

Constructive Comfort-compactness

Iosif Petrakis

Ludwig-Maximilians Universität Munich

Casa Matemática Oaxaca
17.11.2016

Comfort used the notion of maximal ideal to **define** classical topological compactness.

He wanted to avoid the use of the axiom choice in the construction of the Stone-Čech compactification and the proof of the Tychonoff theorem.

*It is my feeling, however, that the definition of compactness relative to which the theorems of Stone-Čech and Tychonoff are unprovable without the axiom of choice is, from the point of view of topological analysis and the theory of rings of continuous functions, **unnatural** and **unsuitable**.*

1. He defined a topological space to be compact, if it is a completely regular Hausdorff space for which each maximal ideal in $C^*(X)$ is fixed.
2. Using classical logic, but avoiding the axiom of choice, he showed many expected properties for his notion of compactness.
3. Based on a theorem of Stone-Čech type he proved the corresponding Tychonoff theorem.

For this proof of Tychonoff theorem he writes:

My own attempts to prove this result "directly" have been unsuccessful, and this lends some interest to the proof of (the Tychonoff) Theorem ... and the theorem of Stone-Čech type upon which it depends.

A Bishop space (function space) is a function-theoretic constructive alternative to the notion of topological space.

Bishop 1967, Bridges 2012, Ishihara 2013, P. 2015

It is a theory within BISH*.

Formal counterpart to BISH*: Myhill's CST*, or CZF + REA + DC.

Continuity as a primitive notion

A **Bishop space** is a pair $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$, where X is an inhabited set and $F \subseteq \mathbb{F}(X)$, a **Bishop topology**, or simply a **topology**, satisfies the following conditions:

$$(BS_1) \ a \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{a} \in F.$$

$$(BS_2) \ f \in F \rightarrow g \in F \rightarrow f + g \in F.$$

$$(BS_3) \ f \in F \rightarrow \phi \in B(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \phi \circ f \in F,$$

$$(BS_4) \ f \in \mathbb{F}(X) \rightarrow U(F, f) \rightarrow f \in F,$$

If $f, g \in \mathbb{F}(X)$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{F}(X)$, we define $U(g, f, \epsilon)$ and $U(\Phi, f)$ by

$$U(g, f, \epsilon) := \forall_{x \in X} (|g(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon),$$

$$U(\Phi, f) := \forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{g \in \Phi} (U(g, f, \epsilon)).$$

$$fg, \lambda f, -f, f \vee g, f \wedge g, |f| \in F$$

$$\text{Const}(X) \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{F}(X)$$

A **morphism** from $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ to $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ is a function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\forall_{g \in G} (g \circ h \in F).$$

It captures uniform continuity!

We denote $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ the set of the morphisms from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} .

$F = \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R})$, where $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, B(\mathbb{R}))$ is the **Bishop space of reals**.

The least topology $\bigvee F_0$ generated by a given **subbase** $F_0 \subseteq \mathbb{F}(X)$

$$\frac{f_0 \in F_0}{f_0 \in \bigvee F_0} \quad \frac{a \in \mathbb{R}}{\bar{a} \in \bigvee F_0} \quad \frac{f, g \in \bigvee F_0}{f + g \in \bigvee F_0},$$

$$\frac{f \in \bigvee F_0, \phi \in B(\mathbb{R})}{\phi \circ f \in \bigvee F_0} \quad \frac{(g \in \bigvee F_0, U(g, f, \epsilon))_{\epsilon > 0}}{f \in \bigvee F_0},$$

$$\frac{g_1 \in \bigvee F_0 \wedge U(g_1, f, \frac{1}{2}), g_2 \in \bigvee F_0 \wedge U(g_2, f, \frac{1}{2^2}), g_3 \in \bigvee F_0 \wedge U(g_3, f, \frac{1}{2^3}), \dots}{f \in \bigvee F_0}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall_{f_0 \in F_0} (P(f_0)) \rightarrow \\ & \forall_{a \in \mathbb{R}} (P(\bar{a})) \rightarrow \\ & \forall_{f, g \in \bigvee F_0} (P(f) \rightarrow P(g) \rightarrow P(f + g)) \rightarrow \\ & \forall_{f \in \bigvee F_0} \forall_{\phi \in B(\mathbb{R})} (P(f) \rightarrow P(\phi \circ f)) \rightarrow \\ & \forall_{f \in \bigvee F_0} (\forall_{\epsilon > 0} \exists_{g \in \bigvee F_0} (P(g) \wedge U(g, f, \epsilon)) \rightarrow P(f)) \rightarrow \\ & \forall_{f \in \bigvee F_0} (P(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Lifting of morphisms: If $\mathcal{G} = (Y, \mathcal{F}(G_0))$, then $h : X \rightarrow Y \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ if and only if $\forall_{g_0 \in G_0} (g_0 \circ h \in F)$.

A **base** Φ_0 of a topology F on X is an inhabited subset of F such that $\mathcal{U}(\Phi_0) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}(X) \mid \mathcal{U}(\Phi_0, f)\} = F$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$, $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ be Bishop spaces, $A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is onto Y . The **product** Bishop space $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} = (X \times Y, F \times G)$ of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , **relative** Bishop space $\mathcal{F}|_A = (A, F|_A)$ on A , and the **quotient topology** G_ϕ on Y are defined, respectively, by

$$F \times G := \bigvee [\{f \circ \pi_1 \mid f \in F\} \cup \{g \circ \pi_2 \mid g \in G\}] =: \bigvee_{\substack{g \in G \\ f \in F}} f \circ \pi_1, g \circ \pi_2,$$

$$F|_A = \bigvee \{f|_A \mid f \in F\} =: \bigvee_{f \in F} f|_A.$$

$$F_\phi := \{g \in \mathbb{F}(Y) \mid g \circ \phi \in F\}.$$

F is separating, if $\forall_{x,y \in X} (\forall_{f \in F} (f(x) = f(y)) \rightarrow x = y)$.

Theorem (Stone-Čech theorem for Bishop spaces)

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a Bishop space, there exists a Bishop space $\rho\mathcal{F} = (\rho X, \rho F)$ and a mapping $\tau_X : X \rightarrow \rho X \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \rho\mathcal{F})$ such that:

- (i) The topology ρF is separating.
- (ii) The induced mapping $T_X : \rho F \rightarrow F$ of τ_X is an algebra and lattice isomorphism.
- (iii) For every $f \in F$ there exists a unique $\rho f \in \rho F$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & \rho X \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \rho f \\ & & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Theorem (Tychonoff embedding theorem for Bishop spaces)

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a Bishop space, F is separating if and only if \mathcal{F} is topologically embedded into the Euclidean Bishop space \mathcal{R}^F .

Theorem (The first base theorem)

If $F_0 \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*(X)$, then $\bigvee_0 F_0$ is a base of $\bigvee F_0$.

If $\Phi : \text{On} \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$\Phi_0 = F_0,$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha+1} = \bigvee_0 \overline{\Phi_\alpha},$$

$$\Phi_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \Phi_\alpha, \quad \lambda \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

then, classically,

$$\bigvee F_0 = \Phi_{\omega_1},$$

where ω_1 is the first uncountable limit ordinal.

Theorem (The second base theorem)

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, \bigvee F_0)$ is a Bishop space such that every element of F_0 is bounded and $\Phi \subseteq F$ such that

- (i) $F_0 \subseteq \Phi$,
 - (ii) $\text{Const}(X) \subseteq \Phi$,
 - (iii) Φ is closed under addition and multiplication,
- then Φ is a base for $\bigvee F_0$.

Proof.

By the first base theorem one shows $\overline{\Phi} = \overline{\bigvee_0 F_0} = F$ (use of the Weierstrass approximation theorem). \square

$$F \oplus G := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in F, g_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, \phi_j \in \Sigma_0, 1 \leq j \leq m \right\},$$

$$\Sigma_0 := \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^n (f_k \circ \pi_k) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, f_k \in F_k, 1 \leq k \leq n \right\}.$$

Corollary

If (X, F) and (Y, G) are pseudo-compact Bishop spaces, $F \oplus G$ is a base for $F \times G$.

Corollary

If $\mathcal{F}_n = (X_n, F_n)$ is a sequence of pseudo-compact Bishop spaces and $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$, where $X = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ and $F = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$, then $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ is a base for F .

$$M(f) := \{|f(x)| \mid x \in X\},$$

$$N(f) := \{a \geq 0 \mid |f| \leq \bar{a}\}.$$

Definition

If F is a topology on X and $f \in F^*$, we call f **normable**, if $\sup M(f)$ exists and its norm is defined by

$$\|f\| = \sup M(f).$$

We call f **weakly normable**, if $\text{lub} M(f)$ exists and its weak norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_w = \text{lub} M(f).$$

If f is normable, then f is weakly normable and $\|f\|_w = \|f\|$. Moreover, $\|f\|_w = \inf N(f)$.

Definition

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ and $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ are Bishop spaces, a function $T : F \rightarrow G$ is called a **ring homomorphism**, or simply a **homomorphism**, if

$$T(f_1 + f_2) = T(f_1) + T(f_2),$$

$$T(f_1 f_2) = T(f_1)T(f_2),$$

for every $f_1, f_2 \in F$. We denote the set of homomorphisms between F and G by $\text{Hom}(F, G)$. A homomorphism T is called **non-zero**, if $T(\bar{1})(y) > 0$, for some $y \in Y$. We denote the set of non-zero homomorphisms between F and G by $\text{Hom}^*(F, G)$. If $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ is in $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$, the **induced homomorphism** $T : F \rightarrow G$ from τ is defined by $T(f) := f \circ \tau$, for every $f \in F$.

$$T(\bar{0}) = \bar{0} \text{ and } T(-f) = -T(f).$$

If $f \geq \bar{0}$, then $T(f) \geq \bar{0}$.

$$T(|f|) = |T(f)|.$$

$$T(f_1 \vee f_2) = T(f_1) \vee T(f_2) \text{ and } T(f_1 \wedge f_2) = T(f_1) \wedge T(f_2).$$

$$T(\bar{1})(y) \in 2.$$

$$T(\bar{n}) \leq \bar{n}, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$T(F^*) \subseteq G^*.$$

$$T(\bar{a}f) = \bar{a}T(f) \text{ (non-trivial).}$$

$$|T(\bar{a})| \leq |\bar{a}|.$$

If T is non-zero, then $T(\bar{a})$ is normable and $\|T(\bar{a})\| = |a|$.

If $a \geq 0$, then $T(\bar{a}) \leq \bar{a}$.

If $T(f) \leq \bar{a}$, then $T(f) \leq T(\bar{a})$.

If $T(f) \geq \bar{a}$, then $T(f) \geq T(\bar{a})$.

Definition

If $G = \text{Const}(\{x\}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, a homomorphism between F and \mathbb{R} is called a **character** of F . We denote their set by $\text{Char}(F)$ and the set of the non-zero characters of F by $\text{Char}^*(F)$.

A non-zero character π of F is called **fixed**, if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\pi = \pi_x$, where

$$\pi_x(f) = f(x),$$

for every $f \in F$. In this case x is a **fixing point** for π , or x **fixes** π . We denote the set of fixed characters of F by $\text{Char}^{**}(F)$.

The **kernel** $\ker(\pi)$ of a non-zero character π of F is defined by

$$\ker(\pi) := \{f \in F \mid \pi(f) = 0\}.$$

Clearly, if F separates the points of X , there is a unique fixing point for a fixed character of F .

Corollary

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F), \mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ be Bishop spaces.

(i) If G is 2-connected, and $T \in \text{Hom}^*(F, G)$, then $T(\bar{1}) = \bar{1}$.

(ii) If $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(F)$, then π is onto \mathbb{R} ; in fact $\pi(\bar{a}) = a$, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) If $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero ring homomorphism i.e., $\pi(1) > 0$, then π is the identity.

Proof.

(i) One shows that if G is 2-connected, $T(\bar{1})$ is constant, and since $T(\bar{1})(y) = 1$ for some $y \in Y$, $T(\bar{1}) = \bar{1}$.

(ii) Since \mathbb{R} is isomorphic to $\text{Const}(\{y\})$, which is a 2-connected topology, by (i) we get that $\pi(\bar{1}) = \bar{1}$ and $\pi(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}\pi(\bar{1}) = \bar{a}\bar{1} = \bar{a}$, which corresponds to a .

(iii) We consider $F = \text{Const}(\{x\})$ and we use (ii). □

Proposition

If X is an inhabited set, $x \in X$, $F_0 \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*(X)$, and $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(\bigvee F_0)$ such that $\pi(f_0) = f_0(x)$, for every $f_0 \in F_0$, then $\pi = \pi_x$.

Proposition

Let $T \in \text{Hom}(F, G)$ be an injection, $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(F^*)$, and $f \in F^*$.

(i) If $f, T(f)$ are weakly normable, then $\|T(f)\|_w = \|f\|_w$.

(ii) If $f, T(f)$ are normable, then $\|T(f)\| = \|f\|$.

(iii) If f is normable, then $\sup\{|\pi(f)| \mid \pi \in \text{Char}^*(F^*)\}$ exists and

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|\pi(f)| \mid \pi \in \text{Char}^*(F^*)\}.$$

Theorem (The character extension-theorem (CET))

Let $(X, F), (Y, G)$ be Bishop spaces, $F = F^*$, and Φ a base for F which includes $\text{Const}(X)$ and it is closed under addition and multiplication. If $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow G$ is a ring homomorphism, there exists a unique homomorphism $\Pi : F \rightarrow G$ which extends π .

Corollary

Let (X, F) be a Bishop space such that $F = F^*$, and Φ a base for F which includes $\text{Const}(X)$ and it is closed under addition and multiplication. If $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a ring homomorphism, there exists a unique character $\Pi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of F which extends π . Moreover, if there exists some $x \in X$ such that $\pi(\theta) = \theta(x)$, for every $\theta \in \Phi$, then $\Pi = \pi_x$.

Proof.

If Y is an inhabited set, then $\text{Const}(X)$ is ring-isomorphic to \mathbb{R} , and we use the CET. Since π_x also extends π , by the uniqueness of the character extension we get that $\Pi = \pi_x$. \square

Corollary

Let $(X, \bigvee F_0)$ be a Bishop space such that $F_0 \subseteq F^*(X)$, and $\Phi \subseteq \bigvee F_0$ such that Φ includes the sets $\text{Const}(X)$ and F_0 , and it is closed under addition and multiplication. If (Y, G) is a Bishop space and $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow G$ is a ring homomorphism, there exists a unique homomorphism $\Pi : \bigvee F_0 \rightarrow G$ which extends π .



$$F \oplus G := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in F, g_i \in G, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F), \mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ be Bishop spaces, $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(F)$, and $\varpi \in \text{Char}^*(G)$. The function $\pi \oplus \varpi : F \oplus G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(\pi \oplus \varpi)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y)\right) := \sum_{i=1}^n \pi(f_i)\varpi(g_i),$$

is a non-zero ring homomorphism.

Corollary

- (i) There exists a unique element of $\text{Char}^*(F \times G)$ which extends $\pi \oplus \varpi$.
(ii) If $\pi = \pi_x$, for some $x \in X$, and $\varpi = \varpi_y$, for some $y \in Y$, their unique character extension on $F \times G$ is fixed and (x, y) is a fixing point for it.

Proof.

- (i) Since $F \oplus G$ is a base for $F \times G$, and since $\pi \oplus \varpi : F \oplus G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a ring homomorphism, there is a unique character extension of $\pi \oplus \varpi$ on $F \times G$.
(ii) If $\Pi_{(x,y)}$ is the fixed character on $F \times G$ fixed by (x, y) , then

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_x \oplus \varpi_y)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y)\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_x(f_i) \varpi_y(g_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) g_i(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(x, y) (g_i \circ \pi_Y)(x, y) \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y)\right](x, y) \\ &= \Pi_{(x,y)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (f_i \circ \pi_X)(g_i \circ \pi_Y)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Suppose that $(X, F), (Y, G)$ are Bishop spaces, $\Pi \in \text{Char}(F \times G)$, and that $\pi_\Pi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varpi_\Pi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined, for every $f \in F$ and $g \in G$, respectively, by

$$\pi_\Pi(f) = \Pi(f \circ \pi_X), \quad \varpi_\Pi(g) = \Pi(g \circ \pi_Y).$$

- (i) $\pi_\Pi \in \text{Char}(F)$ and $\varpi_\Pi \in \text{Char}(G)$.
- (ii) If $\Pi \in \text{Char}^*(F \times G)$, then $\pi_\Pi \in \text{Char}^*(F)$ and $\varpi_\Pi \in \text{Char}^*(G)$.
- (iii) If $\Pi \in \text{Char}^{**}(F \times G)$ and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ fixes Π , then $\pi_\Pi \in \text{Char}^{**}(F)$, $\varpi_\Pi \in \text{Char}^{**}(G)$ such that x fixes π_Π and y fixes ϖ_Π .
- (iv) If F, G are pseudo-compact and $\Pi \in \text{Char}^*(F \times G)$, then $\Pi = \pi_\Pi \oplus \varpi_\Pi$, and if $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(F)$, $\varpi \in \text{Char}^*(G)$ such that $\Pi = \pi \oplus \varpi$, then $\pi = \pi_\Pi$ and $\varpi = \varpi_\Pi$.

All results on the characters of the finite product of Bishop topologies extend to the case of the countable product of pseudo-compact Bishop topologies.

$D \subseteq X$ is F -dense in X , if for all $f, g \in F$, such that $f|_D = g|_D$, then $f = g$.

$A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, we $\subseteq X$ inhabited is **embedded** in X , if $\forall g \in F|_A \exists f \in F (g = f|_A)$ i.e., if $F|_A = \{f|_A \mid f \in F\}$.

Proposition

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a pseudo-compact space and $A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, the following are equivalent.

(i) A is F -dense and embedded in X .

(ii) For every $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(F)$, the mapping $\pi|_A : F|_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $\pi|_A(f|_A) = \pi(f)$, for every $f \in F$, is in $\text{Char}^*(F|_A)$.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a pseudo-compact space and $A \subseteq X$ inhabited. If $\varpi \in \text{Char}^*(F|_A)$, the map $\varpi^* : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\varpi^*(f) = \varpi(f|_A)$, for every $f \in F$, is in $\text{Char}^*(F)$. Moreover, if $a \in A$ fixes ϖ , then a fixes ϖ^* .

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a pseudo-compact space and $A \subseteq X$ inhabited. If $\varpi_1, \varpi_2 \in \text{Char}^*(F|_A)$ such that $\varpi_1(f|_A) = \varpi_2(f|_A)$, for every $f \in F$, then $\varpi_1 = \varpi_2$.

$$U(f) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\}$$

A is F -closed $\leftrightarrow \forall x \in X (\forall f \in F (f(x) > 0 \rightarrow \exists a \in A (f(a) > 0)) \rightarrow x \in A)$,

$$\bar{A} := \{x \in X \mid \forall f \in F (f(x) > 0 \rightarrow \exists a \in A (f(a) > 0))\}.$$

Definition

Let F be a pseudo-compact topology on some X that separates the points of X , and $A \subseteq X$ inhabited. The notions A is c -closed and the c -closure of A are defined respectively by

A is c -closed $\leftrightarrow \forall x \in X (\varpi_{x|A} \in \text{Char}_0^*(F|_A) \rightarrow x \in A)$,

$$c(A) := \{x \in X \mid \varpi_{x|A} \in \text{Char}_0^*(F|_A)\},$$

where

$$\text{Char}_0^*(F|_A) := \{\varpi|_{F_0(A)} \mid \varpi \in \text{Char}^*(F|_A)\},$$

$$F_0(A) := \{f|_A \mid f \in F\},$$

and $\varpi_{x|A} : F_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\varpi_{x|A}(f|_A) = \varpi_x(f) = f(x),$$

for every $f \in F$.

Proposition

Let F be a pseudo-compact topology on X that separates its points and $A, B \subseteq X$ inhabited.

(i) $A \subseteq c(A)$.

(ii) A is c -closed if and only if $c(A) = A$.

(iii) If $A, B \subseteq X$ are c -closed and $A \cap B$ is inhabited, then $A \cap B$ is c -closed.

(iv) $A \subseteq B \rightarrow c(A) \subseteq c(B)$.

Theorem

If F is a pseudo-compact topology on X that separates its points and $A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, then A is embedded in $c(A)$ and A is $F|_{c(A)}$ -dense in $c(A)$.

Corollary

If F is a pseudo-compact topology on X that separates its points and $A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, then $c(A)$ is the least c -closed set including A .

Corollary (CLASS)

Let F be a pseudo-compact topology on X that separates its points and $A \subseteq X$ inhabited.

(i) $c(A) \subseteq \bar{A}$.

(ii) If A is F -closed, then A is c -closed.

Corollary

$c(0, 1) = [0, 1]$.

Proof.

It is immediate that $(0, 1)$ is $C_u([0, 1])$ -dense in $[0, 1]$ and embedded in $[0, 1]$, hence $\pi_1|_{(0,1)} \in \text{Char}^*(B(0, 1))$. Hence $\pi_1|_{(0,1)}(f|_{(0,1)}) = \pi_1(f) = f(1)$, for every $f \in C_u([0, 1])$ i.e., $1 \in c(0, 1)$. Similarly we show that $0 \in c(0, 1)$. \square

A deficiency of Comfort-compactness is that one needs the axiom of choice to show that such a Comfort-compact space is pseudo-compact (Feferman 1965: it is consistent with ZFC that every ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} is fixed, and \mathbb{N} is not pseudo-compact.). There is a bijection between maximal ideals of $C^*(X)$ and its characters. We suppose that our space is already pseudo-compact.

Definition

If F is a Bishop topology on some inhabited set X that separates the points of X , we call F **c -compact**, or **Comfort-compact**, if it pseudo-compact and

$$\forall \pi \in \text{Char}^*(F) \exists x \in X (\pi = \pi_x).$$

Proposition

If $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a c -compact Bishop space and $A \subseteq X$ is inhabited, then $F|_A$ is c -compact if and only if A is c -closed.

Proof.

Suppose that A is c -compact, $x \in X$ and $\varpi \in \text{Char}^*(F|_A)$ such that $\forall f \in F(\varpi(f|_A) = f(x))$. If $a \in A$ is a fixing point for ϖ , then $\forall f \in F(\varpi(f|_A) = f|_A(a) = f(a))$, therefore $\forall f \in F(f(a) = f(x))$. Since F separates the points of X , we have that $a = x$, hence $x \in A$. If A is c -closed, then if $\varpi \in \text{Char}^*(F|_A)$, the map $\pi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\pi(f) = \varpi(f|_A)$, for every $f \in F$, is in $\text{Char}^*(F)$. Since F is c -compact, there exists some $x \in X$ that fixes π , therefore $\forall f \in F(\pi(f) = \varpi(f|_A) = f(x))$. Since A is c -closed, $x \in A$, hence $\forall f \in F(\varpi(f|_A) = f|_A(x))$. Since $x \in A$ fixes ϖ on every element of the subbase of $F|_A$, x fixes ϖ . \square

Theorem (countable Tychonoff theorem for c -compact Bishop spaces)

If $\mathcal{F}_n = (X_n, F_n)$ is a c -compact Bishop space, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the product $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n = (\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n, \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n)$ is c -compact.

Proof.

The countable product of pseudo-compact topologies that separate the points of the corresponding spaces is pseudo-compact and separates the points of the product space. If $\varpi_n \in \text{Char}^*(F_n)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varpi_n : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varpi_n \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} (f_{k,j} \circ \pi_k) \right) := \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^{n_j} \varpi_k(f_{k,j}),$$

and then uniquely extended to the product topology according to the extension theorem, is in $\text{Char}^*(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n)$. Every element of $\text{Char}^*(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n)$ is generated by a function defined on the base $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ as above. Since the space \mathcal{F}_n is c -compact, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ is c -compact. \square

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a c -compact Bishop space, $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ a Bishop space and $T : F \rightarrow G$ a non-zero ring homomorphism. There exists a mapping $\tau : Y \rightarrow X \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $T(f) = f \circ \tau$, for every $f \in F$.

Theorem (Banach-Stone theorem for c -compact spaces)

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ and $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ be c -compact Bishop spaces. If the rings F, G are isomorphic, then the Bishop spaces \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are isomorphic.

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a c -compact space and $D \subseteq X$ is F -dense and embedded in X . If $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ is c -compact and $h : D \rightarrow Y \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}|_D, \mathcal{G})$, there exists a unique mapping $\tilde{h} : X \rightarrow Y \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ such that $\tilde{h}|_D = h$.

Bishop proved in a highly technical way the c -compactness of a compact metric space, based on the theory of normed spaces.

Proposition (Bishop)

Let K be a compact metric space, and Γ the set of all non-zero bounded multiplicative linear functionals on $C_u(K, \mathbb{F})$, where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, or \mathbb{C} . Then every element of Γ is of the form π_x for some $x \in K$.

Proposition

If (X, d) is a compact metric space, then $\ker(\pi)$ is a located subset of $C_u(X)$, for every $\pi \in \text{Char}^(C_u(X))$. Moreover, there is at most one $x \in X$ such that $d_x \in \ker(\pi)$, and if there is $x \in X$ such that $d_x \in \ker(\pi)$, then $\pi = \pi_x$.*

Theorem

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$, and let $\text{id}_{[a,b]}$ be the identity on $[a, b]$.

(i) $C_u([a, b]) = \bigvee \text{id}_{[a,b]}$.

(ii) $C_u([a, b])$ is c -compact.

Proof.

(i) Let $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in C_u([a, b])$. Since $\phi([a, b]) \subseteq I$, where I is some compact interval of \mathbb{R} , by Bishop's version of the Tietze extension theorem there is some $\tilde{\phi} \in B(\mathbb{R})$ which extends ϕ . Hence $\phi = \tilde{\phi} \circ \text{id}_{[a,b]} \in \bigvee \text{id}_{[a,b]}$.

(ii) If $\pi \in \text{Char}^*(C_u([a, b]))$, let $\pi(\text{id}_{[a,b]}) = w$. Since $\bar{a} \leq \text{id}_{[a,b]} \leq \bar{b}$, we have that $a = \pi(\bar{a}) \leq w \leq \pi(\bar{b}) = b$, therefore

$$\pi(\text{id}_{[a,b]}) = w = \text{id}_{[a,b]}(w).$$

By (i) we get that $\pi = \pi_w$. □

Definition

The *Hilbert cube* \mathcal{I}^∞ is the Bishop space

$$\mathcal{I}^\infty := (I^\infty, (\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}))_{|I^\infty}^{\mathbb{N}}),$$

$$I^\infty := \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in l^2(\mathbb{N}) \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} (|x_n| \leq \frac{1}{n})\},$$

$$l^2(\mathbb{N}) := \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n^2 < \infty\}.$$

Corollary

- (i) The Hilbert cube is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_{(-1)1}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{(-1)1} = ([-1, 1], C_u([-1, 1]))$.
- (ii) The Hilbert cube is a c -compact Bishop space.

Proposition

If (X, d) is a compact metric space, then $\mathcal{U}(X) = (X, C_u(X))$ is topologically embedded into the Hilbert cube.

Remark

The topological embedding e of a compact metric space X into the Hilbert cube is in $\text{Lip}(X, [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}, 1)$.

Consequently, e is uniformly continuous. This follows also directly from Bridges's backward uniform continuity theorem.

Next we show that a compact space with the uniform topology is a c -compact space i.e., **c -compactness generalizes metric compactness.**

Comfort didn't show this result.

Definition

If $(X, d), (Y, \rho)$ are metric spaces, a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *hyperinjective*, or a *hyperinjection*, if for any two compact subsets A, B of X with $\inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\} > 0$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\rho(f(a), f(b)) \geq r$, for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Proposition (Bishop-Bridges)

Let $(X, d), (Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be uniformly continuous and hyperinjective. If X is compact, then the inverse map $g : f(X) \rightarrow X$ is uniformly continuous and hyperinjective on $f(X)$, and $f(X)$ is compact.

Lemma

The topological embedding e of a compact metric space X into the Hilbert cube is hyperinjective.

Theorem

If (X, d) is a compact metric space, the Bishop space $(X, C_u(X))$ is c -compact.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a Bishop space such that F separates the points of X .

(i) \mathcal{F} is pseudo-compact space if and only if it is topologically embedded in the product space of closed intervals $\prod_{f \in F} \mathcal{M}_f$, where $\mathcal{M}_f = ([-M_f, M_f], C_u([-M_f, M_f]))$ and $M_f > 0$ is a fixed bound for $f \in F$.

(ii) If $F = \bigvee F_0$, then \mathcal{F} is pseudo-compact space if and only if it is topologically embedded in the product space of closed intervals $\prod_{f_0 \in F_0} \mathcal{M}_{f_0}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{f_0} = ([-M_{f_0}, M_{f_0}], C_u([-M_{f_0}, M_{f_0}]))$ and $M_{f_0} > 0$ is a fixed bound for $f_0 \in F_0$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a pseudo-compact Bishop space such that F separates the points of X and let e be the topological embedding of \mathcal{F} in $\prod_{f \in F} \mathcal{M}_f$.

The **Stone-Čech c -compactification** of \mathcal{F} is the Bishop space $\beta\mathcal{F} = (\beta X, \beta F)$, where $\beta X = c(e(X))$, the c -closure of $e(X)$, and

$$\beta F = \left(\bigvee_{f \in F} \text{pr}_f \right) |_{c(e(X))}.$$

If $\mathcal{F}_0 = (X, \bigvee F_0)$ is a pseudo-compact Bishop space such that $\bigvee F_0$ separates the points of X and e_0 is the topological embedding of \mathcal{F}_0 in $\prod_{f_0 \in F_0} \mathcal{M}_{f_0}$, the **Stone-Čech c -compactification** of \mathcal{F}_0 is the Bishop space $\beta\mathcal{F}_0 = (\beta X, \beta \bigvee F_0)$, where $\beta X = c(e_0(X))$ and

$$\beta \bigvee F_0 = \left(\bigvee_{f_0 \in F_0} \text{pr}_{f_0} \right) |_{c(e_0(X))}.$$

Proposition

- (i) The Stone-Čech c -compactification $\beta\mathcal{F} = (\beta X, \beta F)$ of a pseudo-compact Bishop space $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ with separating topology F is c -compact.
- (ii) The Stone-Čech c -compactification $\beta\mathcal{F}_0 = (\beta X, \beta \bigvee F_0)$ of a pseudo-compact Bishop space $\mathcal{F}_0 = (X, \bigvee F_0)$ with separating topology $\bigvee F_0$ is c -compact.

Proposition









- Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ is a pseudo-compact space and F is separating.
- (i) If $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ is a c -compact space and $h \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$, there exists a unique $h^\beta : \beta X \rightarrow Y \in \text{Mor}(\beta\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ such that $(h^\beta)|_X = h$, where the expression $(h^\beta)|_X = h$ is short for $h^\beta \circ e = h$ and e is the topological embedding of \mathcal{F} in $\beta\mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) For every $f \in F$ there exists a unique $f^\beta \in \beta F$ such that $(f^\beta)|_X = f$, where the expression $(f^\beta)|_X = f$ is short for $f^\beta \circ e = f$.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a pseudo-compact Bishop space such that F separates the points of X . A **c -compactification of \mathcal{F}** is a pair $(\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon)$, where $\mathcal{G} = (Y, G)$ is a c -compact space and $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow Y$ is a topological embedding of \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{G} such that $\varepsilon(X)$ is G -dense in Y .

Proposition (Uniqueness of Stone-Čech c -compactification)

Let $\mathcal{F} = (X, F)$ be a pseudo-compact Bishop space such that F separates the points of X . If $(\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon)$ is a c -compactification of \mathcal{F} such that for every c -compact Bishop space $\mathcal{H} = (H, Z)$ and $\theta : X \rightarrow Z \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H})$, there exists a unique mapping $\theta^{\mathcal{G}} : Y \rightarrow Z \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $(\theta^{\mathcal{G}})|_X = \theta$ i.e., $\theta^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \varepsilon = \theta$, then there exists $i : \beta X \rightarrow Y$ an isomorphism between $\beta\mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{G} such that $i \circ e = \varepsilon$.

-  E. Bishop and D. S. Bridges: Constructive Analysis, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 279, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg-Berlin-New York (1985).
-  D. S. Bridges: Reflections on function spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 163, 101-110 (2012).
-  W.W. Comfort: A theorem of Stone-Čech type, and a theorem of Tychonoff type, without the axiom of choice; and their realcompact analogues, Fundamenta Mathematicae, Vol. 63, Issue 1, 97-110 (1968).
-  S. Feferman: Some applications of the notions of forcing and generic sets, Fund. Math. 56, 325-345 (1964/65).
-  L. Gillman and M. Jerison: Rings of Continuous Functions, Van Nostrand (1960).
-  H. Ishihara: Relating Bishop's function spaces to neighborhood spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 164, 482-490 (2013).
-  J. Myhill: Constructive Set Theory, J. Symbolic Logic 40, 347-382 (1975).
-  I. Petrakis: Constructive Topology of Bishop Spaces, PhD Thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München (2015).