Spaces that are discretely generated at infinity

Rodrigo Hernández-Gutiérrez rodrigo.hdz@gmail.com

Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana (UAM), Iztapalapa Joint work with Alan Dow

September 12, 2016



A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$.

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

This notion was defined in a paper (2002) by Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson.

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

This notion was defined in a paper (2002) by Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

All Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are discretely generated

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

This notion was defined in a paper (2002) by Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson.

All Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are discretely generated but there are discretely generated spaces of any given tightness.

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

This notion was defined in a paper (2002) by Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson.

All Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are discretely generated but there are discretely generated spaces of any given tightness.

Let $X = \kappa \cup \{\infty\}$, where κ is discrete and the neighborhoods of ∞ are of the form $A \cup \{\infty\}$, where $|\kappa \setminus A| < \kappa$.

A space X is discretely generated at a point p if for every $A \subset X$ with $p \in \overline{A}$ there is a discrete set $D \subset A$ such that $p \in \overline{D}$. X is discretely generated if it is discretely generated at each of its points.

This notion was defined in a paper (2002) by Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson.

All Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are discretely generated but there are discretely generated spaces of any given tightness.

Let $X = \kappa \cup \{\infty\}$, where κ is discrete and the neighborhoods of ∞ are of the form $A \cup \{\infty\}$, where $|\kappa \setminus A| < \kappa$. Then X is discretly generated with tightness equal to κ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

It was shown that box products of monotonically normal spaces (Tkachuk and Wilson, 2012) and countable products of monotonically normal spaces (Alas and Wilson, 2013) are discretely generated.

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □ - 4

It was shown that box products of monotonically normal spaces (Tkachuk and Wilson, 2012) and countable products of monotonically normal spaces (Alas and Wilson, 2013) are discretely generated.

Example: linearly ordered spaces

It was shown that box products of monotonically normal spaces (Tkachuk and Wilson, 2012) and countable products of monotonically normal spaces (Alas and Wilson, 2013) are discretely generated.

Example: linearly ordered spaces

 A regular, maximal (countable) space is not discretely generated at any point.

It was shown that box products of monotonically normal spaces (Tkachuk and Wilson, 2012) and countable products of monotonically normal spaces (Alas and Wilson, 2013) are discretely generated.

Example: linearly ordered spaces

 A regular, maximal (countable) space is not discretely generated at any point.

Maximal = topology is maximal among crowded topologies

It was shown that box products of monotonically normal spaces (Tkachuk and Wilson, 2012) and countable products of monotonically normal spaces (Alas and Wilson, 2013) are discretely generated.

Example: linearly ordered spaces

 A regular, maximal (countable) space is not discretely generated at any point.

Maximal = topology is maximal among crowded topologies

Discrete subspaces of maximal spaces are closed.

A compact space X is weakly discretely generated:

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$.

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. (this follows from a result by Tkachuk, 1988)

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. (this follows from a result by Tkachuk, 1988)

But some are not discretely generated:

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. (this follows from a result by Tkachuk, 1988)

But some are not discretely generated: take $\mathbb{R} \cup \{p\} \subset \beta \mathbb{R}$, where p is a remote point.

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. (this follows from a result by Tkachuk, 1988)

But some are not discretely generated: take $\mathbb{R} \cup \{p\} \subset \beta \mathbb{R}$, where p is a remote point.

p is a remote point of $\beta X \equiv p \notin \overline{N}$ for any N nowhere dense in X.

A compact space X is *weakly discretely generated*: if $A \subset X$ is not closed, then there is $D \subset A$ discrete, such that $\overline{D} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. (this follows from a result by Tkachuk, 1988)

But some are not discretely generated: take $\mathbb{R} \cup \{p\} \subset \beta \mathbb{R}$, where p is a remote point.

p is a remote point of $\beta X \equiv p \notin \overline{N}$ for any N nowhere dense in X.

Question

(Alas, Junqueria and Wilson, 2014) Is there a locally compact and discretely generated space with its one-point compactification NOT discretely generated?

First countable examples

Theorem

There is a first countable locally compact space with its one-point compactification not discretely generated if either:

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- (1) CH holds (Alas, Junqueira, Wilson, 2014) or
- (2) there is a Souslin tree. (Aurichi 2009)

First countable examples

Theorem

There is a first countable locally compact space with its one-point compactification not discretely generated if either:

- (1) CH holds (Alas, Junqueira, Wilson, 2014) or
- (2) there is a Souslin tree. (Aurichi 2009)

How can we modify the CH example to obtain one under MA?

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type \mathfrak{p} .

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega imes {}^\omega 2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega \times {}^{\omega}2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega \times {}^{\omega}2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

of $\beta(\omega \times {}^{\omega}2)$. Then

• X is dg at $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ by first countability,

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega \times {}^{\omega}2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

- X is dg at $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ by first countability,
- ➤ X is dg at [0, p) because local character is < p</p>

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega \times {}^{\omega}2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

- X is dg at $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ by first countability,
- X is dg at [0, p) because local character is is linearly ordered, but

Theorem

(HG, 2014) If $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$, there is a compact space that is discretely generated at all points except one.

There is a closed set F remote from $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ with a base linearly ordered of type p. Consider the quotient space

$$X = (\omega \times {}^{\omega}2) \cup [0, \mathfrak{p}) \cup \{F\}$$

- X is dg at $\omega \times {}^{\omega}2$ by first countability,
- X is dg at [0, p) because local character is is linearly ordered, but
- ► X is NOT discretely generated at {*F*}.

Theorem

(Dow-HG, 2015) PFA implies that every locally compact, discretely generated space of countable tightness has its one point compactification discretely generated.

Theorem

(Dow-HG, 2015) PFA implies that every locally compact, discretely generated space of countable tightness has its one point compactification discretely generated.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Notice that the $\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{cof}(\mathcal{M})$ example exists under PFA.

Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight. Let $A \subset X$ be non-compact (so that $\infty \in \overline{A}$).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight. Let $A \subset X$ be non-compact (so that $\infty \in \overline{A}$). Passing to a subspace, we me assume that A is dense in X.
The harder part

Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight. Let $A \subset X$ be non-compact (so that $\infty \in \overline{A}$). Passing to a subspace, we me assume that A is dense in X. It is possible to reduce this situation to one of the two following cases:

The harder part

Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight. Let $A \subset X$ be non-compact (so that $\infty \in \overline{A}$). Passing to a subspace, we me assume that A is dense in X. It is possible to reduce this situation to one of the two following cases:

Case 1 A is countable.

The harder part

- Let X be locally compact, discretely generated and countably tight. Let $A \subset X$ be non-compact (so that $\infty \in \overline{A}$). Passing to a subspace, we me assume that A is dense in X. It is possible to reduce this situation to one of the two following cases:
- Case 1 A is countable.
- Case 2 No countable subset of A has ∞ in its closure and ∞ has character ω_1 (in $X \cup \{\infty\}$).

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.



 $Y = X \setminus \bigcup \{\overline{A_n} : n < \omega\}$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.



$$Y = X \setminus \bigcup \{\overline{A_n} : n < \omega\}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Non-trivial case: $\infty \in \overline{Y}$.

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.



$$Y = X \setminus \bigcup \{\overline{A_n} : n < \omega\}$$

Non-trivial case: $\infty \in \overline{Y}$.

If there is a countable, discrete and non-compact set $D \subset Y$, we are done:

There is a partition $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$, where each $\overline{A_n}$ is compact and has dense interior.



$$Y = X \setminus \bigcup \{\overline{A_n} : n < \omega\}$$

Non-trivial case: $\infty \in \overline{Y}$.

If there is a countable, discrete and non-compact set $D \subset Y$, we are done: by our hypothesis there is a countable discrete set with D in its closure.

Free ω_1 -sequence

A sequence $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset K$ is a free ω_1 -sequence if for every $\beta < \omega_1$, ______

$$\overline{\{x_{\alpha}:\alpha<\beta\}}\cap\overline{\{x_{\alpha}:\beta\leq\alpha<\omega_1\}}=\emptyset$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Free ω_1 -sequence

A sequence $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset K$ is a free ω_1 -sequence if for every $\beta < \omega_1$, $\overline{\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \beta\}} \cap \overline{\{x_{\alpha} : \beta \le \alpha < \omega_1\}} = \emptyset$

Lemma

Let K be a compact space and $p \in K$ such that $K \setminus \{p\}$ is countably tight, p is not isolated and p is not in the closure of any countable discrete subset of K. Then there is a free ω_1 -sequence in K such that p is its only complete accumulation point.

So assume that no countable subset of Y has ∞ in its closure.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Fix an enumeration $A_n = \{a(n, m) : m < \omega\}.$

Fix an enumeration $A_n = \{a(n,m) : m < \omega\}$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $E_\alpha \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ and $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \to \omega$ be such that $\{a(n, f_\alpha(n)) : n \in E_\alpha\}$ converges to x_α .

Fix an enumeration $A_n = \{a(n,m) : m < \omega\}$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $E_\alpha \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ and $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \to \omega$ be such that $\{a(n, f_\alpha(n)) : n \in E_\alpha\}$ converges to x_α . By **MA**(*ctble*)_{ω_1}, there is $f : \omega \to \omega$ such that f_α is equal to f infinitely often, for all α .

Fix an enumeration $A_n = \{a(n,m) : m < \omega\}$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $E_\alpha \in [\omega]^{\omega}$ and $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \to \omega$ be such that $\{a(n, f_\alpha(n)) : n \in E_\alpha\}$ converges to x_α . By **MA**(*ctble*)_{ω_1}, there is $f : \omega \to \omega$ such that f_α is equal to f infinitely often, for all α .

Then $\{a(n, f_{\alpha}(n)) : n \in E_{\alpha}\}$ converges to ∞ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

(ii) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$,

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

(ii) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$,

(iii) N_p is a finite ∈-chain of countable elementary submodels of (H(κ), ∈),

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

(ii) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$,

(iii) N_p is a finite ∈-chain of countable elementary submodels of (H(κ), ∈),

(iv) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then there is $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $j \in 2$ such that $a_i \in N$ iff $B_i \in N$ iff i = j,

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

- (i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (ii) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$,
- (iii) N_p is a finite ∈-chain of countable elementary submodels of (H(κ), ∈),
- (iv) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then there is $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $j \in 2$ such that $a_i \in N$ iff $B_i \in N$ iff i = j,
- (v) if $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $\langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \setminus N$ then for every $a' \in A \cap N$ and every $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a' \in B'$ it follows that $a \notin B'$.

(We will assume first countability to simplify this proof)

There is a point-countable π -base \mathcal{B} of X such that each of its members has compact closure. (Shapirovskii, Todorčević)

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all $p = \langle H_p, \mathcal{N}_p \rangle$ such that the following holds:

(i) H_p is a finite set of pairs $\langle a, B \rangle$ where $a \in A \cap B$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

(ii) if
$$\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$$
 are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$,

- (iv) if $\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$ are in H_p then there is $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $j \in 2$ such that $a_i \in N$ iff $B_i \in N$ iff i = j,
- (v) if $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $\langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \setminus N$ then for every $a' \in A \cap N$ and every $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a' \in B'$ it follows that $a \notin B'$.

 $q \leq p ext{ if } H_p \subset H_q ext{ and } \mathcal{N}_p \subset \mathcal{N}_q$

 \mathbb{P} is proper (we will not prove this).



 \mathbb{P} is proper (we will not prove this). Given a generic filter G,

$$D = \{ a : \exists p \in G \ \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ (\langle a, B \rangle \in G) \}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is discrete by property (ii)

 \mathbb{P} is proper (we will not prove this). Given a generic filter G,

$$D = \{ a : \exists p \in G \ \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ (\langle a, B \rangle \in G) \}$$

is discrete by property (ii):

(ii) if $\langle a_0,B_0\rangle\neq \langle a_1,B_1\rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i\notin B_{1-i}$ for $i\in 2$

 \mathbb{P} is proper (we will not prove this). Given a generic filter G,

$$D = \{ a : \exists p \in G \ \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ (\langle a, B \rangle \in G) \}$$

is discrete by property (ii):

(ii) if $\langle a_0,B_0\rangle\neq \langle a_1,B_1\rangle$ are in H_p then $a_i\notin B_{1-i}$ for $i\in 2$

Let $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a base at ∞ .

 \mathbb{P} is proper (we will not prove this). Given a generic filter G,

$$D = \{ a : \exists p \in G \ \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ (\langle a, B \rangle \in G) \}$$

is discrete by property (ii):

(ii) if
$$\langle a_0, B_0 \rangle \neq \langle a_1, B_1 \rangle$$
 are in H_p then $a_i \notin B_{1-i}$ for $i \in 2$

Let $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a base at ∞ . Then, given $\alpha < \omega_1$, the set

$$D_{\alpha} = \{ p \in P : \exists \langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \ (a \in U_{\alpha}) \}$$

is dense.

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$,

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}.$

(ロト・日本)・(日本・日本)の(の)

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.
Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in V ($|\mathcal{B}| > \omega$).

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in $V(|\mathcal{B}| > \omega)$. Finally, choose $a_k \in B_k \cap A$.

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in $V(|\mathcal{B}| > \omega)$. Finally, choose $a_k \in B_k \cap A$.

Define q such that $H_q = H_p \cup \{\langle a_k, B_k \rangle\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_q = \mathcal{N}_p \cup \{M\}$.

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in $V(|\mathcal{B}| > \omega)$. Finally, choose $a_k \in B_k \cap A$.

Define q such that $H_q = H_p \cup \{ \langle a_k, B_k \rangle \}$ and $\mathcal{N}_q = \mathcal{N}_p \cup \{ M \}.$

Condition (b) was needed for

(v) if $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $\langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \setminus N$ then for every $a' \in A \cap N$ and every $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a' \in B'$ it follows that $a \notin B'$.

which we need for properness.

,

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in $V(|\mathcal{B}| > \omega)$. Finally, choose $a_k \in B_k \cap A$.

Define q such that $H_q = H_p \cup \{ \langle a_k, B_k \rangle \}$ and $\mathcal{N}_q = \mathcal{N}_p \cup \{ M \}.$

Condition (b) was needed for

(v) if $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $\langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \setminus N$ then for every $a' \in A \cap N$ and every $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a' \in B'$ it follows that $a \notin B'$.

which we need for properness. Then $q \in \mathbb{P} \cap D_{\alpha}$ and $q \leq p$.

,

Let
$$p \in \mathbb{P}$$
, $H_p = \{ \langle a_i, B_i \rangle : i < k \}$. Consider $p \in M \prec H(\kappa)$.

(a) $V = U_{\alpha} \setminus (\bigcup \{\overline{B_i} : i < k\})$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ so it's not separable.

(b) $C = \{B \in B : \exists a \in M \cap A (a \in B)\}$ is countable.

Thus, there is $B_k \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ contained in $V(|\mathcal{B}| > \omega)$. Finally, choose $a_k \in B_k \cap A$.

Define q such that $H_q = H_p \cup \{ \langle a_k, B_k \rangle \}$ and $\mathcal{N}_q = \mathcal{N}_p \cup \{ M \}.$

Condition (b) was needed for

(v) if $N \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $\langle a, B \rangle \in H_p \setminus N$ then for every $a' \in A \cap N$ and every $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $a' \in B'$ it follows that $a \notin B'$.

which we need for properness. Then $q \in \mathbb{P} \cap D_{\alpha}$ and $q \leq p$. \Box

,

Thank you