha^2)$ Then Bayesian regularization (ELBO)

$$\int N(\theta | \alpha, \gamma \alpha^2) \log p(Y | X, \theta) d\theta - \mathrm{KL}(q || p(\theta)) \to \max_{\alpha}$$

First term is the objective function of the DL + Normal Dropout training procedure We have additional KL term!

We have additional KL term!

Need to find  $p(\theta)$  so that KL does not depend on  $\alpha$ 



# Bayes DL Classification

2-layer network (MLP) with tanh activation

5-neurons

1000 observations (.5 for training)



## Prediction

Used automated variational inference (AVI) Can calculate uncertainty in predicted value!



# Bayes DL Classification II

2-layer network (MLP) with tanh activation

5-neurons

60k observations (60k for training)



4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 の 4 で 43/42

### Discussion

Many successful applications. Extremely high dimensionality SGD is very powerful tool to obtain point estimates Recently: first steps towards Bayes + DL: Dropout + VI Still baby steps, methods are not scalable (4 hours to train DL for MNIST vs 2 minutes for Chicago Traffic) Uncertainty assertion for deep predictors? Decision making and policy under uncertainty?